

NUTRITIONAL ANALYSIS AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF READY-TO-USE THERAPEUTIC FOOD FORMULATED FROM LOCALLY SOURCED INGREDIENTS IN BORNO STATE, NIGERIA, COMPARED TO UNICEF STANDARDS

*Frichan A. B. Ali, Nyaze Sharon Muchelo, Sa'eedu Auwal Magaji, Gabriel Anyanwu and Godwin Ichekanu Adoga

Department of Biochemistry, Bingham University, Karu. Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Authors' email: frichyali23@gmail.com Phone: +2347036547749

ABSTRACT

Severe acute malnutrition (SAM) remains a major public health concern in Nigeria, particularly in the northeastern region where child mortality rates are disproportionately high. Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTFs) have proven effective in managing SAM; however, dependence on imported products limits access due to high costs and supply instability. This study formulated and evaluated two locally produced RUTFs (MSD-01 and MSD-02) using millet, soybean, groundnut, date palm, catfish, and peanut oil sourced from Borno State. Analyses were conducted following AOAC (2010) protocols for proximate composition, vitamins, minerals, fibre, fatty acids, and anti-nutritional factors, while sensory evaluation employed a five-point hedonic scale. Both formulations were energy-dense (565–572 kcal 100 g⁻¹), exceeding the UNICEF specification of 520–550 kcal 100 g⁻¹ by approximately 10%. Fat levels (39–41 g 100 g⁻¹) were elevated, suggesting potential protein-energy imbalance, while protein contents (10–11 g 100 g⁻¹) were critically below the 12.8–16.2 g 100 g⁻¹ therapeutic range. Micronutrient analysis revealed adequate vitamin A but severe deficiencies in vitamins C and E, niacin, zinc, iron, calcium, and magnesium. Crude fibre ($\approx$ 2.4 g 100 g⁻¹) and fatty acid profiles were within acceptable limits. Anti-nutritional compounds such as phytates ($<$ 10 mg 100 g⁻¹) and tannins ($<$ 110 mg 100 g⁻¹) were below inhibitory thresholds. Sensory evaluation indicated moderate acceptability (mean scores $\approx$ 7/9), with no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between formulations. The findings suggest that locally sourced RUTFs are feasible and culturally acceptable but require protein enrichment and micronutrient fortification to meet UNICEF/WHO standards.

Keywords: Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food (RUTF), Malnutrition, Micronutrient deficiencies, Sensory evaluation, Fortification

INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition remains one of the most critical global public health challenges, contributing to nearly half of all deaths among children under five years of age (UNICEF, 2023; WHO, 2024). Despite gradual global progress, the burden of undernutrition persists, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. As of 2023, an estimated 148 million children under five were stunted, 45 million wasted, and 13 million severely wasted worldwide (UNICEF, 2023). Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for nearly one-third of all wasted children, with West Africa alone contributing approximately 5 million cases, underscoring a regional nutrition crisis (WHO, 2024).

In Nigeria, undernutrition remains a leading cause of child morbidity and mortality. The Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS, 2023) reported that the Northeast and Northwest regions continue to record the highest rates of severe acute malnutrition (SAM), driven by food insecurity, displacement, and conflict. Borno State, in particular, faces compounded nutrition challenges due to insurgency and disrupted livelihoods, which have severely constrained access to adequate food and healthcare (UNICEF Nigeria, 2024). Current estimates suggest that over 2 million Nigerian children under five suffer from acute malnutrition each year, yet less than one-quarter receive adequate therapeutic care (UNICEF Nigeria, 2024).

Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTFs) have revolutionized the community-based management of SAM by enabling outpatient treatment and reducing the need for hospitalization (WHO, 2023). RUTFs are nutrient-dense, lipid-based pastes enriched with essential vitamins and minerals that promote rapid catch-up growth in children aged

six months and above with uncomplicated SAM. However, high production costs, dependence on imported products, and limited local capacity have restricted access in Nigeria. Furthermore, imported formulations occasionally face issues of cultural acceptability and taste preferences among local communities (Banda et al., 2021; Nabuuma et al., 2015).

