



ASSESSING PREVALENCE AND FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH CESAREAN DELIVERY AMONG WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE IN NIGERIA

¹Bamigbala, O. A., ^{*2}Ojetunde, A. O., ¹Ibrahim, A.

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University, Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria ²Department of Human Physiology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria

*Corresponding authors' email: aoojetunde@gmail.com phone: +2347039155456

ABSTRACT

Cesarean section (CS) involves the fetus, membranes, and placenta delivery through abdominal and uterine incisions after fetal viability. Some research on CS use in Nigeria have been undertaken, however, they have all been institutional-based and had small sample sizes. In the country, nationally representative studies on this critical issue are required. As a result, the current study assesses prevalence and factors associated with cesarean delivery among women of reproductive age in Nigeria. The data used in this study were sourced from the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS). 8216 women of childbearing age were considered in this study. The 2018 survey is the most recent in Nigeria's series of NDHS (at the time of this study). The methods of analysis used for this study are frequency, percentage, chi-square test, and Binary Logistic Regression Analysis. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The prevalence of CS in Nigeria was 8.1% in 2018. Factors associated with increased odds of CS were maternal age (35-39 years), the South West regions of Nigeria, urban residency, wealth index (rich), previous terminated pregnancy, less than 9 months of pregnancy, and maternal education (secondary education). The findings will give evidence-based knowledge for decision-making in Nigeria regarding the provision and use of cesarean birth. However, improved availability and access to obstetric care services should be pursued further by following the WHO's EOC guidelines in all Nigerian regions.

Keywords: Cesarean section, prevalence, women, reproductive age, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

The most prevalent operational delivery technique in the world is the cesarean section (CS) (Gedefaw *et al.*, 2020). After fetal viability, the fetus, membranes, and placenta are delivered through abdominal and uterine incisions (Lyell *et al.*, 2016). When used as directed by a physician, CS has the potential to reduce maternal/neonatal mortality and morbidity, as well as delivery complications including obstetric fistula (Betran *et al.*, 2016; Keag *et al.*, 2018). CS without sufficient indication and explanation, on the other hand, has a number of negative outcomes, including increased maternal and newborn mortality and morbidity (Souza *et al.*, 2010).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has set a 15% CS rate as the top limit for all deliveries (Betran et al., 2016). Whereas a caesarean rate of less than 5% indicates a lack of surgical obstetric treatment. Furthermore, in Nigeria, access to Emergency Obstetric Care (EOC) is low (Abegunde et al., 2015), and financial and geographical hurdles to EOC persist (Etuk et al., 2019). However, in many middle-income and high-income nations, CS delivery is overused (Betran et al., 2007; Gibbons et al., 2012). In South Africa, the CS rate was found to be 32% (Govender et al., 2019). In many countries, the rising rate of CS suggests that this life-saving intervention is being used at a higher rate than expected based on obstetric indications (Boerma et al., 2018). However, the populationbased prevalence of CS is low in several low-income nations, where over 60% of the world's births occur (Gibbons et al., 2010).

Identification of the factors that influence the CS is crucial in reducing the unnecessary use of this life-saving procedure and increasing its availability to those who need it most. Characteristics connected to childbearing women, families,

communities, and the broader community, as well as factors related to the health system, have been shown to drive higher demand and supply of C-section related health services, according to studies (Begum et al., 2018; Betran et al., 2018). The available research on the prevalence of CS in Nigeria's population suggests a figure well below the WHO's suggested threshold of 10%. Furthermore, for numerous years, there has been no notable increase in the country's population-based CS rates (Adewuyi et al., 2019). In Nigeria, for example, just 2% of births were delivered with CS in 2008 (Adewuyi et al., 2019), and the rate remained stable in 2013 and 2018 (Adewuyi et al., 2019). This figure is significantly lower than in certain African countries (Cavallaro et al., 2013). Some research on CS use in Nigeria have been undertaken (Chigbu and Iloabachie, 2007; Sunday-Adeoye and Kalu, 2011; Akinola et al., 2014), however, they have all been institutional-based and had small sample sizes. In the country, nationally representative studies on this critical issue are required. As a result, the current study assesses prevalence and factors associated with cesarean delivery among women of reproductive age in Nigeria. The findings will give evidence-based knowledge for decision-making in Nigeria regarding the provision and use of cesarean birth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Source of Data

The data used in this study were sourced from the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS). For this study, 8216 women of childbearing age were considered. The 2018 survey is the most recent in Nigeria's series of NDHS (at the time of this study), and it was implemented with the help of various foreign partners, including technical assistance from the inner city fund's Measure Demographic and Health Survey program (Adewuyi *et al.*, 2019).

