



## EFFECT OF SAWDUST ON SOIL NUTRIENTS STATUS IN ICHAKOBE, IBILLA IN OJU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF BENUE STATE

Dachung, G., Ogodu, A. E. and \*Ekhuemelo, D. O.

Department of Forest Production and Products, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Nigeria

\*Corresponding authors' email: [davidekhuemelo@gmail.com](mailto:davidekhuemelo@gmail.com) Phone: +234 703 133 2803

### ABSTRACT

The effect of sawdust on soil nutrients in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State was examined. Three composite soil samples of 500 g were collected each from sawdust dumpsites and adjacent normal sites in Ichakobe Ibilla using a soil auger and stored in a polyethylene bag. Soil samples were air-dried and sieved. Physical, chemical and microbial characteristics soil samples were determined according to standard methods. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a follow-up test done using Duncan Multiple Range for any significant difference. The soil colour from normal sites were reddish, reddish-yellow and brownish red while they were ash, brownish and yellowish-brown in soils from sawdust dumpsites. *Pseudomonas aurogenous*, and *Bacillus sp* were common to normal and sawdust dumpsites while *Entrobacter sp* and *Escherichia coli* were only found in the normal sites and *Salmonella sp* was seen only in soils from the sawdust dumpsites. Yeast cells and *Rhizopus sp* were common to soils from normal and sawdust dumpsites while *Mucor sp* was specific to soils from normal sites. *Aspergillus sp* was found in soils from sawdust dumpsite. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, organic matter, Organic Carbon, Water, and Cation Exchange Capacity tend to be higher in sawdust dumpsites than in the normal site.  $SO_3$ ,  $Na_2O$ ,  $CaO$ ,  $Fe_2SO_4$  and  $MnO$  were all higher in soils from sawdust dumpsites than soil from soils normal sites. In conclusion, soils from sawdust dumpsites had more nutrient than the normal adjacent soil and as such, it is better for the growth of forestry and crops.

**Keywords:** Soil, sawdust dumpsite, soil nutrient, bacteria, fungi

### INTRODUCTION

Sawdust is a small piece of wood waste that is generated as powder from wood when sawn by an industrial or normal saw. This power wood is often produced from sawmills, timber sheds either from the band or circular saw (Rominiyi *et al.*, 2019). Sawdust is usually regarded as waste which is normally disposed of by dumping and burning. This act results to environmental pollution. Sawdust has been suggested as an effective method of improving the physical and chemical properties of soils. Amelioration of soil properties is largely based on increasing organic carbon in the soil (Allison, 2012). Sawdust from certain trees or shrub species is becoming an increasingly important source of organic amendment in agricultural and forest soils.

In Nigeria, there are enormous quantities of wood shaving and sawdust as by-products from wood preparation factories, Timber sheds and furniture factories. Due to the high cost and scarcity of chemical fertilizers, it has become necessary to source agro-industrial wastes which could be used as manure for crops in tropical countries. In recent times, researchers are focusing on the potential of these wastes as soil improvers and sources of nutrients. Sawdust has been proven as an effective organic fertilizer for soil amendment (Bendfeldt, 2012).

Studies on the use of sawdust as waste in many sawmills, carpenter sheds and furniture factories as plant nutrient sources have not received much attention. Bidegain *et al.* (2000) found that sawdust ash at 2 to 8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the yield of pepper (*Capsicum annum*) and tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) significantly and also increased soil nutrients and plant Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium and Magnesium contents.

In some wood manufacturing industries, sawdust can be a significant fire hazard and source of occupational dust exposure. Sawdust waste constitutes environmental hazards in terms of pollution when they are burnt and produce a foul smell when soaked by rain in their heaps. Sawdust heaps

negatively affect the beauty of the natural environment and sometimes release unpleasant odour because of its chemical constituents which may affect human health and animals. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of sawdust on soil nutrient status in Ichakobe, Ibilla in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State to recommend it to farmers for better performance of forestry and crops.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Area

This research was carried out in Ichakobe, Ibilla in Oju Local Government Area (LGA) of Benue State. Oju LGA is one among the twenty-three (23) LGAs of Benue State located within Longitude 8° 25' 0" and 8° 41' 67" E, and Latitude 6° 51' 0" and 6° 85' 0" N. The LGA has a rich historical background. At the 2006 census, the population of Oju LGA was estimated to be three hundred thousand, three hundred and seventy-seven (300,377) people (Ikyagba *et al.*, 2019).

