



FITTING OF A TRANSFORMATION GEOID MODEL TO THE GRAVIMETRIC-GEOMETRIC GEOID MODEL OF BENIN CITY

*1Oduyebo, O. F., 1Ono, M. N. and 2Eteje, S. O.

¹Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria ²Eteje Surveys and Associates, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author Email: jimioduyebo@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The application of the transformation geoid model in Benin City has necessitated its fitting to the existing gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area. The transformation geoid model was determined using the Kotsakis (2008) model for the transformation of global geoid heights to local geoidal undulations. To obtain its accuracy, the root mean square error (RMSE) index was applied. The computed accuracy is 2.0172 m. To apply the determined geoid model in the study area, as well as improving on the computed accuracy, the model was fitted to the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area. The fitting result shows that geoid heights can be computed using the determined geoid model with an accuracy of 1.1041 m in the study area.

Keyword: fitting, gravimetric-geometric, geoid, model, transformation

INTRODUCTION

The geoid is an equipotential sea surface that is extended through the land. It is a surface adopted as a reference for the vertical coordinate system. The local geoid model of Nigeria has not been determined. As a result, different local geoid models have been established in various parts of the country. The local geoid model of Benin City was determined with the gravimetric-geometric method, which involves the combination of gravimetrically and geometrically obtained data. The transformation of the global geoid model to local has been proposed by Okeke and Nnam (2017) for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria. The method has to do with the transformation of the geoid heights from the global geopotential model such as EGM 08 to local geoid heights using the transformation model given by Kotsakis (2008). The proposed method has been tested by Okeke and Nnam (2017) using the geoid heights from EGM 08 in part of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja and accuracy of 0.14 cm was obtained. To verify the consistency of the accuracy of the proposed method in other parts of the country, it was applied and compared with the local gravimetric-geometric geoid model of Benin City. The accuracy obtained was 2.0172 m. It is inconsistent with the

one obtained by Okeke and Nnam (2017). To apply the proposed method geoid model in the study area for the computation of geoidal undulations, the accuracy of the model needs to be improved. This can be done by fitting the transformation geoid model to the local geoid model of the study area. As a result, this paper presents the fitting of a transformation geoid model to the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of Benin City.

The Study Area

According to Oduyebo *et al.* (2019), Benin City is the capital of Edo State in Southern Nigeria. It is a City approximately 40 kilometres north of the Benin River. The City is also linked by roads to Asaba, Sapele, Siluko, Okene, and Ubiaja and is served by air and the Niger River delta ports of Koko and Sapele (Oduyebo *et al.*, 2019). The City is made up of three Local Government Areas, Oredo LGA, Ikpoba Okha LGA and Egor LGA. It has a total population of 1,782,000 according to the 2021 NPC projection. It covers a total area of about 1,204 km². Benin City is bounded by UTM zone 31 coordinates 660000 mN and 712500 mN, and 770000 mE and 815000 mE (Oduyebo *et al.*, 2019). Figures 1 and 2 show the maps of the study area.



Kotsakis Model for Transformation of Global Geoid Height to Local

The model for the transformation of global geoid heights to local as given by Kotsakis (2008) is $N' - N = \delta N(t_x) + \delta N(t_y) + \delta N(t_z) + \delta N(\varepsilon_x) + \delta N(\varepsilon_y) + \delta N(\delta s) + \delta N(\varepsilon_y) + \delta N(\delta s) + \delta N(\varepsilon_y) + \delta N(\delta s) + \delta N(\varepsilon_y) + \delta$

$$+ \delta N(\delta a) + \delta N(\delta f)$$
⁽¹⁾

Where,

$$\delta N(t_x) = t_x \cos\varphi \cos\lambda \tag{2}$$

$$\delta N(t_{v}) = t_{v} \cos\varphi \sin\lambda \tag{3}$$

$$\partial N(t_z) = t_z \sin \varphi \tag{4}$$

$$\delta N(\varepsilon_x) = -\varepsilon_x N e^2 \sin\varphi \cos\varphi \sin\lambda$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$\delta N(\varepsilon_{v}) = \varepsilon_{v} N e^{2} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \cos \lambda \tag{6}$$