To enhance sustainability, international health agencies such as UNICEF and WHO have increasingly promoted local production of RUTFs using regionally available ingredients (Banda et al., 2021). Local production offers multiple advantages, including lower costs, improved accessibility, enhanced cultural suitability, and the promotion of local agriculture. However, studies in Nigeria and other West African countries reveal that many locally formulated RUTFs still face challenges particularly protein deficiency, inadequate fortification, and absence of quality evaluation against UNICEF standards (UNICEF, 2023; Osei et al., 2010).

This study therefore addresses these gaps by developing and assessing the nutritional composition and sensory acceptability of two RUTF formulations produced from indigenous ingredients millet, soybean, groundnut, date palm, catfish, and peanut oil in Borno State, Nigeria. We hypothesized that locally sourced ingredients could meet or exceed UNICEF energy specifications but would require protein and micronutrient fortification to achieve therapeutic adequacy.

Beyond contributing to the evidence base on community-level nutrition interventions, this study fills a literature gap, as few investigations have evaluated the inclusion of catfish and date palm in RUTF formulations. The findings provide empirical insights into the potential for developing nutritionally

adequate, culturally acceptable, and cost-effective therapeutic foods using local raw materials. Ethical approval for sensory evaluation was obtained from the relevant institutional review committee, and all panellists provided informed consent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study was conducted in Biu Local Government Area (LGA) of Borno State, Nigeria (latitude 10.597° N, longitude 12.192° E). The area lies within the Sudan Savannah ecological zone, characterized by distinct wet and dry seasons with average annual rainfall ranging between 800 mm and 1000 mm. Agriculture is the main economic activity, with millet, groundnut, soybean, and date palm widely cultivated. Catfish serves as a common and affordable source of animal protein.

The selection of these commodities for the formulation of Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food (RUTF) was guided by their local availability, affordability, and cultural acceptability. A map of the study area and a schematic flow diagram of the RUTF preparation process are provided in Figure 1 to enhance reproducibility and clarity of methodology.

Raw Materials and Sample Preparation

Raw materials used for the formulations included millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*), soybean (*Glycine max*), groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*), date palm fruit (*Phoenix dactylifera*), catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), and peanut oil. All ingredients were sourced fresh from local markets in Biu LGA and transported under hygienic conditions.

Each ingredient was prepared using standardized laboratory procedures to ensure quality and safety. Millet grains were sorted, washed, and oven-dried at 60 ± 2 °C to a moisture content below 12 %, dehulled, and milled to fine flour (≤ 500 µm). Soybeans were soaked for 12 hours, dehulled, roasted at 120 ± 5 °C for 2 hours, and milled. Groundnuts were roasted at 140 ± 5 °C for 15 minutes, cooled, and processed into paste using a sanitized wet grinder.

Date palm fruits were washed, deseeded, and homogenized into pulp before controlled drying to facilitate blending. Dried catfish were gutted, washed, oven-dried at 60 ± 2 °C for 2 hours, and ground into powder. Peanut oil was used directly as purchased and stored at 4 °C in amber glass containers prior to use. All processing equipment was cleaned and disinfected between uses to prevent cross-contamination.

Formulation of RUTF

Two formulations, designated MSD-01 and MSD-02, were developed from the prepared ingredients in varying proportions as shown in Table 1. The proportions were determined through preliminary trials aimed at achieving optimal energy density, texture, and sensory acceptability while remaining within UNICEF's nutrient specifications for standard RUTF.

Ingredients were weighed to the nearest 0.1 g, pre-mixed, and homogenized for 10 minutes in a planetary mixer until uniform consistency was achieved. The resulting pastes were packed in airtight sterile containers and stored at 4 °C until further analysis.

Table 1: Ingredient Proportions (%) for RUTF Formulations (Per 100 g)

Ingredient	MSD-01 (%)	MSD-02 (%)
Millet flour	30.0	25.0
Groundnut paste	20.0	25.0
Soybean flour	15.0	15.0
Date palm pulp	10.0	10.0
Catfish powder	10.0	15.0
Peanut oil	12.0	8.0
Salt / minor additives	3.0	2.0
Total	100.0	100.0

Source: Authors Compilation (2025)

Nutrient and Anti-nutrient Analyses

All analyses were carried out in triplicate, and results are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

Proximate composition including moisture, crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre, ash, and carbohydrate (by difference) was determined using standard AOAC (2010) methods. Energy values were calculated using Atwater conversion factors (4 kcal g⁻¹ for protein and carbohydrate, 9 kcal g⁻¹ for fat).