#### Soil sample collection and preparation

Three composite soil samples of 500 g were collected each from sawdust dumpsites and adjacent normal sites in Ichakobe, Ibilla using a soil auger and stored in a polyethylene bag. Each soil sample was air-dried in the laboratory at room temperature and was passed through a 2 mm sieve before laboratory analysis.

#### Preparation of culture media

The glassware used were washed and sterilized in the hot air oven at 121°C for six (6) hours. Media were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions by weighing 14 g of nutrient agar and dissolving into 500 ml of distilled water and autoclave it at 121°C for fifteen (15) minutes, allowing to cool at 45°C at room temperature before pouring plate was done.

**Mac Conkey Agar**

Mac Conkey Agar media was also prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions by weighing 24 g of the powder into 500 ml of distilled water heat and autoclave at 121°C for fifteen (15) minutes, allowing to cool at 45°C at room temperature.

**Experimental Design**

Different soils samples were collected using Complete Randomized Design (CRD). Three sites of sawdust sites and

three adjacent normal sites were identified and chosen for the study. Nine samples of soil were obtained from sawdust dumpsites from three locations around the dumpsite and from three soil layers and the same with soils from normal adjacent sites without sawdust which served as the control. Each soil sample was replicated three times according to the soil depth (Table 1). For each experimental site, three soil samples were collected at three layers from 0 – 20 cm, 21 – 40 cm and 41 – 60 cm depths (Table 1).

**Table 1: Locations and Depts of soil sample collection in each experimental site**

Location	Normal site (cm)	Sawdust site (cm)
A	0-20	0-20
	21-40	21-40
	41-60	41-60
B	0-20	0-20
	21-40	21-40
	41-60	41-60
C	0-20	0-20
	21-40	21-40
	41-60	41-60

**Microbial analysis of Sawdust**

The serial dilution method was employed for microbial analysis. One gram (1 g) of each soil sample collected was weighed, crushed and fill into 9 ml of sterile distilled water in a test-tube making a dilution of 10<sup>0</sup>. Then 1 ml from 10<sup>0</sup> was taken to the second tube of 10<sup>-1</sup> dilution and was serially diluted into 5 folds of 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-4</sup> 10<sup>-5</sup> respectively. Thereafter, two replicates of 10<sup>-2</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup> were used to pour the plate by dispensing 1 ml of each dilution into Petri dishes and pour plate was done. After which the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

**Total heterotrophic count**

The pour plate method was used for the enumeration of heterotrophic bacteria count on nutrient agar after incubation at 37 °C for 24 hours (Geraldine *et al.*, 2008). After incubation, plates containing colonies between 30 and 300 colonies were counted. Viable count of bacteria present in each sample was expressed as colony-forming units per milliliter.

(CFU/ml

= colony counted

× dilution factor

/Actual volume of sample plate (ml).

**Identification of bacteria isolates**

Bacteria isolates were characterized and identified by observation of colonial and morphological characteristics were done by gram staining. The two days old bacteria cultured on nutrient agar and Mac Conkey Agar was gram stained. One loop full of distilled water was placed on a clean microscope slide and a colony was picked with a wire loop and smears and fixed on the slide by:

- i. Passing it through the flame
- ii. Crystal violet was poured over and observed for sixty (60) seconds then flooded with water.
- iii. After that, add Lugol's iodine was added for sixty (60) seconds rinse and decolorized with alcohol for two minutes and flood with water.