$$\delta N(\delta s) = (aW + N)\delta s \tag{7}$$

$$\delta N(\delta a) = -W \delta a \tag{8}$$

$$\delta N(\delta f) = \frac{a(1-f)}{W} \sin^2 \varphi \delta f$$
(9)

$$W = \sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \varphi} \tag{10}$$

N in equations (5) and (6) is the radius of curvature in prime vertical and it is given by Eteje et al. (2019) as

$$N = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \varphi}} \tag{11}$$

The quantities $\delta a = a' - a$ and $\delta f = f - f$ correspond to the difference in the numerical values for the semi-major axis and the flattening of the reference ellipsoid, as these are used in the respective reference frames, GRF1 and GRF2 (Kotsakis, 2008). **Transformation Parameters between WGS 84 and Minna Datums**

The transformation parameters from WGS 84 to Minna datum as given by Okeke (2014) and Okeke *et al* (2017) are: Transformation Parameters from WGS 84 to Minna Datum

$$\begin{split} Tx &= 93.809786 \ m \pm 0.375857310 \ m \\ Ty &= 89.748672 \ m \pm 0.375857310 \ m \\ Tz &= -118.83766 \ m \pm 0.375857310 \ m \\ \alpha &= 0.000010827829 \pm 0.0000010311322 \end{split}$$

 $\beta = 0.0000018504213 \pm 0.0000015709539$

 $\gamma = \! 0.0000021194542 \pm 0.0000013005997$

 $\dot{S} = 0.99999393 \pm 0.0000010048219$

FJS

Properties of the WGS 84 and Clarke 1880 Ellipsoids

The equatorial radius (*a*) and the flattening (f) of the WGS 84 and the Clarke 1880 ellipsoids are respectively 6378137 m and 1/298.257223563, and 6378249.145 m and 1/293.465 (Eteje *et al.*, 2019).

Global Earth Gravimetrical Models

According to Idrizi (2013), in the absence of local/state gravimetric networks, geodetic practice often uses global Earth Gravimetric Models, which includes data for the entire area of the world. Idrizi (2013) further stated that, to date, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) has established three global Earth gravimetric models in the years 1984, 1996 and 2008, recognized as EGM84, EGM96 and EGM08. The Geosciences Division in the Office of Geomatics at NGA is responsible for collecting, processing, and evaluating gravity data (free-air and Bouguer gravity anomalies). These data are then used to compute gravimetric quantities such as mean gravity anomalies, geoid heights, deflections of the vertical, and gravity disturbances. All of these quantities are used in World Geodetic System 1984 support, navigation systems, mapping projects, and different types of surveys (Idrizi, 2013).

Earth's Gravitational Model (EGM) derived geoidal undulations, N_{GGM} (Long and Medium Wavelength) is mathematically

expressed as (Odumosu et al, 2016):

$$N_{GGM} = \frac{GM}{R^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^n \sum_{m=2}^n \left[S_{nm} \sin m\lambda + C_{nm} \cos m\lambda\right] P_{nm} \cos \varphi$$
(12)

Where,

GM = Gravity Mass Constant of GEM

 γ = Normal Gravity

a = Equatorial Scale Factor of GEM

 r, θ , = Geocentric Radius, Spherical Co-latitude and Longitude of Computation

Point.

 P_{nm} = Fully – Normalized Legendre Function

 C_{nm} , S_{nm} = Fully – Normalised Coefficients of GEM

Computation of Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)

The fitting of a new local geoid model to an existing local geoid model requires the computation of the RMSE of the model to obtain its accuracy. The computation of the RMSE of the fitted geoid model is done by comparing the geoid heights of points from the existing and the new geoid models to obtain the residuals. The computed residuals and the total number of points are used for the computation of the RMSE of the fitted geoid model. The model for the computation of RMSE as given by Oduyebo *et al.* (2019) is

$$RMSE = \pm \sqrt{\frac{V^T V}{n}}$$

(13)