Study Variables

The variable used in this study are as follows: the outcome variable is delivery by cesarean (No and Yes) while the independent variables are women age group (15-19 years, 20-24 years, 25-29 years, 30-34 years, 35-39 years, 40-44 years and 45-49 years), Geo-Political Zones (North Central, North East, North West, South-South and South-West), Number of Visit (0-1 time, 2-3 times and greater than or equal to 4 times), Place of Residence (Rural and Urban), Maternal Education (No Education, Primary, Secondary and Tertiary), Wealth Index (Poor, Middle and Rich), ever had terminated pregnancy (No and Yes) and duration spent during pregnancy (Less than 9 months, exactly 9 months and more than 9 months).

Method of Data Analysis

The methods of analysis used for this study are frequency, percentage, chi-square test to discover if there is a relationship between two or more categorical variables according to Adewuyi *et al.* (2019) and Binary Logistic Regression Analysis. Statistical software used for the analysis was STATA V.15. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Chi-Square Test

The chi-square test for independence, also called Pearson's chi-square test or the chi-square test of association, is used to discover if there is a relationship between two categorical variables. A chi-square (χ^2) statistic is a measure of the difference between the observed and expected frequencies of the outcomes of a set of events or variables. Chi-square is useful for analyzing such differences in categorical variables, especially those nominal in nature. It can also be used to test the goodness-of-fit between an observed distribution and a theoretical distribution of frequencies.

(1)

The Formula for Chi-Square is

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(O_{i} - E_{i})^{2}}{E_{i}}$$

Where

$$O_i = Observed frequency$$

$$E_i = Expected frequency$$

The degree of freedom is given by (r-1)(c-1), where r is the number of rows and c is the number columns.

Decision Rule: Reject H_o if $\chi^2_{cal} > \chi^2_{tab}$, otherwise do not reject H_o

Binary Logistic Regression

The logistic regression models the response variable based on probabilities associated with the values of the dependent variable y. We will use P(y) to represent the probability that y = 1 which is the normal delivery. Similarly, we will define 1 - P(y) to the probability that y = 0 which is the caesarean delivery. These probabilities are written in the following form:

The log distribution (or logistic transformation of (p) is also called the logit of g or logit g(y) which is the log (to base e) of the odds ratio or likelihood ratio that the dependent variable is 1. In symbols it is defined as:

$$Logit(g(y)) = \log_{e}\left(\frac{P(y)}{1 - P(y)}\right) = In\left(\frac{P(y)}{1 - P(y)}\right)$$
(2)

The form of the logistic regression equation is thus rewritten as:

$$Logit(g(y)) = \log_{e}\left(\frac{P(y)}{1 - P(y)}\right) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{1} + \beta_{2}X_{2} + \dots + \beta_{n}X_{n}$$
(3)

Which can be written as:

$$Log_{e}\left(\frac{P(y=1)}{1-P(y=1)}\right) = \beta_{o} + \beta_{1}A + \beta_{2}R + \beta_{3}NV + \beta_{4}PR + \beta_{5}E + \beta_{6}WI + \beta_{7}EV + \beta_{8}DR$$
(4)

Where

A=Age Groups

R=Regions

NV=Number of Antenatal Visit

PR=Place of Residence

E=Mother's Education

WI=Wealth Index

EV=Ever had Pregnancy terminated

DR=Duration of Pregnancy

This look just like a linear regression and although logistic regression always finds a best fitting equation, just as linear regression does, the principles on which it does so are rather different. Instead of using least squared deviations criterion for the best fit, it uses a maximum likelihood method, which maximizes the probability of getting the observed results given the fitted regression coefficient.

Assumption of Binary Logistic Regression

- Logistic regression does not assume a linear relationship between the dependent and independent variables.
- The dependent variable must be a dichotomy (2 categories).
- The independent variables need not be interval, nor normally distributed, nor linearly related, nor of equal variance within each group.
- The categories (groups) must be mutually exclusive and exhaustive; a case can only be in one group and every case must be a member of one of the groups.