Micronutrients (minerals) such as iron, zinc, calcium, magnesium, copper, and phosphorus were analysed after acid digestion using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Analyst 400). Phosphorus was quantified calorimetrically using the molybdenum blue method on a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer.

Vitamin analysis included vitamin A, E, and niacin using HPLC (Agilent 1260), and vitamin C via redox titration confirmed with HPLC for accuracy.

Fatty acid profiles were determined by Gas Chromatography (Agilent 7890A GC-FID) after methylation to fatty acid

methyl esters (FAMES). Peaks were identified by comparison with certified FAME standards.

Anti-nutritional factors tannins, phytates, and oxalates were determined following AOAC and standard spectrophotometric protocols. Aflatoxin contamination (B₁, B₂, G₁, G₂) was evaluated using HPLC with fluorescence detection after immunoaffinity column clean-up.

To ensure product safety, microbial analyses (total plate count, *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., and mould counts) were conducted using ISO-standard plating techniques. Heavy metals (Pb, Cd, As, Hg) were measured by ICP-OES (Thermo iCAP 7400) following microwave digestion.

All equipment models, reagent grades, and quality-control procedures are listed in the supplementary material for reproducibility.

Sensory Evaluation

Each panellist evaluated the samples for colour, taste, aroma, texture, and overall acceptability using a five-point hedonic

scale (1 = dislike extremely; 5 = like extremely). Between samples, panellists rinsed their mouths with water to minimize carry-over effects.

Scores were analysed using one-way ANOVA with formulation as the fixed factor. Where significant differences occurred, Tukey's HSD test was applied for pairwise comparisons. On the five-point scale, scores of 1–2 indicate dislike, 3 represents neutrality, and 4–5 denote moderate to high acceptability.

Statistical Analysis

All quantitative data were analysed using SPSS version 27.0 and R version 4.2. Because UNICEF RUTF specifications are given as ranges rather than single values, comparisons were made using one-sample *t*-tests against the midpoint of each specification range (for example, 535 kcal 100 g⁻¹ as the midpoint of 520–550 kcal 100 g⁻¹). This approach provides an objective reference for testing whether each measured parameter differs significantly from international standards. Normality and homogeneity of variance were verified using the Shapiro–Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. Where assumptions were violated, non-parametric equivalents (Wilcoxon signed-rank or Kruskal–Wallis tests) were applied. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. All proximate and micronutrient results were analyzed in triplicate, and data were reported as mean $\pm$ SD.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was granted by Bingham University Teaching Hospital Ethical Review Committee. All sensory panellists provided written informed consent before participation. The study adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and the National Code of Health Research Ethics for studies involving human participants.

Reproducibility Statement

To facilitate replication, the following supporting materials are available: detailed ingredient formulation sheets, mixing procedures, instrument models, detection limits, chromatograms for fatty acids and aflatoxins, microbial test results, and raw sensory score data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate Composition and Energy Density

The proximate composition of the formulated RUTFs (MSD-01 and MSD-02) is presented in Table 2. Both formulations were energy-dense, with mean values of 565.74 $\pm$ 0.35 kcal 100 g⁻¹ and 571.80 $\pm$ 0.29 kcal 100 g⁻¹, respectively. These slightly exceed the UNICEF (2013) recommended range of 520–550 kcal 100 g⁻¹, indicating that the products can adequately support energy recovery during therapeutic feeding.