Where,

$$V = N_{Existing} - N_{New}$$
 (Residual)

 $N_{Existing} =$ Geoid Height from Existing Geoid Model

 $N_{New} =$ Geoid Height from New Geoid Model

n = Number of Points

Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Model of Benin City

The gravimetric-geometric geoid model of Benin City was determined using the combination of the gravimetrically and geometrically obtained data. The realization, as well as the determination of the model, is detailed in Oduyebo *et al.* (2019). In Oduyebo *et al.* (2019), three gravimetric-geometric geoid models were determined and the one with the highest accuracy (third-degree gravimetric-geometric geoid model with RMSE of 0.6746 m among the three models was recommended for application in Benin City.

METHODOLOGY

Data Acquisition

The transformation local geoid model was determined with a total of 49 points (See Figure 3). The positions and the ellipsoidal heights of the points were obtained by carrying out a GNSS observation in relative mode (See Figures 4 and 5). The observation was done using CHC 900 dual-frequency GNSS receivers. The observed points were the same as the ones used when the local gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area was determined.



Figure 3: GNSS Observation Points

Figure 4: Base Receiver at Control Figure 5: Rover Receiver at One of the Station XSU92 Selected Points (RR01) at Ring Road

Data Processing

The GNSS observations were respectively downloaded and processed with HcLoader and Compass post-processing software (See Figure 6). Since the adopted method involved the transformation of a global dataset to local, the geographic coordinates of the points were processed in the WGS 84 datum. The global positions of the points were used to obtain the global geoid heights of the points from EGM 08 using the GeoidEval online software, as well as equation (12) (See Figure 7).



Figure 6: Processing of the GNSS Data F Using Compass Software

Figure 7: Computation of the Global Geoid Heights of the Points Using GeoidEval Software

The local good heights of the points were computed with the properties of the WGS 84 and the Clarke 1880 ellipsoids, the transformation parameters, the global geographic coordinates and the EGM 08 geoid heights of the points using the transformation method, as well as equation (1). The computation was done using a Microsoft Excel program developed in the study (See Figure 8).