Ethical considerations

This research was based on NDHS data that had been made publicly available. The ethical processes were the responsibility of the institutions that commissioned, funded, or oversaw the surveys. To ensure that the protocols satisfy US Department of Health and Human Services regulations for the protection of human subjects, all DHS surveys are authorized by ICF International and an Institutional Review Board in each country.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents of the study participants as well as the prevalence of cesarean delivery in Nigeria. Out of 8216 of deliveries recorded in the 5 years before the 2018 NDHS, majority of the respondents are within age group 25-29 years with 30.7% followed by 30-34 years with 23.9%. A total of 44% of the respondents are from North central, 17.6% from North East, 10.2% from North West, 10.6% from South East, 8.3% from South South while 9.3% are from South West. Based on number of antenatal visit, 72.5% had antenatal visit one time, 25.7% had antenatal visit 2-3 times while 1.9% had antenatal visit 4 times and above. 44.3% of the respondent are from urban area while 55.7% are from rural area.

Majority of the respondent are rich with 47.7%, 43.4% are within middle class while 8.9% are poor. The result shows that 13.7% of the respondents had terminated pregnancy. Furthermore, 91.9% of the respondents had normal delivery while 8.1% of the respondents had cesarean delivery. Finally, 97.1% of respondents spent exactly 9 months during pregnancy, 1.5% spent less than 9 months while 1.4% spent greater than 9 months.

Table 1: Socio-demographic	c characteristics of the respondents
----------------------------	--------------------------------------

Factors	Frequency	Percentage (%)
AGE		
15-19 yrs	303	3.7
20-24yrs	1584	19.3
25-29yrs	2520	30.7
30-34yrs	1962	23.9
35-39yrs	1250	15.2
40-44yrs	474	5.8
45-49yrs	123	1.5
Geo-Political Zones		
North Central	3611	44.0
North East	1448	17.6
North West	835	10.2
South East	875	10.6
South South	684	8.3
South West	763	9.3
Number of Antenatal Visits		
0-1 times	5956	72.5

2-3 times	2108	25.7
Greater than or equal to 4	152	1.9
Place of Residence		
Urban	3641	44.3
Rural	4575	55.7
Maternal Highest Education		
No Education	3593	43.7
Primary	122	1.5
Secondary	1552	18.9
Higher	2949	35.9
Wealth Index		
Poor	731	8.9
Middle	3565	43.4
Rich	3920	47.7
Ever had a terminated pregnancy		
No	7087	86.3
Yes	1129	13.7
Delivery by Cesarean Section		
No	7551	91.9
Yes	665	8.1
Duration of Pregnancy		
Less than 9 months	127	1.5
Exactly 9 Months	7975	97.1
Greater than 9 Months	114	1.4

Socio-Demographic characteristics of Respondents and Prevalence of Cesarean Delivery

(2.3%). Respondents living in urban areas had a 5.7% prevalence of cesarean delivery.

From table 2, out of the total number of deliveries, 665 were through cesarean (CS) delivery representing 8.1%. The highest prevalence of CS was observed among 30-34 years with 2.5% followed by 25-29 years with 1.9% then 35-39 years with 1.8%. The highest prevalence of CS was observed in South East with 2.1% followed by North-central with 2%. Those who attend antenatal once had the highest number of cesarean delivery with 5.7% followed by those with 2-3 visits

Based on maternal education, those who had no education had the highest (5%) prevalence of cesarean delivery than those with primary, secondary and tertiary certificate. The result also indicated that women who are rich had the highest prevalence of cesarean delivery at 6.3%. The result shown in Table 2 revealed that age, regions, place of residence, maternal education, wealth index, previous terminated pregnancy and duration of pregnancy are associated with the prevalence of cesarean delivery in Nigeria with a p-value less than the level of significance (p<0.05).