- iv. Then, counter stain with safranin for sixty (60) seconds then rinsed and blott dry view under objective × 100 with inversion oil.

**Identification of fungi Isolates**

After culturing in Saborainal Dextrose Agar (SDA) fungi Isolates were obtained and identified based on colonial appearance and microscopic characteristics by wet mount preparation of slide using lactophenol cotton blue. This was accomplished using an appropriate scheme for yeast and molds respectively.

**Biochemical Tests****Catalase Test**

A drop of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) was placed on the inoculums of 24 hours old culture picked and immersed in the solution of the hydrogen peroxide. A positive result was the rapid evolution of bobbles within 5-10 seconds according to Cheesebrough (2006).

**Citrate Utilization Test**

The agar slant of Simon Citrate agar was prepared according to manufacturer's instructions. A sterile wire loop was used to pick an aliquot of twenty-four (24) hour bacteria Isolates and streaked on the agar slant and incubated for twenty-four (24) hours after which the result was observed by a colour change to blue shows a positive result no blue color change indicates a negative result.

**Triple Sugar Iron Test**

Simon Citrate was diluted in 100 mL of distilled water autoclave for 15 minutes then allowed to cool then fill 12 test tubes with it and slant the test tubes to solidify. A wire-loop was used to pick colonies from the slants and streak on the solidified Simon Citrate in the test tubes then stored at room temperature for twenty-four (24) hours. Any samples that changed colour from green to blue were positive while those that maintain their green colours were said to be negative.

### Indole Test

The Indole Test was carried out to determine the species of bacteria that can split the aromatic amino acid, tryptophan into Indole, pyruvic acid and ammonia using Kovac's reagents. Red colouration in the layer indicated a positive result (Cheesebrough, 2006).

### Data analysis

Data on the elemental and chemical composition of soil samples were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a follow up test was done using Duncan Multiple Range

for any significant difference. Other results were presented in Tables.

### RESULTS

Table 1 shows the result of physical features of soil samples obtained from experimental sites. The result shows that soils from normal site were reddish (0 - 20 cm), Reddish yellow (21 - 40 cm) and Brownish red (41 - 60 cm). Soil samples from sawdust dump sites had Ash (0 - 20 cm), Brownish (21 - 40 cm) and Yellowish brown (41 - 60 cm) colours. Results further shows that the texture and particle size were similar.

**Table 2: Physical features of soil samples**

Type of Site	Dept of soil (cm)	Colour	Texture	Particle Size
Normal site	0 - 20	Reddish	Sandy	Loose
	21 - 40	Reddish yellow	Sandy clay	Compacted
	41 - 60	Brownish red	Clayey	Highly compacted
Sawdust Dump Site	0 - 20	Ash	Sandy	Loose
	21 - 40	Brownish	Clayey	Compacted
	41 - 60	Yellowish brown	Clayey	Highly compacted

Results from the bacteria growth characteristics, morphology and biochemical tests in soil samples are presented in Table 3. The result indicated that soil samples both in the normal site and sawdust dump site had the presence of some bacteria species which were isolated. Hence, the presence of bacteria in the normal site were *Staphylococcus aureus sp.*, *Enterobacter sp* within the depth of 0-20, only *Bacillus sp* was found in the depth of 21-40 and *Escherichia coli sp* was isolated from a depth of 41-60. In the sawdust dump soil, the depth of 0-20 had only *Samonella sp.*, *Bacillus sp* was present in the depth of 21-40 and *Pseudomonas aurogenous sp* was found in depths of 41-60 cm.

Results from the macroscopic and microscopic examinations of fungi from test soil samples are presented in Table 4. As Yeast cells and *Rhizopus sp* were found in both normal and sawdust dump sites, *Mucor sp* was only found within Normal site (21- 40 cm) and *Aspergillus sp* in the sawdust dump site (0 - 20 cm).