FJS

A2 100 LA10 101 63 101 63	MICR 2010	COSOF 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	5 5 5 7 EXC * 10097 10097 10097 10097 10097 10097 10097	CEL P	PROG	RAM F	POR TRA	NSFORMA	RMATI	ON OF	ID HEIGHTS		CIMAL DEGREE)	1010		g.		OFF	f	- 10
Incon LATU 2011 0.3 2011 0.3 2011 0.3 2011 0.3 2011 0.3 2011 0.3 2012 0.3 2015 0.3 2016 0.2 2017 0.3 2018 0.2 2010 0.2 2011 0.2	MICR 1000E LO 2004 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2004 2007 2007 2007	COSOF1 MG1006 54778 54037 54030 54030 54030 54030	# 1.0099 6.2000 6.2000	×	PROG	RAM F	PR TRA	NSFO	RMATI	ON OF	GLOPA	N CEOD	UEICUTS	1010		q	R I	2077	T	110
NON LATI 200 6.2 701 6.3 701 6.3 702 6.3 703 6.4 705 6.2 705 6.2 705 6.2 705 6.2 705 6.2 706 6.2 707 6.2 708 6.2 709 6.2	MICR 11906 1.0 2014 2019 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2017 2017 2017	OSOF MERTUGE	* 1.10297 1.2009 1.2009 1.2009	×	PROG	RAM FO	OR TRA	NSFO	RMATI	ON OF	GLOBA	L CEOID	UPIC:UTS	TOTO	100.00	the state of the state of the	AAX DEC.	DFF	N.	
THOM LATT 2011 6.3 7611 6.3 7611 6.3 7612 6.3 7623 6.4 7635 6.2 7646 6.2 7656 6.2 7667 6.2 7668 6.2 7669 6.2 7660 6.2 7660 6.2 7660 6.2 7660 6.2	11140E LO 22347 2239 2041 2044 22407 250	NGPTUDE SALTHE SALTHE SALTHE SALTHE SALTHE	* 6.30299 6.2009 6.2009	A 60476 84007	N garanteen M 2000	[JN(0,1]	(00,)]	F			THE R. P. LEWIS CO.	LULUI	ne con la	1014	ACAL (DECEN	AAL DEA	JUNEAR		
200 4.0 200	20191 21195 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	5.447% 5.4207 5.600 5.6007 5.6007 5.6007	6.2029f 6.2088 6.2028	10476	H 100	Bur Will	100000		ER	L M	I.V.I	(utite 1)	[awar]	(2003)	(DW10ail)	(INT. P.)	conterms			
2000 0.5 Ferri 4.3	20147 21199 2009 2009 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004 20	540790 54000 54000 54000 54000	6.2019 6.2019	8.4337	1.11100		- toonanad	Sect.1	10		- <u>1000</u>	and a	1000-00	Entered 1	[mailed b]	C	CONTRACTION OF	11 A.M.M.	A1844	******
11 8.3 101 8.3 102 0.1 104 8.2 105 8.2 106 8.2 108 8.2 108 6.2 1000 6.2 1000 6.2 1000 6.2 1000 6.2	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2007 2007	14009 14000 14000 14000	6.2011	9.63.00	THE PERSON NAMES	52,284,75000	0.7767780	-0.64507	0.00049438	# #1000#[12	5,74106,757	2-2208811048104	0.00080452958	10.7080306	-02.004228	1.204479784	M-25/8	8.305	- 00.	10.14
No No 04 82 08 82 08 82 08 62 000 62 000 62 000 62	2001 2004 12407 (397	8.60007 8.60508	- 0a.t.	Surger.	11444	ST PRIMA	a Photocoline	Common of	LOOKING T	1 Trickson	CONTRACT.	40000001-00TE	CONTRACTOR AND	IN PORTAL	ALC NUMBER OF	A TYTAC NO.	No. Carl	1000	10.000	10.00
104 82 108 82 108 62 1000 62 Ren 62 1000 62	2004 129017 12907	8.63686	52568-1	A ALLOW	11.364	B/ HKconit	a Macon	-0.000500	- C CORREAD IN	a secondarian	athing in	4.00000012000	2,00103467736	38,700640	AL MARKED	4170.00.000	Highly	COPP.	-	-72
08 82 08 62 000 62 001 62	2961F		8.25444	1058	15.287	10 79894188	+ TETIONER	-E Malony	8100889438	A REMARKING	6278366721	2.008885372805	8.0008X70365	18.7044421	.EI MARIO	110700000	W 2000	1.8.8905		6.006
406 6. URSS 6.2 DB1 8.1	(347	8.82834	KEMIT	14304	19(359)	33.00082340	1.702004488	10.0000327	8.00880428	1.0.000440022	82/10/2017 8/10	<100880704208702	8.00047983402	10.7038388	-CONSTRUCTS	+oscorers.	10.04.00	4.2H9		0,318
U200 6.2 Ren 6.2		540794	44NI	341504	10.6229	10.00740470	a Noteersta	-119647914	0.00648420	8.330048772	4074092.062	4000000000000	0.0014 000551	34.709004	-12 M00000	4.07000044	19.2548	-6.2349		0.204
Ren - 63 Rig - 63	2056	1.0008	6.00888	5.0028	10,2048	52,786(4425)	8,296822504	11.003434	6.00689430	E MADOREROOD	\$27439CADR	4.00088EMEGP	8.0000452543.0	10.7046735	- RE MITORS	4.812559	He26407	0.00044		40.044
102 1 81	3376	547628	4.1218	8.4702	10.5017	BL7FERTA	1.772230038	11.0020170	8.00069478	1.0199907203	6110306-307	STORED ALCOH	1000102201000	18,7080707	- E2 994430	1.201056765	16.1249	0.3399	8	4.17
10 Mar 10	163	140240	6.2879	3.88345	0.5225	32,79520744	1.50573298	11/26/31	E.00687H28	1.120002402	423626334	-0.500000C/Dilles	0.000 \$500x2052	30.00HBD	-12.004072	420000094	19,2563	0.1875	8.	5,221
100 9.2	29064	17905	8,29964	1,5905	EAST-	\$2,90053640	8.49009#52b	11.6249402	-5.00689438	8.890085749	007400079K	4.008895130004	5.00045534292	10.713464/3	102,54045921	4.0530000	41299	1,241	- R	-0,24
VDI - 8.1	2909	1.5054[6,2908	10012	15464	52,002,002,00	+43484.2221	11.120.0004	0.00889438	0.909993008	CITIONLIB	-3 #8000899 Peril	1.00044475055	18,204(93)	-TE #84104	+.0008076	8,211	181900		-0.01