Factors	Groups	Delivery by Cesarean		Chi-Square Value	P-value
		No	Yes	-	
	15-19 yrs	289 (3.5%)	14(0.2%)		
	20-24yrs	1511 (18.4%)	73(0.9%)		
	25-29yrs	2365 (28.8%)	155 (1.9%)		
Age	30-34yrs	1757 (21.4%)	205 (2.5%)	92.947	0.000
	35-39yrs	1099 (13.4%)	151 (1.8%)		
	40-44yrs	422 (5.1%)	52 (0.6%)		
	45-49yrs	108 (1.3%)	15 (0.2%)		
	North Central	3444 (41.9%)	167 (2%)		0.000
	North East	1407 (17.1%)	41 (0.5%)		
Desiene	North West	798 (9.7%)	37 (0.5%)	170 771	
Regions	South East	705 (8.6%)	170 (2.1%)	4/8.//1	
	South South	597 (7.3%)	87 (1.1%)		
	South West	600 (7.3%)	163 (2%)		
Number of Antonotal	0-1 times	5487 (66.8%)	469 (5.7%)		
Number of Antenatal Visit	2-3 times	1922 (23.4%)	186 (2.3%)	2.363	0.307
	\geq 4 times	142 (1.9%)	10 (0.1%)		
Diago of Desidence	Rural	4378 (53.3%)	197 (2.4%)	100 120	0.000
Place of Residence	Urban	3173 (38.6%	468 (5.7%)	199.129	0.000
Maternal Education	No Education	3184 (38.8%)	409 (5%)	99.633	0.000

Table 2: Socio-Demographic characteristics of Respondents and Prevalence of Cesarean Section

	Primary	119 (1.4%)	3 (0.5%)		
	Secondary	1445 (17.6%)	107 (1.3%)		
	Tertiary	2803 (34.1%)	146 (1.8%)		
	Poor	720 (8.8%)	11 (0.1%)		
Wealth Index	Middle	3432 (41.8%)	133 (1.6%)	276.221	0.000
	Rich	3399 (41.4%)	521 (6.3%)		
Ever had a	No	6550 (79.7%)	537 (6.5%)	18 510	0.000
terminated pregnancy	Yes	1001 (12.2%)	128 (1.6%)	18.510	0.000
	Less than 9 months	100 (1.2%)	27 (0.3%)		
Duration of	Exactly 9 months	7358 (89.6%)	617 (7.5%)	17 206	0.000
Pregnancy Gr	Greater than 9	93 (1.1%)	21(0.3%)	47.500	0.000
	months	<i>75</i> (1.170)	21 (0.370)		

Factor associated with cesarean delivery in Nigeria

The result obtained in Table 3 indicated that age groups 30-34 years, 35-39 years, 40-44 years had a significant effect on the prevalence of cesarean delivery in Nigeria with a p-value less than the level of significance (p<0.05). Also, region (Northeast, South East, South-South and South-West), place of residence (urban), wealth index (rich), previous terminated pregnancy, duration of pregnancy, and maternal education (secondary and higher education) had a significant effect on cesarean delivery with p-values less than the level of significance (p<0.05).

Based on the age groups, women whose age fall with age groups 35-39 had two times (OR=2.83) increasing odds of delivering their babies through CS than those within age groups 15-19. Furthermore, the prevalence of CS delivery in Nigeria is higher in South West (OR=5.60) than those from North Central. Termination of pregnancy was associated with 47% increased odds of CS compared with those who had no

terminated pregnancy. Based on the duration spent during pregnancy, there is a 35% decreased odds of CS in pregnancy that lasted exactly 9 months compared to those who spend less than 9 months. Women with secondary education had 2.26 times increased odds of utilising CS delivered compared with those who had no education. Finally, women who are rich had 5.47 times increased odds of prevalence of CS compared with those who are poor.

Based on maternal education, those who had no education had the highest (5%) prevalence of cesarean delivery than those with primary, secondary and tertiary certificate. The result also indicated that women who are rich had the highest prevalence of cesarean delivery at 6.3%. The result shown in Table 2 revealed that age, regions, place of residence, maternal education, wealth index, previous terminated pregnancy and duration of pregnancy are associated with the prevalence of cesarean delivery in Nigeria with a p-value less than the level of significance (p<0.05).

