



MOLECULAR DOCKING VIRTUAL SCREENING, DRUG-LIKENESS AND PHARMACOKINETICS (ADMET) PROPERTIES PREDICTION OF SOME ENDOMETRIAL CANCER AGENTS

¹Aiyedogbon Okikiola, ^{*1}Muhammad Tukur Ibrahim, ¹Gideon Adamu Shallangwa, ²Salisu Muhammad Tahir & ¹Tukur Abubakar

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Physical Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria ²Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Kaduna State University, Kaduna

*Corresponding authors' email: <u>muhdtk1988@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Endometrial or uterine cancer is a malignancy arising from the endometrium of the uterus. Women have a 1 in 40 life-time risk of being diagnosed with endometrial cancer, the fourth most common malig¬nancy among women. Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological malignancy in the developed world. The binding mode of some endometrial cancer agents in the active site of human estrogen receptor (PDB1*1P) (receptor) was studied via molecular docking. Molecule 6 was identified to have the highest binding energy of -10.1 kcal/mol among other selected compounds which might be as a result of hydrogen bond interactions formed with ASP480 amino acid residues and hydrophobic/other interactions formed with LEU508, LEU479 and ILE451 amino acid residues in the active site of the receptor. The drug-likeness properties of these selected endometrial cancer agents were predicted following the Lipinski's rule of five and were found to be orally active and bioavailable as they obeyed the used filtering criterion. Based on the pharmacokinetic properties predicted, they were seen to have good ADMET properties. This research proposed a way for designing potent endometrial cancer agents against their target enzyme (human estrogen receptor).

Keywords: Endometrial cancer, estrogen receptor, Lipinski's rule, malignancy

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a chronic abnormal cell disorder or a lethal disease demonstrates by immortality and unrestricted cell division. Cancer cells may be invasive, aggressive and metastatic and generally spread into various organs in the body (Jemal et al., 2011).

Endometrial or uterine cancer is a malignancy arising from the endometrium of the uterus. Women have 1 in 40 lifetime risk of being diagnosed with endometrial cancer, the fourth most common malig¬nancy among women (Barr et al., 2016). Uterine cancer is the most common gynecologic malignancy in the United State. Stage I malignancies comprises the majority of endometrial cancers. Postmenopausal bleeding is the most common presentation (Boggess et al., 2008).

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological malignancy in the developed world. The majority of cases can be divided into two broad categories based on clinic-pathological and molecular characteristics; Type I oestrogen-dependent with endometrioid morphology and Type II non-estrogen-dependent with serous papillary or clear cell morphology (Llauradó et al., 2012).

The concept of computational chemistry like computer-aided drug design (CADD) might save the time of discovering or designing new compounds with better potency, and also reduce the cost of synthesis. Molecular docking virtual simulation is very important when carrying out a structurebased drug design (SBDD) which predicts the binding affinities an orientation when two molecules bind with each other to form a stable complex (Ibrahim et al., 2021).

Molecular docking is one of the most frequently used methods in structure-based drug design, due to its ability to predict the binding conformation of the small molecules to the appropriate target binding site (Ferreira et al., 2015). Characterization of the binding behavior plays an important role in the rational design of drugs as well as elucidating the

fundamental biochemical processes. Molecular docking in the pharmaceutical industry is powerful in silico approach for discovering of novel therapies for unmet medical needs predicting drug-target interactions, it provides binding affinity between drugs and target at the atomic level and elucidates the fundamental pharmacological properties of a specific drug (Shadrack et al., 2018).

Drug-likeness properties give the conditions or criteria for a drug potency of a particular chemical compound. include the use of Lipinski's rule of five to predict the drug-likeness of the selected drugs, which states that if any chemical violates more than two of these criteria (Molecular weight \leq 500g/mol, Number of hydrogen bond donor \leq 5, Number of hydrogen bond acceptors \leq 10, calculated Log P \leq 5 and the molecule are said to be impermeable or badly absorbed (Li et al., 2019; Lipinski, 2004).

The ADMET properties also known as pharmacokinetics properties describe the fate of a small molecule (drug/ligand) in the body of a living organism. The acronym stands for absorption, distribution, metabolism excretion and toxicity (ADMET) (Olasupo et al., 2020).