	4172	8.400177	110.0	NAUTT.	10.001	80.7704/5222	8.500630410	CLOUMER.	LOOMACE	0.38695294	CITINEL 7B	4,360007918377	AMPROCIDE	36,7029491	02.1400508	1.249084.545	8.045	0.004	-	4.80
PU A.	2017	34682	A STREET	14000	1. Bank	NU SACOURA	8.7078.00m	111000000	CODERM /	1.000004(0)	2 8279402.800	-000001209077	5.0000 (h %/00)	28,75280014	102 M620081	4.00004.40	1040	10000		-2.81
	2222	A CONT	5.75415	10,000	10,000	30.000 CRCF	A Property 1	10.0000000	0.000000000	a annotation	100000000000	A. 00000011000000	A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY	to emprise t	#11404/W	A DESCRIPTION	10.000	1000		
10. 11	10017	14000	A DESTRUCT	Rayrier.	Parent .	AT ROMANCE	1-14/20104	-11-10-04-00	COMPANY IN	0.100201841	21102102-014	distance in the local sector	A DEPENDENCIAL OF	TR PERSONNEL	AND MADARAT	Automation	The street	04/900	-	247
2 13	3000	14360	4.17100	1.000	11.514	at hitlands	4 March 1948	10.0010	0.000004.00	a president.	2012/04/25	d commissions	Complete Total	In Country	10.16(4.0)	4.000000	Holden .	0.940		-1 4
MT C	1.5294	54341	6.52#	64341	19/3487	8278586914	IN TRUTCH WHIT	10002917	8.00889438	# ##000##100	10070346.001	2/08/06/20144	0.000108245000	18 2080185	101 0004455	#223080304	10.0484	0.1877		4.157
AUU 17	1876	B-SCTUE	8.2878	3.427.54	18/5051	10.10085391	STRANSION .	10.0782410	8.00583438	8.890069404	CITEDRAT.	CONTRACTOR NO. 100	0.00010002038	10.7034038	151.89400	1,200916222	10.24/77	0.1738	. E	4.113
081. 9.2	2080	5.592747	8.20062	1.46347	195005	8270741211	8103902642	33.85074	0.00943428	SUBBRIDGER	4371298.837	400000 N/CH24	8.000000207811	18.75841805	-52 ME1900	4,352.68	152814	04225	3	-642
202 4.2	26344	8.47774	826144	142214	0.281	9277442968	000040451	-/0.056AR	- 5.00649408	0.0099900048	0074066275	4-009841007629	00005856388	ICTORES.	- #Q 9483000	4,2758/01714	8:2766	6.4501	8	-0.45
60 43	36736	9.45389	6.36555	1.01308	1.713	46.77386771	8400440081	-11.904855	8-00689438	1 21506(25)2	\$378368.K21	-CO0888-088(75	E3009/170450	101120-012	-REWERSS	4.30805544	18.2547	8.8773		-0.47
KIT 6-2	2002	34241	1.24229	LADER	199309	32 710/01/71	R.TUDETL	MING C	6.006KH28	C.MMENTAL 7	6.378.397 400	-0.80008898.075	0.300/000344:38	IN PERSONN	1011404038	+_+0000001	0,0004	9,280	1.0	4.780
N 82	20014	14093	8.24924	187009	11.0706	302781873942	3.79523004	-0107298	0.0008/94/38	1.100059034	ETTERTIE:	-5.000887127300	0.00076/10445	28,70961051	-10.1404120	42513461	19:228 -	1400		-010
10 E2	10070	2,0001	1.74555	1400	11.0000	AL 19802 123	A STREET FOR	11.14.11777	100459436	1 210084005	ALTERNAL DO	4,000001112,0900	2.000 WHITE DOLD	20,200,000	1 (17) March 0007	125-053511	PE0563	0.000	1.1	4.87
1 1	Contract of Contra	Same.	1.10041	Fairs.	10000	an anna anna	COMPANY OF T	1111000140	10000000	A MARINE .	CONTRACTOR	-0.500000000000000000000000000000000000	a permit and the day	La Principaria	COLUMN ACADA	4-10-27-1-0-00	10.100	0.190		4.14
10 1 23	See 1	14073	h heath	RAUTE.	H LENA	Al Addapped	distant and	ALCOURT.	LOOKADA -	Commission	allight he	d Children and Par	Constations	AL YES, NA	ALC NOTATI	4.00000004	No.	Lain-		-310
NO E	2819	8.6.3847	1.873	8.A'DIAT	19,128	ALTERNAME.	6.75367108	-IL BARTT	8.00089438	LINNADAD	KITLING / BI	20000001020E347	. # 4000FDC7646	IN TEMPERATURE	.EI 943967	4.75 PTIMET	NL1781	04877		.0.812
PIE1 8.2	27014	204445	8.37004	1.04448	13.718	KL TYDEK THE	# ITUTIONE	-11/04/00/12	0.00083428	LEFTIMETIK	AUTEURATE	4.800007810349	A BOOMUTAIN	TO PERSONAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	-REBETTIC	4,204+03030	19/12/8/1	0/559/7		4.000
HHH - 4.3	32254	240054	1.37754	A sector	19955	MLTDOW447	8.00000ex12	10,21445	0.0004/9405	1,210050000	1 9009400.411	4,0000011007100	Question:	107121529	-12 MIL 1090	8/29447(3)14	16.284	0.5628		-2.942
WE 0.2	792%	340%L	4.8500	847502	H.Tin	40.7727.074	0.024063758	10.000000	8.00088408	8.840049.755	6226406.086	<00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.0000F1079909	0070008	-82.84X75.	4,384045470	No.0574	1214		-0:506
A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22344	54000	8 202164	1002	10.046	BI MINCHIN	A.MACOURT	10.0001292	8.00889478	B.BRORMACT.	ETTERN SP	4-008880727838	B AND BRADDECKC	30.753368	12 88417	\$ 2008AAR21	TRUMT.	03743		4.11
PROC	1222010	TAB ALLS	APPRILATE IN	and a set	a plant	1						11.41			_		10 Percent and	and designed on the local division of the lo	-	-