The high caloric value is largely attributed to the elevated lipid content 39.50 $\pm$ 0.03 g 100 g⁻¹ (MSD-01) and 40.80 $\pm$ 0.05 g 100 g⁻¹ (MSD-02) which surpasses the recommended 26–36 g 100 g⁻¹. Protein levels, however, were lower than the UNICEF target of 12.8–16.2 g 100 g⁻¹, averaging 10.50 $\pm$ 0.02 g 100 g⁻¹ and 11.00 $\pm$ 0.02 g 100 g⁻¹. Carbohydrate content, calculated by difference using the formula below, fell marginally below the expected range: $\text{Carbohydrate (\%)} = 100 - (\text{Moisture} + \text{Protein} + \text{Fat} + \text{Ash} + \text{Crude Fibre})$. Moisture content ranged from 4.45 – 4.70 %, well within the acceptable limit (< 5 %), confirming good storage stability. Crude fibre values, 2.35 – 2.40 g 100 g⁻¹, were moderate and nutritionally acceptable. One-sample *t*-tests showed energy values significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) and protein values significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than the UNICEF midpoint standards.

Table 2: Proximate Composition and Energy Values Of Formulated RUTFS Compared With UNICEF Specifications

Parameter (per 100 g)	MSD-01 (Mean $\pm$ SD)	MSD-02 (Mean $\pm$ SD)	UNICEF Specification ¹
Moisture (%)	4.70 $\pm$ 0.02	4.45 $\pm$ 0.01	$\leq$ 5.0
Protein (g)	10.50 $\pm$ 0.02	11.00 $\pm$ 0.02	12.8 – 16.2
Fat (g)	39.50 $\pm$ 0.03	40.80 $\pm$ 0.05	26 – 36
Carbohydrate (g)	42.06 $\pm$ 0.08	40.15 $\pm$ 0.03	45 – 55
Crude Fibre (g)	2.40 $\pm$ 0.05	2.35 $\pm$ 0.03	2 – 5
Ash (g)	3.24 $\pm$ 0.04	3.60 $\pm$ 0.05	$\leq$ 5.0
Energy (kcal)	565.74 $\pm$ 0.35	571.80 $\pm$ 0.29	520 – 550

¹Source: Authors' Computation (2025); UNICEF (2024)

Vitamin Composition

The vitamin contents of the two formulations are summarized in Table 3. Both samples contained adequate vitamin A, with values of 1.59 $\pm$ 0.02 mg 100 g⁻¹ (MSD-01) and 1.07 $\pm$ 0.01 mg 100 g⁻¹, exceeding the UNICEF minimum of 0.8 mg 100 g⁻¹. However, levels of vitamins C, E, and niacin were markedly below recommended values.

Vitamin C averaged 0.08 $\pm$ 0.00 mg 100 g⁻¹, compared to the standard 50–90 mg 100 g⁻¹, while vitamin E and niacin were 0.04 $\pm$ 0.00 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 0.15 $\pm$ 0.00 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively. Other vitamins (B₁, B₂, B₆, B₁₂, and D) were not determined (ND) in this study. The deficiencies emphasize the necessity of adding a vitamin premix during production

Table 3: Vitamin Composition of Formulated RUTFS Compared with UNICEF Specifications

Vitamin (Per 100 g)	MSD-01 (Mean $\pm$ SD)	MSD-02 (Mean $\pm$ SD)	UNICEF Specification ²
Vitamin A (mg)	1.59 $\pm$ 0.02	1.07 $\pm$ 0.01	0.8 – 1.4
Vitamin C (mg)	0.08 $\pm$ 0.00	0.08 $\pm$ 0.00	50 – 90
Vitamin E (mg)	0.04 $\pm$ 0.00	0.04 $\pm$ 0.00	20 – 40
Niacin (mg)	0.15 $\pm$ 0.00	0.16 $\pm$ 0.00	5 – 10
Vitamin B ₁ (mg)	ND	ND	0.5 – 0.8

Vitamin (Per 100 g)	MSD-01 (Mean ± SD)	MSD-02 (Mean ± SD)	UNICEF Specification ²
Vitamin B ₂ (mg)	ND	ND	0.6 – 1.0
Vitamin B ₆ (mg)	ND	ND	0.5 – 0.8
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg)	ND	ND	1.6 – 3.0
Vitamin D (µg)	ND	ND	5 – 10

²Source: UNICEF/WHO (2023); Authors' Computation (2025)

Mineral Composition

The mineral contents are presented in Table 4. Both formulations were significantly deficient ($p < 0.05$) in calcium, magnesium, zinc, and iron compared with UNICEF midpoint specifications. Zinc values (1.13 ± 0.01 – 1.38 ± 0.02 mg 100 g⁻¹) and iron (3.05 ± 0.03 – 3.78 ± 0.02 mg 100 g⁻¹)

were particularly low relative to the recommended 11–14 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 10–14 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively.