 Table 3: Assessing Factors Associated with Prevalence of Cesarean Delivery in Nigeria Using Logistics Regression

 Model

Factors	Coefficient	Standard	Z-value	P-value	Odd
		Error of			Ratio
		coefficient			
AGE					
15-19 yrs (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
20-24yrs	-0.0027	0.2987	-0.01	0.993	0.9973
25-29yrs	0.3023	0.2859	1.06	0.290	1.3529
30-34yrs	0.8790	0.2834	3.10	0.002**	2.4085
35-39yrs	1.0425	0.2871	3.63	0.000***	2.8363
40-44yrs	0.9336	0.3106	3.01	0.003**	2.5437
45-49yrs	1.0533	0.3883	2.71	0.077	2.8671
Regions					
North Central (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
North East	-0.5092	0.1771	-2.87	0.004	0.6009
North West	-0.0448	0.1859	-0.24	0.810	0.9562
South East	1.6040	0.1165	13.76	0.000***	4.9729
South-South	1.1004	0.1394	7.89	0.000***	3.0053
South West	1.7232	0.1187	14.52	0.000***	5.6025
Number of Antenatal Visits					
0-1 times (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
2-3 times	0.0246	0.1770	0.14	0.890	1.0249
Greater than or equal to 4	0.5502	0.4873	1.13	0.259	1.7336
Place of Residence					
Urban (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
Rural	-0.4091	0.1849	-2.21	0.027*	0.6641
Maternal Highest Education					

No Education (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
Primary	-0.2552	0.6068	-0.04	0.966	0.9748
Secondary	0.8189	0.2223	3.68	0.000***	2.2681
Higher	0.5930	0.1892	3.13	0.002**	1.8093
Wealth Index					
Poor (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
Middle	0.5825	0.5301	1.10	0.272	1.7904
Rich	1.7000	0.5303	3.21	0.001**	5.4739
Ever had a terminated pregnancy					
No (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
Yes	0.3879	0.2009	1.93	0.044*	1.4740
Duration of Pregnancy					
Less than 9 months (Ref)	-	-	-	-	1.0000
Exactly 9 Months	-1.0260	0.4226	-2.43	0.015*	0.3584
Greater than 9 Months	-0.3681	0.7483	-0.49	0.623	0.6920

*significant at p<0.05

**significant at p<0.01

***significant at p<0.001

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

We found that the national prevalence of CS in Nigeria is 8.1%, which is within the WHO's recommendation of 5-15% (WHO, 2018) and higher than the national prevalence of 2.1% in 2013 (Adewuyi *et al.*, 2019). Maternal age (35-39 years), the South West regions of Nigeria, urban residency, wealth index (rich), previous terminated pregnancy, less than 9 months of pregnancy, and maternal education (secondary education) are all associated with increased odds of CS.

In this study, maternal age of 35-39 was associated with increased odds of CS. This finding is supported by research conducted in the United Arab Emirates (Taha *et al.*, 2019), Iran (Meless *et al.*, 2020), Ethiopia (Taye *et al.*, 2021), and Nigeria (Awoyemi *et al.*, 2020). In addition, percentages of CS increased as maternal age and/or education increased in a study conducted in Enugu, Nigeria's southeast region (Gunn *et al.*, 2017). However, women in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) who are older and more educated are regarded to be more confident and influential in their household decisions, including the utilization of healthcare services (Burgard, 2004).

Furthermore, in accordance with our study, previous research has found a much higher prevalence of CS in Nigeria's southwest regions (Ezechi et al., 2002; Akinwuntan et al., 2006; Adekanle et al., 2013; Akinola et al., 2014; Berglundh et al., 2021). The likelihood of caesarean delivery was higher in urban areas compared to rural areas in this study, which could be attributable to the urban advantage in obstetric care services in Nigeria (Okonkwo and Ibeh, 2006). This could also be explained by the fact that women in urban areas are more likely to be overweight or obese than those in rural areas since they are more physically inactive. As a result of the mechanical obstruction of labour caused by the accumulation of adipose tissues in the woman's abdomen, a vaginal birth may be ineffective, resulting in fetal distress and the necessity for CS delivery (Tarimo et al., 2020). Caesarean delivery is one of nine life-saving signals that make up comprehensive EOC (Grady et al., 2011), and studies show that rural Nigeria has lower coverage and availability of services (Abegunde et al., 2015). EOC services in rural Nigeria are also inadequate, according to findings from a nationwide research (Okoli et al., 2012). Future interventions should focus on promoting equal access to excellent and accessible obstetric treatments, including CS, with women in rural Nigeria receiving specific attention.