The aim of this study is to virtually screen and predict the pharmacokinetics properties of some endometrial cancer inhibitors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Software and computational environment

A HP655-PC computer system with the following specification: AMD E1- 1200 APU with Radeon at 1.40GHz, 4GB of RAM was utilized to explore the nature of interactions between the active site of estrogen and the compounds under investigation (ligands) with the help of Pyrex virtual screening software, Chimera and Discovery studio.

Data collection

Twenty-two (22) sets of endometrial cancer agents were gotten from the literature and used in this work.

Structure generation, stable geometry calculations and ligand preparation

In this work, the 2D structures of the dataset were drawn using Chemdraw 12.0 software. After generation of the 2D structure of the studied molecules, the 2D structures were automatically converted to 3D by the Spartan 14 software before energy minimization. Energy minimization was carried out to reduce constraints in the structures before finding the most stable geometry of the studied molecules. The most stable geometry of the studied molecules was ascertained using density functional theory (DFT) at B3LYP/6-311G* level of theory. Ligands were prepared before the docking analysis from the optimized structure of the drugs and saved in pdb file format using Spartan 14 (Ibrahim et al., 2020a).

Protein retrieval and preparation

The 3D structure of the receptor was retrieved from the RCSB pdb database. The enzyme was prepared with the help of a discovery studio visualizer for the docking analysis. In the course of its preparation, polar hydrogen was added. Water molecule and co-ligands were eliminated from the crystals structure and saved in pdb file format (Abdullahi et al., 2020). **Docking based virtual screening analysis**

The docking of the ligands to the binding pose of the enzyme was achieved with the help of Autodock vina of Pyrex virtual screening software. After a successful docking procedure, since Pyrex was used there is a need to re-couple the docked ligand and the receptor for further investigation (Ibrahim et al., 2020b). UCSF Chimera software was used for the recoupling of the docked ligand and the receptor. Discovery studio was used to achieve the visualization of recoupled complexes in order to view the nature of the interaction between the ligand and the receptor (Ibrahim et al., 2019).

ADMET and drug-likeness properties prediction

The pharmacokinetics (ADMET) properties and the druglikeness of the studied compounds were predicted using pkCSM (http://structure.bioc.cam.ac.uk/pkCSM) and SwissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php) a free web tool used in evaluating ADMET properties and druglikeness of small molecules (Daina et al., 2017; Ibrahim et al., 2020c).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Molecular docking

The nature of the interactions between some endometrial cancer agents and the active site of human estrogen receptor alpha (PDB ID 1*1P) was studied using molecular docking. Table 1 shows the binding affinities and mode of interaction of the studied molecules. The binding affinities of the studied molecules range from -3.3 Kcal/mol to -10.1 Kcal/mol, respectively. From the result of the docking virtual screening (Table 1), molecule 6 was identified to have the highest binding affinity of -10.1 Kcal/mol among the other selected compounds followed by molecule 19 with the binding affinity of -9.0 Kcal/mol, molecule 2 with a binding affinity of -8.1 Kcal/mol and molecule 4 having the lowest binding affinity of -3.3 Kcal/mol among the studied molecules.

Table 1: Binding affinities and nature of the interaction of the studied molecules and estrogen receptor.