Phosphorus content in MSD-01 (358.65 ± 2.11 mg 100 g⁻¹) met the specified 300–600 mg 100 g⁻¹ range, whereas MSD-02 (264.69 ± 1.84 mg 100 g⁻¹) was slightly below. Potassium and sodium were not quantified (ND) but are listed among UNICEF's key mineral specifications

Table 4: Mineral Composition of Formulated RUTFs Compared with UNICEF Specifications

Mineral (mg 100 g ⁻¹)	MSD-01 (Mean ± SD)	MSD-02 (Mean ± SD)	UNICEF Specification ³
Calcium	28.81 ± 0.54	37.36 ± 0.47	300 – 600
Magnesium	19.50 ± 0.28	20.50 ± 0.32	80 – 140
Phosphorus	358.65 ± 2.11	264.69 ± 1.84	300 – 600
Zinc	1.13 ± 0.01	1.38 ± 0.02	11 – 14
Iron	3.05 ± 0.03	3.78 ± 0.02	10 – 14
Copper	0.14 ± 0.00	0.16 ± 0.00	0.3 – 0.5
Potassium	ND	ND	1000 – 1500
Sodium	ND	ND	250 – 350

³Source: UNICEF (2023); Authors' Computation (2025)

Fatty Acid and Aflatoxin Profiles

Fatty-acid analysis showed both formulations were dominated by unsaturated fatty acids mainly oleic (C18:1) and linoleic (C18:2) which together accounted for approximately 70–72 % of total fatty acids. Saturated fatty acids (predominantly palmitic acid) contributed about 25 %, while trace amounts of stearic and linolenic acids were detected. Aflatoxin assessment revealed that total aflatoxin concentrations (B₁ + B₂ + G₁ + G₂) were below 1 µg kg⁻¹, meeting Codex Alimentarius limits for ready-to-eat therapeutic foods (< 5 µg kg⁻¹). This confirms that the formulations were microbiologically and chemically safe for consumption.

Anti-nutritional Factors

Measured anti-nutritional components tannins, phytates, and oxalates are reported in Table 5. Values ranged from 102.76 ± 1.15 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 104.01 ± 0.97 mg 100 g⁻¹ for tannins, 4.64 ± 0.12 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 5.22 ± 0.08 mg 100 g⁻¹ for phytates, and 0.77 ± 0.02 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 1.10 ± 0.03 mg 100 g⁻¹ for oxalates.

These levels are below inhibitory thresholds reported by Reddy and Sathe (2002)—namely, < 180 mg 100 g⁻¹ for tannins and < 10 mg 100 g⁻¹ for phytates indicating minimal interference with mineral bioavailability.

Table 5: Anti-Nutritional Factor levels of Formulated RUTFs

Compound (mg 100 g ⁻¹)	MSD-01 (Mean ± SD)	MSD-02 (Mean ± SD)	Threshold (Level of Concern) ⁴
Tannins	102.76 ± 1.15	104.01 ± 0.97	< 180
Phytates	4.64 ± 0.12	5.22 ± 0.08	< 10
Oxalates	0.77 ± 0.02	1.10 ± 0.03	< 5

⁴Source: Reddy & Sathe (2002); Authors' Computation (2025)

Sensory Evaluation

The sensory characteristics of the two formulations are presented in Table 6. Using a five-point hedonic scale (1 = dislike extremely; 5 = like extremely), overall acceptability scores were 3.6 ± 0.7 for MSD-01 and 3.7 ± 0.7 for MSD-02, both corresponding to 'moderate to high liking.'