The chemical composition of soil from the sawdust dump site and the adjacent normal site are presented in Table 5. Soil nutrient status decreased from the top (0-20 cm) to lower (41-60 cm) soil layers horizon. The nutritional chemical compounds such as  $SO_3$ ,  $Na_2O$ ,  $CaO$ ,  $Fe_2SO_4$  and  $MnO$  were all higher in the sawdust dump soil than the normal soil of the same depths. At 0 - 20 cm in normal site, Sodium oxide (0.70 %), Phosphorus pentoxide (2.24% cm) and Potassium (ii) oxide (7.50 cm) were present. While a dump site had 1.00% of Sodium oxide, Phosphorus pentoxide (2.96%) and Potassium (ii) oxide is (8.43%). At depth of 21- 40cm in

normal site, Sodium oxide (0.65%), Phosphorus pentoxide is 2.00, Potassium (ii) oxide is 7.30. While in dump site, Sodium oxide is 0.90, Phosphorus pentoxide is 2.50, and Potassium (ii) oxide (8.00%) were recorded. While at depth of 41- 60 cm in normal site, Sodium oxide (0.50%), Phosphorus pentoxide (1.90%) and Potassium (ii) oxide (6.90 cm) were recorded while in sawdust dump site, Sodium oxide (0.70%), Phosphorus pentoxide (2.00% and Potassium (ii) oxide (7.20%) were recorded. There was significant a difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the values of  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $SiO_2$  and  $MgO$  chemical compounds between soil samples from the normal and sawdust dump sites.

Results from the elemental composition of soil from sawdust dump and normal sites are presented in Table 6. Micro elements tend to be higher in sawdust dumpsites than in the normal site. In the normal site the mean values of elements were Nitrogen (0.08 - 0.68 %), Phosphorus (3.33 - 4.00 mg/L Potassium (0.23 - 0.28 mmol/L), organic matter (0.18- 0.66%), Organic Carbon (0.10 - 0.38%), Water (6.00 1:1) and Cation Exchange Capacity (5.00 - 7.00 cmol/kg), respectively.

Soil from sawdust dump site had Nitrogen (0.28- 0.38%), Phosphorus (4.00- 4.67 Mgl) Potassium (1.80- 3.00 Col/kg), organic matter (0.14 - 0.65%), Organic Carbon (0.11 - 0.20%), Water (6.00- 7.00) and Cation Exchange Capacity (19.33 - 21.00 cmol/kg), respectively. There were no significant differences between the means of elements in normal soil and soil from sawdust dump soil.

**Table 3: Morphology, growth characteristics and biochemical of bacteria test from soil samples**

Soil Type	Dept of Soil sample (cm)	Dilution factor	Number of colonies	Colour	Elevation	Form	Gram reaction	Biochemical test						Suspected organism
								Cat	Coa	Indole	Citrate	TSI	Oxidase	
Normal Site	0-20	10 <sup>-2</sup>	116	Creamy	Raised	Spherical	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	48	Creamy	Raised	Spherical	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	<i>Enterobacter sp</i>
	21-40	10 <sup>-2</sup>	112	Whitish Whitish	Flat	Lobate	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	80		Flat	Lobate	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp</i>
	41-60	10 <sup>-2</sup>	180	Pinkish Pinkish	Raised	Spherical	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	64		Raised	Spherical	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
Sawdust Dump Site	0-20	10 <sup>-2</sup>	98	Black,	Flat	Lobate	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	<i>Salmonella sp</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	40	Black	Flat	Lobate	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	<i>Salmonella sp</i>
	21-40	10 <sup>-2</sup>	30	Whitish Whitish	Flat	Lobate	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	19		Flat	Lobate	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp</i>
	41-60	10 <sup>-2</sup>	23	GreenishGreenish	Flat	Lobate	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Pseudomonas aurogenous</i>
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	9		Flat	Lobate	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Pseudomonas aurogenous</i>