S/N Binding Conventional Carbon Hydrogen			Carbon Hydrogen	Hydrophobic and other
	Energy	Hydrogen Bond	Bond	interactions
1	-7.6	ASP351	LEU384, LEU346, TRP383 LEU349, THR	LEU 387, LEU 391, MET 388, LEU 525, ALA 350,
-			347, GLU 353, HIS 524, LYS 531, LEU 428, MET 343, MET421	<u> </u>
2	Q 1	LEU409 and	LEU 408, LEU 410, TYR 331, ASN	PHE 337, PHE 425, CYS 530, ILE
2	-0.1	GLN414	407, PRO 333, LYS 408	424, PHE 404
			LEU 428, LEU 536, LEU 346, LEU	LEU 525, LEU 391, MET 388,
3	-7.7	MET343, ASP 351	354, ILE 424, ARG 394, LYS 531,	LEU 387, LEU 384, ALA 350,
			THR 347, GLU 353	CYS 530
	-3.3		LEU 525, ARG 515, GLU 380, GLU	
4		CYS381	523, ASN 519, TYR 526, TRP 383,	MET 522
			ASN 532, LYS 531, LEU 536	
_	-7.5		LEU 384, LEU 391, LEU 349, LEU	~~ · · · · · ·
5		LEU387 & LEU346	525, PHE 404, THR347, ALA 350,	GLU 353
			MET 388	
6	-10.1	ASP480	ASN 455, HIS 476, THR 483, LEU	LEU 508, LEU 479, ILE 451
			504, LEU 509	
			LEU 387, LEU 354, LEU 384, LEU	
7	-7.1	LYS 531 and CYS	428, MET 388, MET 421, MET 343,	ALA 350, LEU 346, LEU 536 &
		530	PHE 404, PHE 425, ILE 424, HIS	ASP 536
			524, IRP 383, LEU 539, IHR 347	
0	7.0	A CN1 407	LEU 408, LEU 409, LEU 410, PRO	DUE 227
8	-7.0	ASN 407	330, ARG 335, PRO 333, THK 334, TVD 221, CLN 414	PHE 337
		LEU.207 and	11K 551, OLN 414	
0	-7.4		PHE 404, PHE 425, LEU 525, LEU 246, LEU 294, THE 247, LEU 409	ALA 350, LEU 391
9		GLU:555	540, LEU 564, INK 547, LEU 426, MET 299 MET 242 TDD 292	CYS 530
			ME1 500, ME1 545, IKF 505, DHE 404 DHE 425 LEU 525 LEU	
		ADC 204	246 I EU 284 THD 247 I EU 429	
10	-7.9	ANU 394	MET 388 MET 3/3 TRD 382 CVC	TRP 383, LEU 354, LEU 536
			530 WE1 545, IKF 565, C15	
			550	

LEU 525, LEU 346, LEU 384,LEU	LEU 387
12 -7.9 PRO 324, PRO 325, GLY 390, PHE 445, 349, ASP 351, MET 388, ME T 343, THR 347, LYS 531, TRP 383 ASN 455, ILE 451, ILE 326 & GLU 323	
13 -3.4 LEU 387, ARG 394, GLU 353 ILE 452, ILE 514, SER 512, GLU LEU 391, ALA 350, 385ILE 452, SER 456, ASN 455, THR 483, GLU 385, HIS 516, LEU CYS 530 509, HIS 476, TYR 459	
14 -7.9 LEU 511, ARG 515, SER 456 ARG 515, THR 483, ASP 480, HIS 476, LEU 509, SER 512 LEU 428, LEU 346, LEU 387, LEU 391, PHE 404, MET 388 ALA 350, PHE 425, LEU 346, LEU 387, LEU 391,	, ME T 343, MET 421
15 -7.1 SER 512, ARG 515 LEU 428, LEU 384, LEU 387, PHE 425, MET 388, GLY 521, THR 347, CYS 530	LE 451
16 -3.9 ASN 455 TYR 526, ASN 532, ILE 424 ILE 451, LEU 508, L 511 & MET 522	EU 479, LEU
17 -8.8 GLU 353, ARG 394 HIS 398, SER 395, MET 396, PRO 325, PRO 406, ARG 394 LEU 384, LEU 525, THR 347,	ILE 424
18 -7.6 PRO 324, GLU 397, LEU 539, LEU 346, LEU 354, LEU PHE 404, LEU 526	5, ALA 350,
IRP 393, GLU 323 426, LEU 364, LEU 340, LEU 340, LEU 350	
IP -9.0 LEU 346 ARG 548, ASP 545, ARG 363 ILEU 346, LEU 356 19 -9.0 LEU 346 ARG 548, ASP 545, ARG 363 ILE 326, LEU 400 ASN532, ASP351 VAL533, VAL533, VAL533,	3, LYS 531, , PRO535,
IP 19 -9.0 LEU 346 ARG 548, ASP 545, ARG 363 ILEU 346, LEU 336 19 -9.0 LEU 346 ARG 548, ASP 545, ARG 363 ILE 326, LEU 40: ASN532, ASP351 VAL533, 20 -7.2 GLN 375, LYS 362 MET:522, LEU 536, LYS 531, GLU 523, SER 518, ASN 519, GLU 380, CYS 381 ILEU391, LEU525 ILEU391, LEU544 CYS530	3, LYS 531, , PRO535, , LEU387, 0, MET421,
IP -9.0 LEU 346 ARG 548, ASP 545, ARG 363 ILE 326, LEU 40 ASN532, ASP351 VAL533, 20 -7.2 GLN 375, LYS 362 MET:522, LEU 536, LYS 531, GLU 523, SER 518, ASN 519, GLU 380, CYS 381 MET388, ALA 35 LEU391, LEU525 LEU544 CYS 530 21 -7.7 ASN 532 TYR526 LEU525, LEU354, THR347, LEU372, LEU345 VAL368, ALA546, LEU372, LEU345	3, LYS 531, , PRO535, , LEU387, 0, MET421, LEU 372,