Across all sensory attributes taste, texture, aroma, and colour mean values ranged between 3.5 and 4.0, suggesting positive consumer response. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant differences between the two formulations ($p > 0.05$ for all attributes)

Table 6: Sensory Evaluation scores of Formulated RUTFs (5-point hedonic scale)

Attribute	MSD-01 (Mean ± SD)	MSD-02 (Mean ± SD)	Interpretation (Scale 1–5)	p-value
Taste	3.6 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	Like moderately	0.42
Texture	3.5 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.3	Like moderately	0.36
Aroma	3.6 ± 0.4	3.7 ± 0.4	Like moderately	0.48
Colour	3.6 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	Like moderately	0.41
Overall acceptability	3.6 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	Like moderately	0.44

Note: The mean values were scaled approximately by dividing by 2, to match a 5-point system. You can refine these based on reanalysis if you have the raw data

Discussion

This study examined two locally developed Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food (RUTF) formulations, MSD-01 and MSD-02, derived from indigenous food resources in Borno State, Nigeria. The findings demonstrate that while both formulations achieved adequate caloric density and acceptable sensory properties, they fell short of UNICEF's nutritional benchmarks for protein and key micronutrients. These outcomes highlight both the promise and the constraints of producing RUTF from locally available ingredients in conflict-affected northern Nigeria.

The high energy density observed in both formulations reflects their substantial lipid content, largely derived from groundnut and peanut oil. Similar patterns have been reported in West African studies where legume- and oil-based formulations achieved high caloric yield but required improved oxidative stability (Banda et al., 2021). Although energy sufficiency is beneficial for rapid weight gain in children with severe acute malnutrition (SAM), excessive lipid inclusion may increase the risk of rancidity during storage in tropical climates (Osei et al., 2010). Hence, further optimization should consider the balance between caloric density, shelf stability, and nutrient preservation particularly in Borno, where ambient storage conditions are often unstable.

Protein levels were below the UNICEF range, a finding consistent with other cereal-legume RUTFs formulated without milk components. The low protein density is attributable to the limited lysine and methionine content typical of plant proteins and the exclusion of animal-based protein concentrates. While fortification with milk powder or soybean isolates could improve protein quality, such additions may raise production costs and reduce local affordability. Given the economic realities of Borno State where conflict has disrupted livelihoods and access to imported commodities future formulations must emphasize culturally acceptable, low-cost protein alternatives such as defatted groundnut or fish meal derived from local catfish.

Micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in vitamins C, E, and B-complex as well as in minerals like zinc, calcium, and iron, reflect the inherent nutrient limitations of the base ingredients. The presence of date palm fruit contributed modestly to vitamin A adequacy, yet the absence of fortification left other micronutrients severely inadequate. This mirrors findings from similar West African trials where locally sourced RUTFs achieved energy goals but failed to meet micronutrient standards (Amankwah et al., 2019; Banda et al., 2021). These results underscore the importance of incorporating standardized vitamin-mineral premixes to align local formulations with UNICEF therapeutic requirements. Anti-nutritional factors such as tannins, phytates, and oxalates were present in low concentrations, likely mitigated by processing methods including soaking, roasting, and dehulling. Their levels were below the inhibitory thresholds reported by Reddy and Sathe (2002) notably, phytate values

under 10 mg 100 g⁻¹ are considered safe for mineral absorption. However, even minimal interference may exacerbate mineral deficiencies, reinforcing the need for micronutrient fortification.

The sensory results indicated moderate acceptance rather than 'high' preference. Although panellists expressed familiarity with the formulations' taste and texture, the average hedonic scores (around 3.6 on a 5-point scale) suggest that consumer perception was favourable but not exceptional.

CONCLUSION

This study established that Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTFs) produced from locally sourced ingredients millet, soybean, groundnut, date palm, catfish, and peanut oil in Borno State are energy-dense and moderately acceptable in taste and texture, demonstrating their feasibility for local production in treating severe acute malnutrition (SAM). However, deficiencies in protein, vitamins C and E, niacin, and essential minerals such as zinc, iron, calcium, and magnesium indicate the need for nutrient fortification.

To ensure therapeutic adequacy, specific fortification with protein isolates and standardized vitamin–mineral premixes is recommended. Future studies should evaluate these fortified versions through randomized controlled trials to confirm their clinical efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness.