Figure 8: Computation of Geoid Heights of the Points Using the Transformation Method The RMSE, as well as the accuracy of the fitted local geoid model, was computed using equation (13).

RESULTS PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS Comparison of the Gravimetric-Geometric and the Transformation Method Geoid Heights

Table 1 presents the mean of the differences between the local gravimetric-geometric and the transformation method models geoid heights, the RMS errors, as well as the accuracy of the transformation geoid model, the existing gravimetricgeometric geoid model and that of the transformation geoid model when it was applied in part of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja. It was done to present the accuracy of the transformation geoid model in the study area and when it was applied in part of the FCT. Abuja. Also, to present the accuracy of the gravimetric-geometric geoid model and the mean deviation of the transformation method local geoid model from the existing gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area. From Table 1, it can be seen that the mean of the differences between the geoid heights from the transformation geoid model and the gravimetric-geometric geoid model is 1.6882 m. This implies that the transformation

method geoid model of the study area deviated with an average value of 1.6882 m from the existing local gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area. Also from Table 1, the RMS error, as well as the accuracy of the transformation geoid model is 2.0172 m which implies that geoid heights can be computed with an accuracy of 2.0172 m applying the model in the study area. Again from table 1, the RMS errors of the transformation geoid model as obtained by Okeke and Nnam (2017) and that of the gravimetricgeometric geoid models are respectively 0.0014 m and 0.675 m. These also show the high accuracy of the two geoid models. Comparing the obtained accuracy of the transformation geoid model in the study area (2.0172 m) with that obtained by Okeke and Nnam (2017) (0.0014 m) when the model was applied in part of the FCT, Abuja, shows the inconsistency of the accuracy of the method. It implies that the method cannot be used for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria.