This study in accordance with Ahmmed *et al.* (2021) demonstrated that the wealth index was significantly associated with an increase in CS delivery. Mothers from higher-income families are more likely to have more comforts and facilities, thus they may be more concerned about the pain of vaginal birth and opt for a CS delivery. This result appears to be consistent and repeatable with earlier findings (Cavallaro *et al.*, 2013; Rahman *et al.*, 2018; Hasan *et al.*, 2019).

Furthermore, this study discovered that women with secondary education had 2.26 times increased odds of utilising CS delivered compared with those who had no education. Similarly, according to Verma et al. (2020), women with greater education are more likely to have a caesarean section than those with less education. Education not only improves socioeconomic level, but also improves skills, knowledge, and confidence in the use of appropriate healthcare services (Hahn and Truman, 2015). As a result, when the necessity occurs, better-educated women are more likely to appreciate the use of life-saving caesarean delivery. However, there is a 35% decreased odds of CS in pregnancy that lasted exactly 9 months compared to those who spend less than 9 months in this study. Mamah et al. (2020) similarly found out that preterm labour is an indication for CS. Because the 2018 NDHS is nationally representative, our findings apply to all Nigerian women of reproductive age.

CONCLUSION

We found a considerably moderate prevalence of cesarean delivery in Nigeria. Maternal age (35-39 years), South West region of Nigeria, urban place of residence, wealth index (rich), previous terminated pregnancy, less than 9 months of pregnancy and maternal education (secondary education) were significantly associated with increased odds of CS utilization in Nigeria. However, improved availability and access to obstetric care services should be pursued further by following the WHO's EOC guidelines in all Nigerian regions. This will require raising the number of complete EOC facilities and supporting their equitable distribution, as well as increasing staff strength and skill development, and equipping and upgrading existing facilities in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

Abegunde, D., Kabo, I. A., Sambisa, W., Akomolafe, T., Orobaton, N., Abdulkarim, M., & Sadauki, H. (2015). Availability, utilization, and quality of emergency obstetric care services in Bauchi State, Nigeria. *International journal* of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, 128(3), 251–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.09.029

Adekanle, D.A., Adeyemi, A.S. and Fasanu, A.O. (2013). Caesarean Section at a Tertiary Institution in Southwestern Nigeria: A Six Year Review. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 3(3), 357-361. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojog.2013.33066

Adewuyi, E. O., Auta, A., Khanal, V., Tapshak, S. J., & Zhao, Y. (2019). Cesarean delivery in Nigeria: prevalence and associated factors-a population-based cross-sectional study. *BMJ* open, 9(6), e027273. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027273</u>

Ahmmed, F., Manik, M., & Hossain, M. J. (2021). Caesarian section (CS) delivery in Bangladesh: A nationally representative cross-sectional study. *PloS one*, *16*(7), e0254777. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254777</u>

Akinola, O. I., Fabamwo, A. O., Tayo, A. O., Rabiu, K. A., Oshodi, Y. A., & Alokha, M. E. (2014). Caesarean section-an appraisal of some predictive factors in Lagos Nigeria. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, *14*, 217. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-217</u>

Akinwuntan, A.L., Oladakun, A., Morhason, B.O., Ukaigwe, A. and Olatunji, F. (2006). Caesarean Section at the Turn of the Millenium A 5 Year Review: The University College Hospital, Ibadan Experience. *Tropical Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 23, S13.

Awoyemi B. O. (2020). The Rate and Costs of Caesarean Section among Women in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. *Health Econ Outcome Res Open Access*, 6(3), 1-5

Begum, T., Ellis, C., Sarker, M., Rostoker, J. F., Rahman, A., Anwar, I., & Reichenbach, L. (2018). A qualitative study to explore the attitudes of women and obstetricians towards caesarean delivery in rural Bangladesh. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, *18*(1), 368. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-1993-9</u>

Berglundh, S., Benova, L., Olisaekee, G., & Hanson, C. (2021). Caesarean section rate in Nigeria between 2013 and 2018 by obstetric risk and socio-economic status. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH*, 26(7), 775–788. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13579

Betrán, A. P., Merialdi, M., Lauer, J. A., Bing-Shun, W., Thomas, J., Van Look, P., & Wagner, M. (2007). Rates of caesarean section: analysis of global, regional and national estimates. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*, *21*(2), 98– 113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3016.2007.00786.x</u>