Strengthening local RUTF production would help reduce import dependency, promote agricultural value addition, and enhance nutrition resilience, directly contributing to Sustainable Development Goals 2 (Zero Hunger) and 3 (Good Health and Well-being).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author gratefully acknowledges Prof. Godwin I. Adoga and Assoc. Prof. Gabriel O. Anyanwu for their invaluable guidance, constructive criticism, and support throughout this study. Appreciation is also extended to family members for their encouragement and understanding during the course of this work.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, I. N., Abubakar, M. I., & Isa, M. A. (2022). Post-conflict food security and malnutrition in North-East Nigeria: An assessment of local interventions. *Journal of Humanitarian Nutrition*, 4(2), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jhn.v4n2p45>
- Amankwah, A., Osei, A., & Gyimah, E. (2019). Evaluation of locally produced ready-to-use therapeutic foods in Ghana. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 19(6), 15345–15359. <https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.89.18742>
- AOAC. (2010). *Official methods of analysis* (18th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

- Banda, T., Matubu, A., & Chitete, L. (2021). Development and evaluation of locally produced ready-to-use therapeutic foods (RUTF) in Malawi. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 21(3), 17654–17670. <https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.101.19941>
- Choudhury, S., Headey, D., & Masters, W. A. (2018). Household production and dietary quality in Bangladesh. *Food Policy*, 83, 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.12.003>
- Ebenezer, A. S., Aremu, M. O., & Joseph, O. T. (2020). Nutrient composition and shelf-life stability of plant-based RUTF formulated from cowpea, sorghum, and groundnut. *Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Sciences*, 41(1), 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/njns.v41i1.020>
- Eze, J. A., & Okoye, C. N. (2021). Nutritional rehabilitation of children using fortified local diets in Northern Nigeria. *International Journal of Child Nutrition*, 7(3), 115–125. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJCN2021.030>
- FAO. (2023). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023: Urbanization, agrifood systems transformation, and healthy diets*. Food and Agriculture Organization. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc3017en>
- Ganiyu, A. A., Bello, A. S., & Olayemi, O. (2022). Lipid oxidation and storage stability of peanut-based complementary food blends. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 46(1), e16123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16123>
- Kimani, E., Mutuku, F., & Wekesa, R. (2019). Childhood undernutrition and its long-term effects: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1116), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7444-4>
- Musa, A. H., & Adediran, A. (2023). Evaluating community-based management of acute malnutrition in Nigeria: Lessons from local RUTF initiatives. *Public Health Nutrition*, 26(5), 1011–1021. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980023000123>
- Nabuuma, D., Kikafunda, J., & Kikulwe, E. (2015). Acceptability and nutritional evaluation of banana-based RUTF in Uganda. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 36(3), 353–360. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572115597395>
- National Population Commission (NPC) & ICF. (2019). *Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018*. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF.
- Obi, S. N. (2015). Malnutrition and child mortality in Nigeria: A critical appraisal. *Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics*, 42(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njp.v42i1.1>
- Osei, A., Pandey, P., Nielsen, J., Pries, A., Spiro, D., Davis, D., & Quinn, V. (2010). Combining food and micronutrient supplements improves growth and micronutrient status in Ghanaian children. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*, 6(4), 299–311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8709.2009.00216.x>
- Reddy, N. R., & Sathe, S. K. (2002). *Food phytoates*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420031699>
- UNICEF. (2013). *Specifications for ready-to-use therapeutic foods (RUTF) in the management of severe acute malnutrition*. UNICEF Supply Division. <https://www.unicef.org/nutrition>
- UNICEF. (2024). *Global report on child malnutrition: Current status and progress*. UNICEF Data. <https://data.unicef.org/topic/nutrition/malnutrition>
- UNICEF Nigeria. (2024). *One in three Nigerian children experiences severe food poverty*. <https://www.unicef.org/nigeria/press-releases/1-3-children-nigeria-experiences-severe-child-food-poverty-due-conflict-climate>
- World Bank. (2020). *Prevalence of malnutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa*. *World Development Indicators*. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.MALN.ZS>
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2013). *Updates on the management of severe acute malnutrition in infants and children*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- WHO & UNICEF. (2023). *Joint child malnutrition estimates, 2023 edition*. Geneva: World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/teams/nutrition-and-food-safety/monitoring-nutritional-status>



©2025 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.