From Table 1, molecule 6 the most potent identified mol among other selected compounds with a binging affinity -10.1 Kcal/mol formed a conventional hydrogen bond with ASP480 and carbon-hydrogen bond with the following amino acid residues ASN455, HIS476, THR483, LEU504 and LEU509, respectively which might be primarily responsible for its high binding affinity. Not only the mentioned ones but also hydrophobic and other interactions with LEU508, LEU479 and ILE451 amino acid residues were observed. The 2D structure of molecule 6 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: 2D structure of molecule 6 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha

FJS

FJS

Next among the molecules identified with higher binding affinities was molecule 19 (-9.0 kcal/mol) where it interacted with the active site of the human estrogen receptor alpha through a conventional hydrogen bond with LEU346 amino acid residue. Besides this, it also interacted with the active site of the human estrogen receptor alpha through carbon-hydrogen bonds with ARG548, ASP545 and ARG363 amino acid residues, respectively. Not only had that, but it also formed hydrophobic and other interactions with ILE326, LEU403, LYS531, ASN532, ASP351, PRO535 and VAL533 amino acid residues, respectively. The 2D structure of molecule 19 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: 2D structure of molecule 19 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha

The third molecule identified with higher binding affinity was molecule 17 (-8.8 Kcal/mol). The conventional hydrogen bond between the molecule and active site of the human estrogen receptor alpha with GLU353 and ARG394 amino acid residues were observed. HIS398, SER395, MET396, PRO325, PRO406 and ARG394 amino acid residues in the active site of the human estrogen receptor-alpha were seen to have formed a carbon-hydrogen bond with molecule 17, respectively. Hydrophobic and other interactions between the molecule and LEU384, LEU525, ILE424 and THR347 amino acid residues were also observed. The 2D structure of molecule 17 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: 2D structure of molecule 17 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha

The one that comes after molecule 17 among the identified ones with higher binding affinities was molecule 2 (-8.1 Kcal/mol). It was seen to form a conventional hydrogen bond with LEU409 and GLN414 amino acid residues and a carbon-hydrogen bond with LEU408, LEU410, TYR331, ASN407, PRO333 and LYS408 amino acid residues, respectively. Apart from the conventional and carbon-hydrogen bonds, it formed hydrophobic and other interactions in the active site of the human estrogen receptor alpha with PHE337, PHE425, CYS530, ILE424 and PHE404 amino acid residues, respectively. The 2D structure of molecule 2 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: 2D structure of molecule 2 in complex with the human estrogen receptor alpha

Drug-likeness properties

The drug-likeness properties of all the endometrial cancer agents were predicted to confirm the viability of the drugs employing SWISSADME online web tools. The drug-likeness properties of the reported compounds are presented in Table 2. From the Table, none among the identified compounds with higher binding affinities was found to violate any of the condition/ criteria (Molecular weight \leq 500, Number of hydrogen bond donors \leq 5, Number of hydrogen bond acceptors \leq 10, and Calculated Log p \leq 5) set by the Lipinski's rule of five. This confirms that the identified compounds are orally active and bioavailable. Table 2: The drug likeness of studied molecules