Betrán, A. P., Temmerman, M., Kingdon, C., Mohiddin, A., Opiyo, N., Torloni, M. R., Zhang, J., Musana, O., Wanyonyi, S. Z., Gülmezoglu, A. M., & Downe, S. (2018). Interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. *Lancet (London, England)*, 392(10155), 1358–1368. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31927-5</u>

Betran, A. P., Torloni, M. R., Zhang, J. J., Gülmezoglu, A. M., & WHO Working Group on Caesarean Section (2016). WHO Statement on Caesarean Section Rates. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*, *123*(5), 667–670. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13526</u>

Boerma, T., Ronsmans, C., Melesse, D. Y., Barros, A., Barros, F. C., Juan, L., Moller, A. B., Say, L., Hosseinpoor, A. R., Yi, M., de Lyra Rabello Neto, D., & Temmerman, M. (2018). Global epidemiology of use of and disparities in caesarean sections. *Lancet (London, England)*, *392*(10155), 1341–1348. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31928-7</u>

Burgard S. (2004). Race and pregnancy-related care in Brazil and South Africa. *Social science & medicine (1982)*, *59*(6), 1127–1146. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.01.006</u>

Cavallaro, F. L., Cresswell, J. A., França, G. V., Victora, C. G., Barros, A. J., & Ronsmans, C. (2013). Trends in caesarean delivery by country and wealth quintile: cross-sectional surveys in southern Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, *91*(12), 914–922D. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.13.117598

Chigbu, C. O., & Iloabachie, G. C. (2007). The burden of caesarean section refusal in a developing country setting. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*, *114*(10), 1261–1265. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2007.01440.x</u>

Etuk, S. J., Abasiattai, A. M., Ande, A. B., Omo-Aghoja, L., Bariweni, A. C., Abeshi, S. E., Enaruna, N. O., & Oladapo, O. T. (2019). Maternal near-miss and death among women with rupture of the gravid uterus: a secondary analysis of the Nigeria Near-miss and Maternal Death Survey. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*, *126 Suppl 3*, 26–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15700</u>

Ezechi, O.C., Nwokoro, C.A., Kalu, B.K.E., Njokanma, O.F. and Okeke, G.C.E. (2002). Caesarean Morbidity and Mortality in a Private Hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. *Tropical Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 19(2), 97-100

Gedefaw, G., Demis, A., Alemnew, B., Wondmieneh, A., Getie, A., & Waltengus, F. (2020). Prevalence, indications, and outcomes of caesarean section deliveries in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Patient safety in surgery*, *14*, 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13037-020-00236-8

Gibbons, L., Belizan, J. M., Lauer, J. A., Betran, A. P., Merialdi, M., & Althabe, F. (2012). Inequities in the use of cesarean section deliveries in the world. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 206(4), 331.e1–331.e3319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.02.026</u>

Gibbons, L., Belizán, J. M., Lauer, J. A., Betrán, A. P., Merialdi, M. and Althabe, F. (2010). The Global Numbers and Costs of Additionally Needed and Unnecessary Caesarean Sections Performed per Year: Overuse as a Barrier to Universal Coverage. *WHO Report*, 1-31

Govender, I., Steyn, C., Maphasha O., & Abdulrazak A. T. (2019). A profile of Caesarean sections performed at a district hospital in Tshwane, South Africa. *South African Family*

Practice, 61(6), 246-251. https://doi.org/10.1080/20786190.2019.1671655

Grady, K., Ameh, C., Adegoke, A., Kongnyuy, E., Dornan, J., Falconer, T., Islam, M., & van den Broek, N. (2011). Improving essential obstetric and newborn care in resourcepoor countries. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 31*(1), 18–23. https://doi.org/10.3109/01443615.2010.533218

Gunn, J. K., Ehiri, J. E., Jacobs, E. T., Ernst, K. C., Pettygrove, S., Center, K. E., Osuji, A., Ogidi, A. G., Musei, N., Obiefune, M. C., Ezeanolue, C. O., & Ezeanolue, E. E. (2017). Prevalence of Caesarean sections in Enugu, southeast Nigeria: Analysis of data from the Healthy Beginning Initiative. *PloS* one, *12*(3), e0174369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174369</u>