Table 1: Mean of Differences and Computed RMS Errors	
MEAN OF DIFFERENCES =	1.6882 m
RMS Error of Transformation Model = SQRT of Average =	2.0172 m
RMS Error of Gravimetric-Geometric Model =	0.6746 m
RMS Error of Transformation Model as obtained by Okeke and Nnam (2017) =	0.0014 m

Fitting of the Transformation Geoid Model to the Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Model

Table 2 presents the fitting of the transformation geoid model to the gravimetric-geometric geoid model. It was done to improve on the accuracy of the transformation geoid model of the study area. The improvement of the accuracy of the transformation geoid model was necessary to enable its application in the study area. The fitting was carried out by finding the mean (1.6882 m) of the differences between the gravimetric-geometric model geoid heights of the points given in Table 2 and their respective geoid heights from the transformation geoid model of the study area. Subsequently, the mean was added to the transformation model geoid heights to fit the transformation geoid model to the gravimetric-geometric geoid model. Having fitted the transformation geoid model to the gravimetric-geometric geoid heights were compared to obtain the improved accuracy, as well as the RMS error of the transformation geoid model as given in Table 2. From Table 2, it can be seen that the improved accuracy of the transformation geoid model of the study area is 1.1041 m.

This implies that geoid heights can be obtained in the study area using the fitted geoid model with an accuracy of 1.1041 m. Comparing this accuracy of the transformation geoid model with its accuracy before fitting given in Table 1 (2.0172 m) shows an improvement in the accuracy of the model. It can also be seen from Table 2 that the mean of the

differences between the transformation and the gravimetricgeometric models' geoid heights is 1.6882 m. It shows that to achieve the accuracy of 1.1041 m for the transformation geoid model, 1.6882 m will be added to any geoid height computed with the transformation geoid model.

Table 2: Fitting of the Transformation Geoid Model to	0
the Gravimetric-geometric Geoid Model	

Point	Gravimetric- Geometric Geoid Heights (A) (m)	Transformed EGM08 Geoid Heights (B) (m)	Difference between A & B (m)	Difference between A & B Squared (P) (m ²)
XSU92	2.086	2.0062	0.0798	0.0064
RR01	2.420	2.0506	0.3694	0.1365
SR01	1.588	1.8545	-0.2665	0.0710
SR02	1.978	1.7693	0.2087	0.0436
SR04	2.520	1.5919	0.9281	0.8613
SR05	2.802	1.4037	1.3983	1.9553
SR06	3.266	1.3533	1.9127	3.6585
XSU100	2.098	1.7326	0.3654	0.1335
AR01	0.685	2.0281	-1.3431	1.8039
AR02	0.720	1.9957	-1.2757	1.6274
AR03	1.436	1.9028	-0.4668	0.2179
AR04	1.439	1.8814	-0.4424	0.1957
UU01	4.658	2.6468	2.0112	4.0448
UU02	3.498	2.5054	0.9926	0.9853
UU03	1.981	2.3292	-0.3482	0.1212
UU04	1.276	2.2037	-0.9277	0.8607
UU05	1.346	2.1262	-0.7802	0.6087
UU06	1.489	2.0379	-0.5489	0.3013
UU07	1.329	1.9859	-0.6569	0.4315
UU08	1.263	1.9617	-0.6987	0.4882
AD01	2.986	2.1107	0.8753	0.7662
AD02	4.019	2.1413	1.8777	3.5256
AD03	4.420	2.1603	2.2597	5.1062
AK01	1.376	2.0867	-0.7107	0.5051
AK02	1.473	2.1092	-0.6362	0.4048
AK03	2.252	2.0958	0.1562	0.0244
AK04	3.101	2.0511	1.0499	1.1022
AK05	3.954	2.0023	1.9517	3.8090
MR01	1.300	2.1192	-0.8192	0.6711
MR02	1.488	2.1855	-0.6975	0.4864
MR03	1.614	2.2479	-0.6339	0.4018
MR04	4.037	2.2508	1.7862	3.1905
MR05	4.313	2.2046	2.1084	4.4455
SK01	1.500	1.9675	-0.4675	0.2185
SK02	2.035	1.8748	0.1602	0.0257
SK03	2.379	1.7966	0.5824	0.3392

	MEAN	1.6882 m						
	RMS ERROR = SQRT OF AVERAGE (P) =							
AIRPORT	0.578	2.0042	-1.4262	2.0339				
EK05	2.516	1.9720	0.5440	0.2959				
EK04	2.001	2.0143	-0.0133	0.0002				
EK03	1.729	2.0743	-0.3453	0.1192				
EK02	0.983	2.1171	-1.1341	1.2861				
EK01	0.078	2.1041	-2.0261	4.1050				
SLK05	3.357	2.5701	0.7869	0.6192				
SLK04	2.688	2.4766	0.2114	0.0447				
SLK03	1.736	2.3646	-0.6286	0.3951				
SLK02	1.326	2.3009	-0.9749	0.9504				
SLK01	0.781	2.2080	-1.4270	2.0364				
SLK0	0.668	2.1528	-1.4848	2.2046				
EKS	0.665	2.1024	-1.4374	2.0662				

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study has fitted a transformation geoid model to the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of Benin City. The obtained results showed that the transformation geoid model deviated from the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area with a mean value of 1.6882 m. The study also obtained the accuracy of the transformation geoid model before fitting as 2.0172 m. The computed results of the fitted geoid model showed that geoid heights can be obtained using the model with an accuracy of 1.1041 m in the study area.

REFERENCES

Eteje, S. O., Oduyebo, O. F. and Oluyori, P. D. (2019). Procedure for Coordinates Conversion between NTM and UTM Systems in Minna Datum Using AllTrans and Columbus Software. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 6(5), 128-143. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST196517.

Idrizi, B. M. (2013). Developing Model for Utilization of Global Earth Gravimetrical Models in Macedonian Territory. *TS02B - National Geodesy and Geospatial Infrastructure*. FIG Working Week 2013, Abuja, Nigeria.

Kotsakis, C. (2008). Transforming Ellipsoidal Heights and Geoid Undulations between Different Geodetic Reference Frames. *Journal of Geodesy*, 82(4) 249-260.

Odumosu, J. O., Kelly, K. M., Omogunloye, O. G., Adejare, Q. A., Adeleke, O. O. and Olaniyi, A. M. (2016). Empirical Geoid Modelling Using Classical Gravimetric Method. FIG Working Week, Christchurch, New Zealand. Oduyebo, O. F., Ono M. N. and Eteje, S. O. (2019). Comparison of Three Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Models for Best Local Geoid Model of Benin City, Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS)*, 6(6), 261-272. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.612.23.

Oduyebo, O. F., Ono M. N. and Eteje, S. O. (2019). Practical Local Geoid Modelling of Benin City, Nigeria from Gravimetric Observations Using the Modified Stokes Integral. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS)*, 5 (12), 608-617. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.512.1.

Okeke, F. I. (2014). Assessment of the Capabilities of the National Transformation Version 2 (Ntv2) Model for the Nigerian Datum Transformation. National Union of Planetary and Radio Sciences (NUPRS) Conference.

Okeke, F. I. and Nnam, V. (2017). Local Geoid Determination for Nigeria by the Transformation of Global Geoid Model. *Nigerian Journal of Geodesy*, 1 (1), 147-157.

Okeke, F. I., Moka E. C., Uzodinma, V. N. and Ono, M. N. (2017). The Determination of Datum Transformation Parameters for Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Geodesy*, 1 (1), 49-64.



©2021 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.