S/N	MW	HB Donor	HB acceptor	WLOGP	Lipinski Violations	Synthetic accessibility
1	293.37	0	4	2.93	0	2.21
2	386.47	2	3	4.42	0	3.11
3	441.46	2	8	3.84	0	4.52
4	501.51	2	7	5.52	1	3.09
5	369.23	2	6	1.01	0	3.32
6	182.18	2	4	0.07	0	2.65
7	426.55	1	3	3.58	0	3.95
8	392.43	3	4	2.21	0	3.2
9	418.4	7	9	2.05	1	5.06
10	254.28	3	5	1.18	0	4.65
11	446.9	1	7	4.32	0	3.26
12	319.4	1	2	3.05	0	2.85
13	385.48	0	4	3.48	0	4.42
14	328.41	4	4	1.81	0	3
15	430.53	0	6	4.29	0	6.39
16	443.49	2	6	4.36	0	2.87
17	384.51	0	4	4.58	0	5.21
18	273.35	1	2	2.55	0	3.1
19	425.51	2	6	3.11	0	3.85
20	382.58	0	0	7.53	0	3.37
21	482.82	3	8	6.88	0	3.04
22	495.53	3	8	3.95	0	3.87

The plot of WLOGP against TPSA (Boiled-egg plot) to predict gastrointestinal absorption and brain penetration of the selected molecules was shown in Figure 5. It can be seen from the plot that only a few of the molecules possess the BBB permeability properties. Almost all of the studied compounds are within the GI absorption region except three (3) compounds.





Figure 5: The boiled egg plot of the reported compounds

ADMET properties

The pharmacokinetic (ADMET) properties of all the endometrial cancer agents were predicted employing pkCSM online web tools. The ADMET properties of the reported compounds are shown in Table 3. All the reported compounds have absorbance values between 36.5 to 100% as the values passed the minimum recommended values of 30% which indicates good human intestinal absorption. The minimum recommended values for the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and central nervous system permeability is > 0.3 to < -1 Log BB and > -2 to < -3 Log PS respectively. As for these compounds, Log BB is between -0.122 to 1.038 for all which implies that the compounds are better distributed to the brain except for those that are not within the accepted values. Log PS for all is between -0.696 to -3.895 which are considered to penetrate the central nervous system except for those that are not within the accepted values. The enzymatic metabolism of drugs shows the biotransformation of a drug in the body. The most important among the CYP families is 3A4 which is the reported compounds were found to be substrate and inhibitors of it including the identified potent compounds. The reported compounds showed a high value of total clearance but within the accepted limit of a drug molecule in the body. Furthermore, all the reported compounds were found to be non-toxic except a few. The overall ADMET properties of these compounds most especially the identified compounds indicate their good pharmacokinetic profiles (Table 3).

		F		Metabo	lism	~						Tox
				CYP		CYP					Excreti	icit
	Absorption	Distribution		Substra	te	Inhibitors					on	у
	Intestinal	BBB perm.	CNS perm.								Total	AM
S/N	absorption	(Log BB)	(Log PS)	2D6	3A4	1A2	2C19	2C9	2D6	3A4	Clearan	ES
											ce	
1	91.668	-1.117	-2.097	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.115	Yes
2	97.527	-1.44	-3,257	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	0.538	No
3	100	-0.678	-3.043	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.156	No
4	68.723	-0.619	-3.457	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.072	No
5	69.074	-1.074	-3.541	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.169	No
6	100	-0.678	-2.043	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.156	No
7	36.5	-1.135	-3.895	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.414	No
8	97.527	-1.44	-3.257	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	0.538	Yes
9	85.732	-1.235	-2.322	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.36	No
10	93.469	-0.417	-2.815	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.429	No
11	91.668	-1.117	-2.097	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.115	Yes
12	87.598	-1.162	-2.097	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.044	No
13	100	-0.525	-2.402	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	1.094	No
14	74.729	-1.032	-3.202	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	0.956	No
15	76.671	-1.063	-2.306	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.864	Yes
16	97.735	-0.496	-2.849	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	0.501	No
17	96.342	-0.485	-2.416	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	0.707	No
18	95.413	1.038	-0.696	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	0.652	No
19	90.141	-0.9	-2.549	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	0.967	No
20	93.178	-0.122	-2.163	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	0.914	Yes
21	97.899	0.452	-1.757	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	0.547	No
22	92.84	-1.337	-3.409	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	0.778	No

Table 3: The ADMET properties of the studied molecules

CONCLUSION

Molecular docking, drug-likeness and pharmacokinetic studies were carried out on twenty-two set of endometrial cancer agents. This study confirmed the endometrial cancer agent's inhibitory activities, their safety through their pharmacokinetic profiles and could be used as potential drugs for the treatment of endometrial or uterine cancer.