Hahn, R. A., & Truman, B. I. (2015). Education Improves Public Health and Promotes Health Equity. *International journal of health services : planning, administration, evaluation*, 45(4), 657–678. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731415585986

Hasan, F., Alam, M. M., & Hossain, M. G. (2019). Associated factors and their individual contributions to caesarean delivery among married women in Bangladesh: analysis of Bangladesh demographic and health survey data. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, *19*(1), 433. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2588-9

Keag, O. E., Norman, J. E., & Stock, S. J. (2018). Long-term risks and benefits associated with cesarean delivery for mother, baby, and subsequent pregnancies: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS medicine*, *15*(1), e1002494. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002494

Lyell, D. J., Power, M., Murtough, K., Ness, A., Anderson, B., Erickson, K., & Schulkin, J. (2016). Surgical Techniques at Cesarean Delivery: A U.S. Survey. *Surgery journal (New York, N.Y.)*, 2(4), e119–e125. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1594247</u>

Mamah, J.E., Asiegbu, O.G., Asiegbu, U.V., Ekwedigwe, K.C., Nnadozie, U.U. and Okafor, L. (2020) A Six-Year Review of Caesarean Sections at the Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, South East Nigeria. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 10(12), 1669-1676. https://doi.org/10.4236/0jog.2020.10120150

Melesse, M. B., Geremew, A. B., & Abebe, S. M. (2020). High prevalence of caesarean birth among mothers delivered at health facilities in Bahir Dar city, Amhara region, Ethiopia. A comparative study. *PloS one*, *15*(4), e0231631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231631</u>

Okoli, U., Abdullahi, M. J., Pate, M. A., Abubakar, I. S., Aniebue, N., & West, C. (2012). Prenatal care and basic emergency obstetric care services provided at primary healthcare facilities in rural Nigeria. *International journal of*

gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, 117(1), 61–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2011.11.014

Okonkwo, J. E., & Ibeh, C. C. (2006). The contribution of privately owned hospitals in the provision of essential obstetric care in Nigeria. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, 9(2), 159–163.

Rahman, M. M., Haider, M. R., Moinuddin, M., Rahman, A. E., Ahmed, S., & Khan, M. M. (2018). Determinants of caesarean section in Bangladesh: Cross-sectional analysis of Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014 Data. *PloS one, 13*(9), e0202879. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202879

Souza, J. P., Gülmezoglu, A., Lumbiganon, P., Laopaiboon, M., Carroli, G., Fawole, B., Ruyan, P., & WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health Research Group (2010). Caesarean section without medical indications is associated with an increased risk of adverse short-term maternal outcomes: the 2004-2008 WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. *BMC medicine*, *8*, 71. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-8-71

Sunday-Adeoye, I., & Kalu, C. A. (2011). Pregnant Nigerian women's view of cesarean section. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, *14*(3), 276–279. https://doi.org/10.4103/1119-3077.86766

Taha, Z., Ali Hassan, A., Wikkeling-Scott, L., & Papandreou, D. (2019). Prevalence and Associated Factors of Caesarean Section and its Impact on Early Initiation of Breastfeeding in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *Nutrients*, *11*(11), 2723. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11112723</u>

Tarimo, C. S., Mahande, M. J., & Obure, J. (2020). Prevalence and risk factors for caesarean delivery following labor induction at a tertiary hospital in North Tanzania: a retrospective cohort study (2000-2015). *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 20(1), 173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-02861-8</u>

Taye, M. G., Nega, F., Belay, M. H., Kibret, S., Fentie, Y., Addis, W. D., & Fenta, E. (2021). Prevalence and factors associated with caesarean section in a comprehensive specialized hospital of Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study; 2020. *Annals of medicine and surgery* (2012), 67, 102520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102520</u>

Verma, V., Vishwakarma, R. K., Nath, D. C., Khan, H., Prakash, R., & Abid, O. (2020). Prevalence and determinants of caesarean section in South and South-East Asian women. *PloS* one, *15*(3), e0229906. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229906</u>

World Health Organization (2018). WHO Statement on Caesarean Section Rates. Geneva, Switzerland: Department of Reproductive Health and Research



©2022 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution. and reproduction in any medium. provided the original work is cited appropriately.

FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS) Vol. 6 No. 1, March, 2022, pp 160 - 167