**Table 4: The macroscopic and microscopic examination of fungi from soil samples**

Type of Site	Name of sample	Dilution factor	Number of Colonies	Macroscopic growth appearance	Microscopic growth appearance
Normal site	0 - 20	10 <sup>-5</sup>	92	Deep creamy growth	Yeast cells
	21- 40	10 <sup>-5</sup>	60	Whitish cottony growth	<i>Mucor sp</i>
	41- 60	10 <sup>-5</sup>	35	Black growth with endo-spores and sporangium	<i>Rhizopus sp</i>
Sawdust Dump Site	0 - 20	10 <sup>-5</sup>	40	Deep black cottony growth with conidiophore	<i>Aspergillus sp</i>
	21- 40	10 <sup>-5</sup>	55	Black growth with endo-spore and sporangium	<i>Rhizopus sp</i>
	41- 60	10 <sup>-5</sup>	65	Deep creamy growth	Yeast cells

**Table 5: Means of chemical composition of soil from sawdust dump site and the adjacent normal site**

Soil type	Depth of soil samples (cm)	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)	SiO <sub>2</sub> (%)	SO <sub>3</sub> (%)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (%)	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%)	K <sub>2</sub> O (%)	CaO (%)	MgO (%)	TiO <sub>2</sub> (%)	Fe <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (%)	MnO (%)
		Mean±Sdv										
Normal Site	0-20	17.33±1.16 <sup>b</sup>	73.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.33±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00
	21-40	17.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	70.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00
	41-60	13.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	60.00±10.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.33±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.67±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00
Sawdust dump site	0-20	13.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	53.00±10.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00	3.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.33±0.58
	21-40	13.33±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	51.00±10.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.67±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00
	41-60	12.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	46.00±10.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.33±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.67±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.67±1.16 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00±0.00	2.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00±0.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>14.28±2.32</b>	<b>58.83±12.28</b>	<b>0.39±0.50</b>	<b>2.28±0.96</b>	<b>0.83±0.51</b>	<b>7.39±0.98</b>	<b>6.78±0.94</b>	<b>3.28±1.45</b>	<b>0.00±0.00</b>	<b>2.17±.92</b>	<b>0.06±0.24</b>

Key: N = Normal Site; D = Sawdust Dump Site

**Table 6: Elemental composition of soil from dump and normal sites**

Soil Type	Dept of Soil sample (cm)	Nitrogen (%)	Phosphorus (mg/L)	Potassium (mmol/L)	Organic Matter (%)	Organic Carbon (%)	Water (1:1)	Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) (cmol /kg)
		Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv	Mean±Sdv
Normal Site	0-20	0.08±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.28±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.66±0.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.38±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>
	21-40	0.53±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.26±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.21±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.12±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>ab</sup>
	41-60	0.68±0.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.33±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.23±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.18±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.10±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>
Sawdust Dump Site	0-20	0.38±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.67±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	3.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.14±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.20±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	21.00±1.00 <sup>c</sup>
	21-40	0.34±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.50±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.40±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.15±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.67±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	20.00±1.00 <sup>c</sup>
	41-60	0.28±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.80±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.65±0.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.11±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	7.00±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	19.33±1.16 <sup>c</sup>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0.38±0.37</b>	<b>4.00±0.98</b>	<b>1.35±1.32</b>	<b>0.37±0.24</b>	<b>0.18±0.13</b>	<b>6.28±0.96</b>	<b>13.06±7.35</b>

## DISCUSSION

Colour of soil samples from the normal site were reddish, reddish-yellow and brownish red while soil samples from sawdust dump sites had ash, brownish and yellowish brown. Gatiboni, (2018) reported that the conditions that influence soil colour include organic matter, soil minerals, and soil drainage. He further claimed that colour was not the only indicator of soil quality, but provide indications on certain conditions of the soil. Dark soil colours may result from poor drainage or high organic matter content (Gatiboni, 2018). Based on the finding from this study, the ash and brownish colour of soil from the sawdust site suggests that the soil was richer in organic matter than soil from the normal site.

*Pseudomonas aurogenous*, and *Bacillus sp* bacteria were common to both normal and sawdust dump sites. However, *Enterobacter sp* and *Escherichia coli* were only found in the normal soil while *Salmonella sp* was only detected in the sawdust dump soil. Hayat *et al.* (2010) reported that soil bacteria were involved in numerous biogeochemical cycles, and have been used for crop production. They also affirmed that soil bacteria play a major role in ecological and biodegradable function processes in contaminated soils. Microorganisms keep soils healthy and productive. *Pseudomonas aurogenous*, and *Bacillus sp* are examples of decomposer bacteria. *Pseudomonas* is frequently resistant to many commonly used antibiotics, such as penicillin and the majority of related beta-lactam antibiotics (Iglewski, 1996). *P. aeruginosa* though present in the soil is common in humans with compromised host defence mechanisms and is the most common pathogen isolated from patients who have been hospitalized longer than one week. It plays a vital role in the biodegradation and bioremediation of these toxic compounds found in soil and water by utilizing pesticides as its carbon source and energy. Therefore, *P. aeruginosa* hold a lot of promise in the biodegradation of chemicals of environmental concerns into innocuous substances. Saxena *et al.* (2019) reported that *Bacillus spp.* serve multiple ecological functions in the soil ecosystem from nutrient cycling to conferring stress tolerance to plants. Miljaković *et al.* (2020) reported that *Bacillus spp.* produce a diversity of compounds involved in the biocontrol of plant pathogens and the promotion of plant growth, which makes them potential candidates for most agricultural and biotechnological applications. *Bacillus spp.* also improves plant response to pathogen attack by triggering induced systemic resistance (ISR). It promotes plant growth through nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, and phytohormone production. *Bacillus spp.* represent an alternative to plant growth enhancement agrochemicals which include synthetic pesticides and fertilizers. Jha *et al.* (2011) reported that *Enterobacter spp.* provides numerous plant growth promoting (PGP) features that involve nitrogen fixation, soil phosphorus solubilisation and production of antibiotics. It also can secrete siderophore produce, chitinase, ACC deaminase and hydrolytic enzymes besides exopolysaccharides and in the enhancement of soil porosity. Ishii *et al.* (2006) reported that *E. coli* has been found in tropical and subtropical soils. The introduction of *Escherichia coli* to agricultural soil, through contaminated water, compost, or raw manure, exposes the bacterium to a medley of ecological forces not found in a mammalian gut environment (Cutler, 2016).

According to Jechalke *et al.* (2019), organic fertilizers can increase the persistence of *Salmonella* in soil. Soil type and plant species can play a crucial role in the interactions between human pathogens and crop plants. Potential sources

of soil contamination with human pathogens are manure, used as organic fertilizer, and contaminated irrigation water (Beuchat, 2002). Pigs are typical hosts of *Salmonella*. This could imply while soil in sawdust site which may have come with human waste contained *Salmonella sp.*

This study has shown that yeast cells and *Rhizopus sp* fungi were common in soils from normal and sawdust dump sites. *Mucor sp* was specific to soils from the normal site and *Aspergillus sp* was found in soils from sawdust dump site which agree with the finding of Yurkov (2018). Vadkertiová *et al.* (2017) and Yurkov (2017) reported that many yeast species have been isolated from soils. Yeasts have been frequently observed in the surface layers but rarely in the deeper soil layers. They were also found in soils of vineyards and orchards down to a depth of 12 - 13 and 20 - 30 cm which conforms with the finding from this study which recorded the presence of yeasts between 0 – 20 cm and 41 – 60 cm depths, respectively.

Hoorman (2016) observed asserted that fungi are an important part of the microbial ecology. Most of them are said to decompose lignin and the hard-to-digest soil organic matter, but some fungi consume simple sugars. Lavelle and Spain (2005) noted that fungi dominate in low pH or slightly acidic soils where soils tend to be undisturbed. Fungi break down the organic residues so that many different types of microbes can start to decompose and process the residues into usable products. Nayak *et al.* (2020) also referred to fungi as an important component of the soil microbiota and fundamental for soil ecosystem functioning especially in forest and agricultural soils. *Aspergillus niger* has been known to be responsible for high solubilization activity of insoluble phosphates, such as Ca, Fe and Al phosphate. Nayak *et al.* (2020) also reported that species of *Aspergillus* have been involved in the purification of soil contaminated with heavy metals, oil spills and microbial toxins. Botha and du Preez (1999) revealed that *Mucor* and some other mucoralean fungi were generally the first saprophytic colonizers on dead or decaying plant material.

Chemical composition of soil such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and MgO, decreased from soils from normal Site to sawdust dump site. This finding might be that oxides were not carbonates based which are commonly found in wood products as reported by Adetayo *et al.* (2019). The composition of Nitrogen was higher in soil from the normal site than soil in the sawdust dump site. The mean value of nitrogen in soil samples of depths 0 – 20 and 21 – 40 cm from the normal site were significantly different (<0.005) from soils from 14 – 60 cm of the normal site and soils from all depths (0 – 60 cm) in the sawdust dumpsite.

Phosphorus, potassium, organic matter, Water and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) were higher in soils sawdust dump sites compared to soils from normal site. However, there were no significant differences (p>0.005) between the means of elements in normal soil and soil from the sawdust dump soil. This higher elemental composition of soil from sawdust site could be the presence of sawdust in the soil. Gatiboni (2018) noted that soils with high CEC hold more nutrients. Therefore, this study has proved that soils from sawdust site were better in nutrients compared to soils from normal sites. The presence of bacteria in wood sawdust facilitates the degradation of the wood sawdust which releases nutrients like organic matter, phosphorous and potassium into the soil. This implies that the sawdust dumpsites could be used for forestry and agricultural production.

**CONCLUSION**

The colour of soil of samples from normal site were reddish, reddish yellow and brownish red while soil samples from sawdust dump sites had ash, brownish and yellowish brown. *Pseudomonas aurogenous*, and *Bacillus sp* bacteria were common to both normal and sawdust dump sites. However, *Enterobacter sp* and *Escherichia coli* were only found in the normal soil while *Salmonella sp* was only detected in the sawdust dump soil. Yeast cells and *Rhizopus sp* fungi were common to soil samples from normal and sawdust dump sites. *Mucor sp* was specific to soils from normal site and *Aspergillus sp* was found in soils from the sawdust dump sites. Chemical composition of soil such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and MgO decreased from soils from normal Site to sawdust dump site. Phosphorus, potassium, organic matter, Water and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) were higher in soil samples from sawdust dump site compared to soils from the normal sites. Therefore, soil samples from sawdust dumpsites had more nutrient than the normal adjacent soil and as such it is better for the growth of forestry and crops.

**REFERENCE**

Adetayo O. A., Amu O. O., Onuorah T. O. and Adetona E. A. (2019). Modification of cement stabilized structural lateritic wood ash. ACTA Technica Corviniensis – Bulletin of Engineering Tome XII.

Allison, F.E. (2012). The use of sawdust for mulches and soil improvement. USDA Cir. 891: 1-19.

Bendfeldt, E.S., (2012). Quality of amended mine soils after sixteen years. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 65: 1736-1744.

Bidegain, R. A., M. Kaemmerer, M. Guiesse, M. Hafidi, F. Rey, P. Morard, and J. C. Revel. (2000). Effects of humic substances from composted or chemically decomposed poplar sawdust on mineral nutrition of ryegrass. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 134: 259–267.

Beuchat, L. R. (2002). Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. *Microbes Infect.* 4, 413–423. doi: 10. 1016/s1286-4579(02)01555-1

Botha A., and du Preez J.C. (1999). *Mucor*. in Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology, Pp 1493-1500

Cheesbrough, M. (2006). District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Part 2 Second Edition. Cambridge University, 149 - 154.