REFERENCES

Abdullahi, M., Uzairu, A., Shallangwa, G. A., Arthur, D. E., Umar, B. A. and Ibrahim, M. T. (2020). Virtual molecular docking study of some novel carboxamide series as new antitubercular agents. *European Journal of Chemistry*, 11:30-36.

Barr, R. D., Ferrari, A., Ries, L., Whelan, J. and Bleyer, W. A. (2016). Cancer in adolescents and young adults: a narrative review of the current status and a view of the future. *JAMA pediatrics*, 170:495-501.

Boggess, J. F., Gehrig, P. A., Cantrell, L., Shafer, A., Ridgway, M., Skinner, E. N. and Fowler, W. C. (2008). A comparative study of 3 surgical methods for hysterectomy with staging for endometrial cancer: robotic assistance, laparoscopy, laparotomy. *American journal of obstetrics and* gynecology, 199:360. e361-360. e369.

Daina, A., Michielin, O. and Zoete, V. (2017). SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Scientific reports*, 7:42717.

Ferreira, L. G., Dos Santos, R. N., Oliva, G. and Andricopulo, A. D. (2015). Molecular docking and structure-based drug design strategies. *Molecules*, 20:13384-13421.

Ibrahim, M. T., Uzairu, A., Shallangwa, G. A. and Uba, S. (2019). QSAR modelling and docking analysis of some thiazole analogues as α -glucosidase inhibitors. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, 5:0257-0270.

Ibrahim, M. T., Uzairu, A., Shallangwa, G. A. and Uba, S. (2020a). Computer-aided molecular modeling studies of some 2, 3-dihydro-[1, 4] dioxino [2, 3-f] quinazoline derivatives as EGFR WT inhibitors. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 9:1-10.

Ibrahim, M. T., Uzairu, A., Shallangwa, G. A. and Uba, S. (2020b). Lead Identification of Some Anti-Cancer Agents

with Prominent Activity Against Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) and Structure-Based Design. *Chemistry Africa*:1-22.

Ibrahim, M. T., Uzairu, A., Uba, S. and Shallangwa, G. A. (2020c). Computational virtual screening and structure-based design of some epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors. *Future Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 6:1-16.

Ibrahim, M. T., Uzairu, A., Uba, S. and Shallangwa, G. A. (2021). Design of more potent quinazoline derivatives as EGFRWT inhibitors for the treatment of NSCLC: a computational approach. *Future Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7:1-11.

Jemal, A., Bray, F., Center, M. M., Ferlay, J., Ward, E. and Forman, D. (2011). Global cancer statistics. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 61:69-90.

Li, G., Shao, K. and Umeshappa, C. S. (2019). Recent progress in blood-brain barrier transportation research *Brain Targeted Drug Delivery System* (pp. 33-51): Elsevier.

Lipinski, C. A. (2004). Lead-and drug-like compounds: the rule-of-five revolution. *Drug Discovery Today: Technologies*, 1:337-341.

Llauradó, M., Ruiz, A., Majem, B., Ertekin, T., Colás, E., Pedrola, N., Devis, L., Rigau, M., Sequeiros, T. and Montes, M. (2012). Molecular bases of endometrial cancer: new roles for new actors in the diagnosis and the therapy of the disease. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 358:244-255.

Olasupo, S. B., Uzairu, A., Adamu, G. S. and Uba, S. (2020). Computational Modeling and Pharmacokinetics/ADMET Study of Some Arylpiperazine Derivatives as Novel Antipsychotic Agents Targeting Depression. *Chemistry Africa*:1-10.

Shadrack, D. M., Mubofu, E. B., Nyandoro, S. S. and Munissi, J. J. (2018). In silico pharmacokinetic, molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation studies of ncinnamoyltetraketide derivatives as inhibitors of cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme.