



VERIFICATION OF THE CONSISTENCY OF THE PROPOSED TRANSFORMATION OF GLOBAL GEOID METHOD ACCURACY FOR LOCAL GEOID MODEL OF NIGERIA DETERMINATION

*1Oduyebo, O. F., 1Ono, M. N. and ²Eteje, S. O.

¹Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria ²Eteje Surveys and Associates, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author Email: jimioduyebo@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The proposed transformation of the global geoid model method for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria has only been applied in part of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. To determine the consistency of the accuracy of the method for the intended purpose, there is a need to apply it in some other parts of the country. As a result, this study presents the verification of the consistency of the proposed transformation of global geoid method accuracy for local geoid model of Nigeria determination. DGNSS observations were carried out to obtain the coordinates of the used points. The processed global geographic coordinates were used with online software (GeoidEval) to obtain the EGM 08 geoid heights of the points. The global geographic coordinates, the global geoid heights of the points and the transformation parameters from WGS 84 to Minna datum were applied to obtain the transformed (local) geoid heights of the points using a Microsoft Excel program. The transformed geoid model of the study area to obtain the model RMSE (accuracy). The obtained accuracy (2.0172 m) was compared with those of the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area (0.675 m) and the transformation of global geoid heights when the method was applied in part of Abuja (0.0014 m). The comparison results showed the inconsistency of the accuracy of the proposed method. It is recommended that the method should not be applied for the intended purpose.

Keywords: accuracy, consistency, geoid, model, transformation

INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental concepts in geodesy is the geoid, which is defined as an equipotential surface that coincides with the mean sea level (MSL) and extends below continents. It is the surface adopted in each country as a datum or reference for the vertical coordinate system. In Nigeria, various local geoid models have been determined in some parts of the country but there is still no unified geoid model which will serve as the vertical datum for the entire country. The Global Positioning System (GPS) which gives accurately three-dimensional coordinates of points on the earth surface does that by using a specified computational surface known as the ellipsoid. The heights determined by the GPS are geometric heights and do not have the physical meaning as they are not determined directly with respect to the geoid which is the datum for a vertical coordinate system. According to Moka et al (2017), today in Nigeria there is no acceptable geoid model a number of factors have led to the unacceptability of the results of geoid which include; inadequate data coverage, low accuracy of geoid model, etc. As a developing country, accurate height system which represents the form of the earth is an indispensable tool for all construction works for both near and offshore purposes. Moka et al (2017) further stated that the absence of a generally officially published geoid model has made it difficult, among other problems to create a connection between Land and Sea Datum which is analogous to Vertical datum in the US and Canada and Vertical Offshore Reference Frame (VORF) in the United Kingdom for seamless bathymetry in the near and offshore zone of our coastal waters (Moka et al, 2017). This has resulted in the adoption of different height systems that are incompatible with one another. Thus, the most geodetic, engineering and hydrographic applications are either referenced to the ellipsoid or other arbitrary height systems and all of these do

not represent the actual form (geoid) of the earth over Nigeria (Moka *et al*, 2017).

The transformation of global geoid model to local which has to do with the transformation of global geoid heights to local has been proposed by Okeke and Nnam (2017) for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria. The method involves the application of the Kotsakis (2008) model for the transformation of global geoid heights to local geoid heights. This method has been applied in part of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja by Okeke and Nnam (2017) with the use of the EGM2008 geoid heights and RMSE of 0.14 cm was obtained when compared with the existing geometric geoid model of the study area. Given that the proposed method will be applied to the entire country there is a need to verify the consistency, as well as the accuracy of the method in some other parts of the country. To do that, the method has to be applied for the determination of the local geoid model of an area or region and compared with the existing local geoid model of the area or region. Consequently, this paper verifies the consistency of the proposed transformation of the global geoid method for local geoid model of Nigeria determination.

Here, the proposed method was applied to determine the local geoid model of Benin City and the results were compared with those of the existing local geoid model of the study area (Benin City) which was determined with the gravimetric-geometric method by Oduyebo *et al.* (2019). Benin City was selected for this study for easy comparison as its local geoid model has already been determined.

The Study Area

According to Oduyebo *et al.* (2019), Benin City is the capital of Edo State in Southern Nigeria. It is a City approximately 40 kilometres north of the Benin River. The City is also linked by roads to Asaba, Sapele, Siluko, Okene, and Ubiaja

and is served by air and the Niger River delta ports of Koko and Sapele (Oduyebo *et al.*, 2019). The City is made up of three Local Government Areas, Oredo LGA, Ikpoba Okha LGA and Egor LGA. It has a total population of 1,782,000 according to the 2021 NPC projection. It covers a total area of about 1,204 km². Benin City is bounded by UTM zone 31 coordinates 660000 mN and 712500 mN, and 770000 mE and 815000 mE (Oduyebo *et al.*, 2019). Figures 1a and b show the maps of the study area.



Kotsakis Model for Transformation of Global Geoid Height to Local

The model for the transformation of global geoid heights to local as given by Kotsakis (2008) is $N' - N = \delta N(t_x) + \delta N(t_y) + \delta N(t_z) + \delta N(\varepsilon_x) + \delta N(\varepsilon_y) + \delta N(\delta s) + \delta N(\delta s)$

$$+ \delta N(\delta a) + \delta N(\delta f)$$
⁽¹⁾

Where,

m T /

$$\delta N(t_x) = t_x \cos\varphi \cos\lambda \tag{2}$$

$$\delta N(t_y) = t_y \cos\varphi \sin\lambda \tag{3}$$

$$\delta N(t_y) = t_y \sin\varphi \tag{4}$$

$$\delta N(\varepsilon_x) = -\varepsilon_x N e^2 \sin\varphi \cos\varphi \sin\lambda$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$\delta N(\varepsilon_{\rm v}) = \varepsilon_{\rm v} N e^2 \sin\varphi \cos\varphi \cos\lambda \tag{6}$$

$$\delta N(\delta s) = (aW + N)\delta s \tag{7}$$

$$\delta N(\delta a) = -W \delta a \tag{8}$$

$$\delta N(\delta f) = \frac{a(1-f)}{W} \sin^2 \varphi \delta f$$
(9)

$$W = \sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \varphi} \tag{10}$$

N in equations (5) and (6) is the radius of curvature in prime vertical and it is given by Eteje et al. (2019) as

$$N = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi}} \tag{11}$$

The quantities $\delta a = a' - a$ and $\delta f = f - f$ correspond to the difference in the numerical values for the semi-major axis and the flattening of the reference ellipsoid, as these are used in the respective reference frames, GRF1 and GRF2 (Kotsakis, 2008). **Transformation Parameters between WGS 84 and Minna Datums**

The transformation parameters from WGS 84 to Minna datum as given by Okeke (2014) and Okeke *et al* (2017) are: Transformation Parameters from WGS 84 to Minna Datum

 $Tx{=}~93.809786~m\pm0.375857310~m$

$$\Gamma y = 89.748672 \text{ m} \pm 0.375857310 \text{ m}$$

 $Tz = -118.83766 \ m \pm 0.375857310 \ m$

$$\begin{split} \alpha &= 0.000010827829 \pm 0.0000010311322 \\ \beta &= 0.0000018504213 \pm 0.0000015709539 \\ \gamma &= 0.0000021194542 \pm 0.0000013005997 \\ S &= 0.99999393 \pm 0.0000010048219 \end{split}$$

Properties of the WGS 84 and Clarke 1880 Ellipsoids

The equatorial radius (*a*) and the flattening (f) of the WGS 84 and the Clarke 1880 ellipsoids are respectively 6378137 m and 1/298.257223563, and 6378249.145 m and 1/293.465 (Eteje *et al.*, 2019).

EGM08 Geoid Height

The earth gravity model EGM08 developed and released by the National Geospatial Intelligent Agency is a significant achievement in global field mapping (Lyszkowicz, 2009). This gravitational model is complete to spherical harmonic degree and order 2159 and contains additional coefficients extending to degree 2190 and order 2159 (Abdelrahim, 2013). Computing the geoid undulation values are realized with respect to WGS 84 ellipsoid (Idrizi, 2013).

According to Idrizi (2013), harmonic synthesis software provided by NGA applies a constant, zero-degree term of -41cm to all geoid undulations computed using EGM2008 with the height anomaly to geoid undulation correction model. Idrizi (2013) further posited that all the pre-computed geoid undulations include this constant zero-degree term. This term converts geoid undulations that are fundamentally referenced to an ideal mean-earth ellipsoid into undulations that are referenced to WGS 84. The value of -41 cm derives from a mean-earth ellipsoid WGS84 (Idrizi, 2013). This new



Figure 2: Base Receiver at Control Station XSU92

Data Processing

model makes possible with high spatial sampling resolution computation of mean gravity anomalies, geoid ellipsoid separations and other characteristics of gravity field for the entire globe (Lyszkowicz, 2009).

Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Model of Benin City

The gravimetric-geometric geoid model of Benin City was determined using the combination of the gravimetrically and geometrically obtained data. The realization, as well as the determination of the model, is detailed in Oduyebo *et al.* (2019). In Oduyebo *et al.* (2019), three gravimetric-geometric geoid models were determined and the one with the highest accuracy (third-degree gravimetric-geometric geoid model with RMSE of 0.6746 m among the three models was recommended for application in Benin City.

METHODOLOGY

Data Acquisition

The coordinates, as well as the positions and the ellipsoidal heights of the points used in the study, were obtained from Differential Global Navigation Satellite System (DGNSS) (See Figures 2 and 3). The observation was carried out using CHC 900 dual-frequency GNSS receivers.



Figure 3: Rover Receiver at One of the Selected Points (RR01) at Ring Road

The observations were respectively downloaded and processed with HcLoader and Compass post-processing software (See Figure 4). The geographic coordinates of the points were processed in the WGS 84 datum. It was done to enable the global positions of the points used to obtain the global geoid heights of the points from EGM 08 using the GeoidEval online software (See Figure 5) to be obtained.



Figure 4: Processing of the GNSS Data Using Compass Software

Figure 5: Computation of the Global Geoid Heights of the Points Using GeoidEval Software

The local geoid heights of the points were computed with the properties of the WGS 84 and the Clarke 1880 ellipsoids, the transformation parameters, the global geographic coordinates and the EGM 08 geoid heights of the points using the transformation method, as well as equation (1). The computation was done using a Microsoft Excel program developed in the study (See Figure 6).

T result Partie Connent A2 A2 MICC MO A2 MO A2 MO A2 MO A2 MO A10768 A007 A207 A00 A207 A00 A207 A00 A207 A00 A207 A00 A207 A00 A208 A0	COSOF COMMITURE SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH	EXCE	L PRC	GRAM	I FOF	H R TRA	NSFORMA	-	ALS LOBAL OCC	RD HEIGHTS	fornating -	Farmal Cell to Tally + Stylet - toles CIMAL DEGREES		ente Forma	2 Da	Filter Filter Filter	Select -	Ŧ	
A2 MICO MICO NATION LATITURE TATION LATITURE THE COMP THE CO	COSOF COMMITURE SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH	F MIC	L PRC	GRAM	I FOF	H R TRA	NSFO	-	EDBAL OEC	ID HEROHTS	TO LOCAL (DE	CIMAL DEGREE)			¢	Adding		Ŧ	- 0
A2 MICO MICO A1000 LATITUDE CATTOR CATTORN A1000 LATITUDE A100 CATTORN A100 CAT	COSOF COMMITURE SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH SALTH	F MIC	L PRC	GRAM	I FOF	H R TRA	NSFO	-	EDBAL OEC	ID HEROHTS	TO LOCAL (DE	CIMAL DEGREE)			g DECD4	- 10	-	Ŧ	
MICC ATION LATTERS TON LATTERS THE 5205 THE 5205 TH	LONGPTUDE SALTHE BACCT BACCT BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER	* 1 EXCE * 10000 00 10000 00 10000 00 10000 00	L PRC	GRAM	I FOF	H R TRA	NSFO	-	ON OF	GLOBA	M.C	1.0	TOL	CAL /	g DECTM	IAL DEC	-	Ť	10
ATHON LATITUDE (5400 0.001/ 7600 0.001/ 7600 0.000 7600 0.000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.0000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.00000 9700 0.0000000 9700 0.000000000 970000000000000000000000000	LONGPTUDE SALTHE BACCT BACCT BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER	* 6.2029/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60	H 44	atan (1970) 101 - 52,214		R TRA		RMATI		-		HEIGHTS	TOL	CAL /	g DECD4	AL DEC	-	T	10
A THOM LATITINE (15500) 0.50397 15500 0.50397 15700 0.5039 15700 0.5039 1570000000000000000000	LONGPTUDE SALTHE BACCT BACCT BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER	* 6.2029/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60	H 44	atan (1970) 101 - 52,214		R TRA		RMATI		-		HEIGHTS	TOL	CAL C	g DECIM	IAL DEC	NO.P.P.	T	10
A THOME LA TITINEDE 1 154001 0.503947 154001 0.503947 154001 0.5030 154001 0.5030 15400 0.5040 15400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5050 10400 0.5050 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 104000 1040000 1040000 10400000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 10400	LONGPTUDE SALTHE BACCT BACCT BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER	* 6.2029/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60	H 44	atan (1970) 101 - 52,214		N0,3		RMATI		-	L GEOID	HEIGHTS	TOL	CAL C	DECIM	AL DEC	NO INCOME.	~	
A THOME LA TITINEDE 1 154001 0.503947 154001 0.503947 154001 0.5030 154001 0.5030 15400 0.5040 15400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5040 10400 0.5050 10400 0.5050 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 104000 1040000 1040000 10400000 1040000 1040000 1040000 1040000 10400	LONGPTUDE SALTHE BACCT BACCT BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER BACKER	* 6.2029/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60 6.2019/ 60	H 44	atan (1970) 101 - 52,214		N0,3		RMATI		-	L GEOID	HEIGHTS	1010						
53408 0.02597 Files 0.22597 Files 0.2007 SPER 0.2007 SPER 0.2008 SPER 0.2008 S	5.027% 5.0227 5.028 5.0287 5.0388 5.0294 5.0294	6.2089 8. 6.2089 8. 6.2099 8.4	198 H1 227 H. 209 H.	801 52.214	- L	- him	(are.)	GR	L V	passes 1			A 16 MAY	NOWN (DECT.	THE DEC	SKEE	1	
53408 0.02597 Files 0.22597 Files 0.2007 SPER 0.2007 SPER 0.2008 SPER 0.2008 S	5.027% 5.0227 5.028 5.0287 5.0388 5.0294 5.0294	6.2089 8. 6.2089 8. 6.2099 8.4	198 H1 227 H. 209 H.	801 52.214	- L	- him	MID.1				Carlos D	dW(x;)	[ZW.66]	CHINA	(WOR)			-	
Filter 4.2139 2781 6.2021 3902 0.2001 3904 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011 3908 6.2011	54007 54007 54007 54008 54088 54088 54088 54088	6.2089 8. 6.2089 8. 6.2099 8.4	257 8.5		75000 307					N ₁₀	$aH(x_j)$	104(1,1)	No.0401	20(80)	hunda)	CORRECTOR	RANNE	Passas	Human
298 6.3021 992 6.2081 5968 6.2081 5968 6.2081 698 6.2081 608 6.2081 608 6.2081 608 6.2081 608 6.2081 608 6.2081 600 6.2081 600 6.2081	5.6309 5.6309 5.6388 5.6389 5.6399 5.63794	6.2011 1. 6,2919 1.0	200 0.4	RTR 81,700		Thirtiert .		0.0004/94200	# #8008#[T2	5074106.777	20000011000104	1.00040452558	34.7040366	-40,994009	4.004499988	HOUR.	1,105	- 66.	453400
PED 0.2001 SPGR 8.2004 SPGR 8.2001 SPGR 6.2001	5.6007 5.6068 5.6059 5.60794	62349 8.4				001202703	/0.301967	1.00043470	1,8950502235	627036.837	4000001170011	9.800703403443	18,7083423	12,89418	6.211TECHES	NULTER OF	0.0824		4143.9
IPON S.20044 IPON S.224CF IPON G.254CF IPON G.254CF IRX00 G.20044 ARMI K.2006 IPON K.20164 ARMI K.20164	8.63688 8.63854 8.63794			245 32.08		15289(11	-0.96960	L00641428	S. STOCKNER,	827839e.285	<0.00000.00010	0.00748/36.94	28,7599866	-SCHOOL	4.0034678	19.095		8,294	
0108 6.22407 0408 6.2547 0408 6.2547 0409 6.25180 0409 6.2518	540794	8.25184 1 11		644 86.792		Stecon.	-41498960	-50068/9408	8,890068045	101001.04	4.000846(2995	0.0019.0407505	38,7085452	- 80 M04000	43/6363655	H12890	.008		-8.629
908 6,250 8,200 6,20181 4281 8,2298 600 8,2259	5.40794	CONTRACTOR AND		317 1179		10100484	-E.MA009	8.00889458	8.840088272	6278386721	A-008885872808	8.00088752865	(8,204442)	ALL RAPIDS	41413080.0	#380	18.8465		0.0061
82,000 6,20,000 AERI 6,22,00 6702 8,22,50			104 110 104 114			CIRCHIN	-0.00H307	8.00843432	1.1.1100A0222	8276387.878	<00000004200022 <00000070000000	8.0004790402 0.0014/060656	34.709034	-52 MIRLIN -52 MIRLIN	4:09/2018/1 4:07/09/2010/44	16:24.98	-8.2040		0.3545
4591 6.3299 6702 8.32579	1000			229 80.905		70(472104	1000404	0.00649430	R #ROOMETTD	4074092282 6074962808	4.000000 MTU7	6.000452542.0	14.7045755	-12 MITOS	410725791	15,2549	00844	121	42948
8702 8.220	\$1910			017 81.78		CELOCOL	0.000075	100000410	A REPORT OF		20200000000000	A HEARD REAL PROPERTY.	18.7080707	- E2 888420	6.2010/02/08	18.1248	0.2289	1	8.0284
	140240			105 51.795		autropy	12/20/21	E.COBRIMON	1.220050430	1009206304	-0.MRXXept.cbim	0.000 00000000	IN TRIMIN	-12.004572	42000009	25,2563	0.1973	1	4.2015
						scowtos 1	11.62454002	-0.00089428	0.000066740	0076100.798	4.00000513.0004	0.0000000004200	in Horse	10,1004001	4.05.0000	49,299	11,249	1.1	-0.2946
V/Di 8,2909	1.63642			44 52.00		0460221	10.02030.00	8.00889438	0.909993008	CITEORLIN .	-3 x00000707 PMH	8.000129475055	18.70MW2	-EX addation	4.0V08078	8,311	1.1100		-0 HT1
9010 0.0120	8.00077	6.45738 3.8	UTT IN	831 80.779	A7322 8.7	100000000	1212234088	8.00880428	10.3050900304	CITINGS TH	4.3600079383/77	1.0070242028	38.7029498	C\$2.M00506	1,26044761	18.045	3076.0	. 8	4.8568
430 4.207	5.6082		G0 B.		1.008 1.0	TO MAKE	-012409622	0.00040428	1.000059402	829402.80	400088120907	8.800836/4602	28,75286334	(12.M-0.00)	4.121934062	10435	.8.812		-9.852
4.2266				82 80.262		72719(4)	41.1008200	-E-00649436	1.960081755	0078406.848	41-000404 (70999441-)	8.8000F080425	6718427	382.8400791	4.00023498	15.046	1.010		-05460
1014 1.26455				10 81.70		1000101	11.1038406	8.000EH/38	1 \$1556(2+12)	\$272396.588	6.600081.56(%)	someway took?	10.7092305	11.994(1	£284080224	16.01038	1885	8	-5.58%
0.00 4.24517 0.96 4.30390				RE 1978		24/50308	-0.040212	EXXERN.2	CIRRETING	6276267.824	1329029080029	0.00000.00000	3110019	12 1404141	+10060911	16,2248	0.4208		4428
0060 6.30360 0.011 6.504	5408			942 <u>81</u> .765 447 82.765		TOTO DATE:	10.000/WIT	5.00649408	3 39005305. # ##00##106	100,900 No.	-1/00000000004	0.080269477958	14.7-Detta27		4.22807080 4.2230803300	100,040	0,0437	1.1	4,197
ANN LINN				101 10.100		TRAINCOCK	11.17424.00	8.00081H18	3. NINORINATA	CITEDRAT	COMMITTERS.	CONTRACTOR	10.7034018	61.88400	\$ 200 Highlight	16.2677	0.1738	1	4.0718
ADRI: 9,25969				195 82.777		2016(2612)	10.000/21	0.00040420	C DESCRIPTION OF	4071298.837	400000 N/2822	8.000900907817	18.7582.805	-12 ME1900	4,352.68	19,28194	04225	1	-64215
406344				81 46.774		CHARGEST	/0.010a2H	0.00048436	C-DOWPSOOR	4074396275	4.000001007629	Operations.	K TIMES	- #C ##19007	4,275381734	H-2788	6.4501	10	-0.4531
600 63638			GOE 10.7	R18 66775		IONANOMI -	11.004956	8.00889438	A BROOMBELLE		2000003088(75	8.000W1298490	101101738	10 M 1843	4.358055888	18.2547	8.8773	8	10.4757
628028				209 84,795		TIDNIT	10.04168	6.006kH28	P_ASTREPES_	43793397 400	-0.88008880275	0.300/000034438	38 128 2019	10.140428	+,245000001	000004	0.2001		4.7908
4802 8,24014	Tacon N		22. 11.			1527004	10101208	E.0008/9428	3.320059034	ETHORY SEC.	4.000887921000	0.000060730448	36/108/125	-10.9404520	4,2513460	8:228	3.628	1	-0.420
4410 6.34950				658 82,260		0947309	-(0.M21779	0.00000434000	# 910084075	6110040-00	-0.004841340590	0.000900010023	34706290	40,4884007	1257062617	6.56	01676	1	4.4018
ADS EXHILI				468 85.777		INCOMP.	-81.94.04071	8.00047438	D. UVSSMIKTE	6279158.830	44008811675487	0.69/Pelettok1	18.701064P	702.3404.005	4,3813862	16,2885	0.821	. 6	4.9628
4/16 8.24962 White 0.24440	54908			(A) 8.10 (A) 8.10		1000	11.002046	0.00040420	0.30030001	ETROATTO	-5.58000 Part 200 -4.00008800 W Yor	0.000 ftg7.50544	38,7090,565	-10,5404844 -10,540484	4,25675(600) 4,256(85724)	H-289 H-2394	6.296	1-2-1	4.281
4940 6,2819	8.63847			208 01.005 THE 61.752		VERNING I	-0.92040	8.00049438	L REVALACE	KITUMAT /	4.0000001120ENT	. 8.000WDC7846	IN TURIO	10.9099	4.7500252-49	01.07M	04877	1 2 1	4490
0981 8.57904	104445			118 10.711		TUTIONE	-11/04/01/1	0.00083428	LEVISALTIK	ATTUTAL T	4.860007912248	6.8008V28820	TR TELEVIS	-REWEITE	4.204403030	10.2293	01587	1	4.0087
18104 4.37754	340054			15 10.71		CODDAX.C	10,28445	0.00049408	1. Printerio	1.4079400.412	4.00mm/1987/50P	OURST AND THE	20 7129 1294	-RC 940900	8/29447(5.14	0.284	0.5428		-1512
1975.0 INT						04062758	10 (905044	8.00088408	8 810069/755	6276400.000	<00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.000#1078900	0079308	-85 wayns.	4,280045970	10.0574	1216		-0.5014
1810 8.22344	84082	E 222164 8/	192. 11.1	146. 80.768	42406 8.7	791031618	11.1001212	8.00889476	1.010089427	ETTURA 317	4-008880727898	8.4MFM600628C	31 TEX348	-RE-BOA417	\$23888883T	18-28NT	0.37%3		4.1110
PROCESSIN	G TAB	TRANSPORT OF	10.0	Dest:	1.000										al. 1997 1997				



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents the comparison between the gravimetricgeometric model geoid heights and the global geopotential model (EGM08) local geoid heights of the points. It was done to determine the agreement of the transformation geoid model with the gravimetric-geometric geoid model of the study area. The closer the Root Mean Squares (RMS) error obtained from the comparison of the local geoid heights of the points from the gravimetric-geometric geoid model with their corresponding local geoid heights from the transformation of global geoid height to local method using the global geopotential model (EGM08), the more the agreement between the two local geoid models. From Table 1, it is seen that the accuracy, as well as the RMS error of the transformation geoid model, is 2.0172 m while that of the gravimetric-geometric geoid model determined by Oduyebo *et al.* (2019), is 0.675 m. This implies that the two geoid models are not in agreement. The RMS error of the transformation method when it was applied in part of the Federal Capital Territory is 0.0014 m. Comparing this with the RMS error of the transformation method applied in this study implies that the accuracy of the proposed method for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria is not consistent in every part of the country. Thus, it cannot be applied for the proposed purpose

		Transformed EGM08, Lo		
Point	Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Heights (m) (A)	Transformed EGM08 Geoid Heights (m) (B)	Diff. b/w A & B (m)	Diff. b/w A & B Squared (m ²)
XSU92	2.086	0.3180	3.1257	3.1257
RR01	2.420	0.3624	4.2338	4.2338
SR01	1.588	0.1663	2.0213	2.0213
SR02	1.978	0.0811	3.5984	3.5984
SR04	2.520	-0.0963	6.8448	6.8448
SR05	2.802	-0.2845	9.5267	9.5267
SR06	3.266	-0.3349	12.9667	12.9667
XSU100	2.098	0.0444	4.2171	4.2171
AR01	0.685	0.3399	0.1191	0.1191
AR02	0.720	0.3075	0.1702	0.1702
AR03	1.436	0.2146	1.4918	1.4918
AR04	1.439	0.1932	1.5521	1.5521
UU01	4.658	0.1932	13.6854	13.6854
UU02	3.498		7.1869	7.1869
UU03	1.981	0.8172	1.7957	1.7957
UU04	1.276	0.6410	0.5783	0.5783
UU05	1.346	0.5155	0.8245	0.8245
UU06	1.489	0.4380	1.2981	1.2981
	1.329	0.3497	1.0635	1.0635
	1.263	0.2977	0.9792	0.9792
UU08		0.2735	6.5717	6.5717
AD01	2.986	0.4225	12.7154	12.7154
AD02	4.019	0.4531	15.5858	15.5858
AD03	4.420	0.4721	0.9555	0.9555
AK01	1.376	0.3985	1.1067	1.1067
AK02	1.473	0.4210	3.4017	3.4017
AK03	2.252	0.4076	7.4971	7.4971
AK04	3.101	0.3629		
AK05	3.954	0.3141	13.2487	13.2487
MR01	1.300	0.4310	0.7552	0.7552
MR02	1.488	0.4973	0.9816	0.9816
MR03	1.614	0.5597	1.1116	1.1116
MR04	4.037	0.5626	12.0715	12.0715
MR05	4.313	0.5164	14.4145	14.4145
SK01	1.500	0.2793	1.4902	1.4902
SK02	2.035	0.1866	3.4166	3.4166
SK03	2.379	0.1084	5.1556	5.1556
EKS	0.665	0.4142	0.0629	0.0629
SLK0	0.668	0.4646	0.0414	0.0414
SLK01	0.781	0.5198	0.0682	0.0682
SLK02	1.326	0.6127	0.5089	0.5089
SLK03	1.736	0.6764	1.1227	1.1227
SLK04	2.688	0.7884	3.6083	3.6083
SLK05	3.357		6.1261	6.1261

 Table 1: Comparison between the Gravimetric-Geometric

 Geoid Heights and the Transformed EGM08, Local Geoid Heights

RMS Error of	0.0014 m						
RMS	Error of Gravimetric-Geon	0.6746 m					
RMS	2.0172 m						
AIRPORT	IRPORT 0.578 0.3160 0.0687						
EK05	EK05 2.516 0.2838 4.9827						
EK04	0.3281						
EK03	0.3861						
EK02	0.983	0.4289	0.3070	0.3070 1.8035			
EK01	0.078	0.4159	0.1142	0.1142			

Figures 7 and 8 respectively present the contour maps of the gravimetric-geometric geoid model and that of the transformation geoid model. It was done to present graphically and compare the shapes of the two local geoid models (gravimetric-geometric and transformation geoid models) of the study area. It can be seen from Figures 7 and 8 that the contour maps of the two local geoid models of the study area are not identical. It is as a result of the low accuracy (2.0172 m) of the transformation geoid model.





CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Having verified the consistency of the accuracy of the proposed transformation method of local geoid model determination for local geoid model of Nigeria, the following conclusion and recommendation were made: The study has shown disagreement in shape and accuracy between the local gravimetric-geometric and the transformation methods geoid models of the study area. The obtained results also revealed the inconsistency of the proposed method accuracy for the determination of the local geoid model of Nigeria. Since the accuracy of the proposed method is not consistent in various parts of the country, it is recommended that it should not be applied for the intended purpose.



346000 348000 350000 352000 354000 356000 358000 360000 36200 Figure 8: Contour Map of the Transformation Model

REFERENCES

Abdelrahim E. M. A. ((2013). Geoid Undulations of Sudan using Orthometric Heights Compared with the EGM96 and EGM2008. *International Journal of Advanced Research in IT and Engineering*, 2(11), 43-53.

Eteje, S. O., Oduyebo, O. F. and Oluyori, P. D. (2019). Procedure for Coordinates Conversion between NTM and UTM Systems in Minna Datum Using AllTrans and Columbus Software. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 6(5), 128-143. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST196517.

Idrizi, B. M. (2013). Developing Model for Utilization of Global Earth Gravimetrical Models in Macedonian Territory.

TS02B - National Geodesy and Geospatial Infrastructure. FIG Working Week 2013, Abuja, Nigeria.

Kotsakis, C. (2008). Transforming Ellipsoidal Heights and Geoid Undulations between Different Geodetic Reference Frames. *Journal of Geodesy*, 82(4), 249-260.

Lyszkowicz, A. (2009). Assessment of Accuracy of EGM08 Model over the Area of Poland. *Technical Sciences*, 12, 118-134.

Moka, E. C., Jackson, K. P. and Hart, L. (2017): Local Geoid Determination for Nigeria by the Transformation of Global Geoid Model. *Nigerian Journal of Geodesy*, 1 (1), 66-80.

Oduyebo, O. F., Ono M. N. and Eteje, S. O. (2019). Comparison of Three Gravimetric-Geometric Geoid Models for Best Local Geoid Model of Benin City, Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS)*, 6(6), 261-272. DOI: *https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.612.23*.

Oduyebo, O. F., Ono, M. N. and Eteje S. O. (2019): Practical Local Geoid Modelling of Benin City, Nigeria from Gravimetric Observations Using the Modified Stokes Integral. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS)*, 5(12), 608-617. DOI: *https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.512.1*.

Okeke, F. I. (2014): Assessment of the Capabilities of the National Transformation Version 2 (Ntv2) Model for the Nigerian Datum Transformation. National Union of Planetary and Radio Sciences (NUPRS) Conference.

Okeke, F. I. and Nnam, V. (2017): Local Geoid Determination for Nigeria by the Transformation of Global Geoid Model. *Nigerian Journal of Geodesy*, 1(1), 147-157.

Okeke, F. I., Moka E. C., Uzodinma, V. N. and Ono, M. N. (2017): The Determination of Datum Transformation Parameters for Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Geodesy*, 1(1), 49-64.



©2021 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.