Cutler A., (2016). Ecological Dynamics in Compost-Amended Soils and the Resulting Effects on *Escherichia coli* Survival. Graduate College Dissertations and Theses. *University of Vermont*. Pp 117

Geraldine, R. M., Soares, N. F.F., Botrel, D. A. and Goncalves, L.A. (2008). Characterization and effect of edible coatings on minimally processed garlic quality. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 72(3): 403 – 409.

Gatiboni, L. (2018). Soils and Plant Nutrients, Chapter 1. In: K.A. Moore, and L.K. Bradley (eds). North Carolina Extension Gardener Handbook. NC State Extension, Raleigh, NC. <<https://content.ces.ncsu.edu/extension-gardener-handbook/1-soils-and-plant-nutrients>

Hayat, R., Ali, S., Amara, U., Khalid, R., and Ahmed, I. (2010). Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion: a review. *Annals of Microbiology*, 60(4), 579–598. doi:10.1007/s13213-010-0117-1

Hoorman J. J. (2016). Role of Soil Fungus. *Ohioline, Ohio State University Extension*. <https://ohioline.osu.edu/factsheet/anr-37>

Iglewski B. H. (1996). *Pseudomonas*. In: Baron S, editor. *Medical Microbiology*. 4th edition. Galveston (TX): University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston; 1996. Chapter 27. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK8326/>

Ikyaaqba E. T., Amonum J. I. and Okwoche S. (2019). Tree Species Composition and Diversity of *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International*, 18(3): 1-10.

Ishii, S., Ksoll, W. B., Hicks, R. E., and Sadowsky, M. J. (2006). Presence and growth of naturalized *Escherichia coli* in temperate soils from Lake Superior watersheds. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 72(1), 612–621.

Jechalke S., Schierstaedt J. Becker M., Flemer B., Grosch R., Smalla K. and Schikora A. (2019). *Salmonella* Establishment in Agricultural Soil and Colonization of Crop Plants Depend on Soil Type and Plant Species. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10(967): 1 – 7.

Jha C. K., Aeron A., Patel B. V., Maheshwari D. K., and Saraf M. (2011). *Enterobacter: Role in Plant Growth Promotion*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. Pp 1 – 28.

Lavelle, P. and Spain, A.V. (2005). *Soil Ecology*, Chapter 3: Soil Organisms, Springer: New Delhi, India.

Miljaković, D., Marinković, J., and Balešević-Tubić, S. (2020). The Significance of *Bacillus* spp. in Disease Suppression and Growth Promotion of Field and Vegetable Crops. *Microorganisms*, 8(7): 1037.

Nayak S., Samanta S., and Mukherjee A. K. (2020). Beneficial Role of *Aspergillus* sp. in Agricultural Soil and Environment. *Frontiers in Soil and Environmental Microbiology*. 1st Edition, CRC Press. eBook ISBN9780429485794. Pp 20.

Rominyi O. L., Adaramola v Ikumapayi O. M. , Oginni O. T. and Akinola S. A. (2019). Potential Utilization of Sawdust in Energy, Manufacturing and Agricultural Industry; Waste to Wealth. *World Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2017, 5, 526-539

Saxena, A.K., Kumar, M., Chakdar, H., Anuroopa, N. and Bagyaraj, D.J. (2020). *Bacillus* species in soil as a natural resource for plant health and nutrition. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 128 (6): 583-1594

Vadkertiová, R., Dudášová, H., and Balaščíková, M. (2017). Yeasts in agricultural and managed soils In Buzzini P., Lachance M. A., & Yurkov A. M. (Eds.), *Yeasts in natural ecosystems: Ecology* (pp. 117–144). Heidelberg: Springer.

Yurkov A. M. (2018). Yeasts of the soil - obscure but precious. *Yeast (Chichester, England)*, 35(5), 369–378. <https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3310>.

Yurkov, A. M. (2017). Yeasts in forest soils In Buzzini P., Lachance M. A., and Yurkov A. M. (Eds.), *Yeasts in natural ecosystems: Ecology* (pp. 87–116). Heidelberg: Springer.



©2022 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.