

FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS) ISSN online: 2616-1370 ISSN print: 2645 - 2944 Vol. 8 No. 6, December, (Special Issue) 2024, pp 343 - 348 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.33003/fjs-2024-0806-3116</u>



# COMPARATIVE EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TOMATO GENOTYPES, LYCOPERSICON ESCULENTUM (MILL.)

# \*Adewusi, O. F.

Department of Biotechnology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

\*Corresponding authors' email: <u>ofadewusi@gmail.com</u> Phone: +2348101109482

## ABSTRACT

This research was carried out to determine and to compare the effect of moringa leaf extract, poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on the growth rate and yield of the tomato genotypes. The 10 tomato genotypes utilized for this study were obtained from the tomato germplasm collection at the National Center for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology (NACGRAB), Department of Plant Genetic Resources, Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria. The experiment was a potted and factorial experiment whereby the tomato genotypes were subjected to 4 treatments which comprises Treatment 1 (no fertilizer), Treatment 2 (moringa leaf extract), Treatment 3 (poultry manure), Treatment 4 (NPK fertilizer). The experiment was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm, Federal University of Technology, Akure. Data were collected on 13 agronomic characters. The application of NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer was found to greatly influence the rate of growth and yield of the tomato genotypes followed by Poultry manure and Moringa leaf extract for all the characters studied. Genotypes NGB00724, NGB00711 and NGB00695 were found outstanding among the tomato genotypes in terms of number of fruits, number of clusters, individual fruit weight and yield per plant irrespective of the type of fertilizer applied.Hence, these tomato genotypes could be improved upon and released as high yielding tomato varieties to farmers. The use of moringa leaf extract and poultry manure to produce tomato is a worthwhile alternative in place of NPK fertilizer.

Keywords: Comparative, Organic fertilizer, Inorganic fertilizer, Tomato genotypes

# INTRODUCTION

Tomato, Lycopersicon esculentum (Mill.) is a plant in the solanaceae family known as nightshade family (Waheed et al., 2020). It produces vitamin c, potassium, folate and they help to maintain strong bones (Suman et al., 2023). It helps in lowering men risk of stroke and helping them to fight prostate cancer (Salehi et al., 2019). These nutrients perform various body functions including; reduction in constipation, reduction in high blood pressure, stimulation of body circulation, maintenance of lipid profile and body fluids, detoxification of body toxins and maintaining bone structure as well (Yahia et al., 2019). However, achieving optimal growth and yield of tomatoes depends heavily on the application of fertilizers to supply essential nutrients (Stoleru et al., 2020). The application of inorganic fertilizers, while effective at boosting yield, have been associated with soil degradation, environmental pollution and increased production costs due to their high chemical content and non-sustainable practices (Srivastava, 2020). On the contrary, the application of organic fertilizers, though eco-friendly and sustainable is associated with a slower nutrient release which may not meet the immediate nutrient demands of high-yielding tomato genotypes (Manna et al., 2021). Despite this limitation, the application of organic fertilizers offer a more environmentally friendly alternative to the use of inorganic fertilizer as a result of their capability of improving soil health by enhancing soil structure, water retention and microbial activity (Singh et al., 2020); but their efficiency needs to be rigorously compared with inorganic fertilizers to ensure they can sustain productivity (Bhunia et al., 2021). Hence, there is a need for a comparative evaluation of organic and inorganic fertilizers to determine which is more beneficial in terms of both growth and yield performance in various tomato genotypes (Aina et al., 2019). A better understanding of the comparative efficacy of organic and inorganic fertilizers could then lead to a more cost-effective and sustainable farming practices thereby reducing the dependence on

expensive chemical inputs (Abebe et al., 2022). Animal manure especially is known to be effective in the maintenance of organic matter content in the soil thus improving the soil physical and chemical condition and thereby enhancing crop performance (Singh et al., 2022). Likewise, the application of moringa leaf extract has also been investigated to ascertain its effect on growth and yield of crops and its efficacy as a substitute to inorganic fertilizer (Gad et al., 2019). Similarly, long-term use of inorganic fertilizers has been found to result to soil acidification and nutrient imbalances (Pahalvi et al., 2021). Hence, this research is embarked upon to : i). determine the effect of moringa leaf extract (MLE) on growth and yield of tomato genotypes; ii). determine the effect of poultry manure on the growth and yield of tomato genotypes; iii). determine the effect of NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato genotypes.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental materials for this project consist of ten genotypes of tomato, Lycopersicon esculentum (Mill.). the tomato genotypes were obtained from the tomato germplasm collection of National Center for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology (NACGRAB), Ibadan, Oyo – State, Nigeria. The names of the tomato genotypes are: NGB00695, NGB00696, NGB00708, NGB00711, NGB00713, NGB00714, NGB00715, NGB00721, NGB00724 and NGB00725.

This research was carried out in two phases. The first phase is the nursery preparation while the second phase is the field evaluation of the tomato seedlings.

### **Nursery Preparation**

The tomato genotypes were planted in nursery trays filled with sterilized topsoil. Each of the trays consists of 120 holes and a seed of each of the tomato genotypes was planted per hole. the seeds after emergence were adequately cared for and Adewusi

at 4 weeks after emergence, the seedlings were transplanted to the field for field evaluation.

#### **Field Evaluation**

The experiment is a potted experiment consisting of polythene bags filled with 5kg topsoil. 8 bags were allotted to each of the tomato genotypes. 4 weeks old tomato seedlings were planted in each of the pots at one seedling per pot. 4 treatments of fertilizer application were applied to the tomato genotypes: T1 = No fertilizer applied; T2 = application of Moringa leaf extract as a foliar spray; T3 = application of poultry manure; T4 = application of NPK 15:15:15

### Preparation of Moringa Leaf Extract (MLE)

200g of airdried tender leaves of moringa were collected and blended. The powdered moringa leaves were soaked in 1Litre, 80% aqueous solution of ethanol allowed to stand for 24hours. The moringa solution was then filtered using a muslin cloth to have the MLE. The MLE was further diluted at ratio 1: 5 with distilled water and then applied to the tomato plants at 4 weeks after transplanting (4WAT) till maturity at 50mls / plant on forthnight basis.

#### **Poultry Manure**

The poultry manure utilized for this project were obtained from the poultry manure dunghill of the Teaching and Research Farm of the Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo – State, Nigeria. The poultry manure was put under the shed for additional two weeks to allow the manure to completely decompose before applying to plants so as not to cause injury to the plants. The poultry manure was then sieved to remove the pebbles. The poultry manure was then applied to the plants at 4WAT and subsequently on forth night basis till maturity at the rate of 50grams per plant.

#### **Data Collection**

Data collection was carried out on the following agronomic characters: - Plant height at flowering (PHTF), Number of leaves per plant at flowering (NLPF), Number of branches per plant at flowering (NBPF), Plant height at maturity (PHM), Final plant height (FPH), Number of clusters per plant (NCP), Number of fruit per plant (NFP), Individual Fruit weight per plant (IFWt/P), Fruit yield per plant (FYP), Stem girth (SG) and Yield per hectare (YD/Ha)

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The estimates of the effects of the different fertilizer application on the agronomic characters in the tomato

genotypes are presented in Table 1. The tallest plants at flowering with the application of NPK fertilizer were recorded in G10 (53.40cm) followed by G2 (50.40cm) followed by G6 (49.40) cm. application of poultry manure also resulted into tall plants at flowering as recorded in G10 (49.80cm) and G1 (46.00cm). the highest number of leaves per plant at flowering, was recorded with the application of NPK fertilizer followed by application of moringa leaf extract followed by poultry manure. Number of leaf at flowering was maximum in G1(36.20, 29.00 and 28.80) with the application of NPK, moringa leaf extract and poultry manure respectively. The lowest number of leaves per plant at flowering was recorded in G5 (28.40 and 22.60) with the application of fertilizers. The tallest plant at maturity was recorded in G10 (186.40cm and 176.32cm) with the application of NPK and poultry manure respectively. G10 and G2 (145.50cm) with the application of moringa leaf extract. As regards the stem girth, it was maximum with the application of NPK fertilizer in G9 (5.82cm) followed by the application of poultry manure in G9 (4.76cm) and the application of moringa leaf extract in G9 (3.94cm).

The estimates of the effects of the different fertilizer application on yield and its related characters in the tomato genotypes are presented in Table 2. The highest number of clusters was recorded in G6 (10.40) followed by G4 and G9 (9.60) with the application of NPK. Application of Poultry manure resulted into highest number of clusters in G1(9.80) followed by G9 (7.20). The highest number of clusters with the application of moringa leaf extract was recorded in G1(7.80). The highest number of fruits was recorded in G6 (64.80) followed by G9 (62.80) followed by G4 (60.40) with the application of NPK. With the application of poultry manure, the highest number of fruits was recorded in G9 (59.60) followed by G6 (56.40). The application of moringa leaf extract resulted into the highest number of fruits in G6 (44.60). the biggest tomato fruits were recorded in G9 (7.31g, 7.23g and 6.94g) with the application of NPK, poultry manure and moringa leaf extract respectively. The highest yield per plant was recorded in G6 (461.08g) followed by G9 (459.02g) followed by G4 (420.08g) with the application of NPK fertilizer. The application of poultry manure resulted into the highest fruit yield in G9(430.42g) followed by G6 (403.32g) followed by G4 (379.31g). The application of moringa leaf extract resulted into maximum fruit yield in G6 (307.00g) followed by G9 (292g) and G4 (278g). On the average, the application of the different fertilizers resulted in an increase in the characters studied compared to the control.

GENOTYPE	TRT1						TRT 2										
	PHTF(cm)	PHTM(cm)	FPH (cm)	NLPF	NBP	SG(cm)	PHTF(cm)	NLPF	NBP	PHTM(cm)	FPH (cm)	SG(cm)					
G1	32.40a	111.50a	125.20a	26.00a	8.40a	2.86a	38.10a	29.00a	8.40a	145.13a	159.00a	3.68a					
G2	30.40a	110.80a	133.20a	24.40a	7.60ab	2.86a	38.20a	25.40ab	7.60ab	145.50a	161.00a	3.26a					
G3	28.20a	102.40a	121.20a	24.00a	7.40ab	2.68ab	36.60a	23.80ab	8.00a	139.50ab	155.00a	3.02a					
G4	25.00ab	90.50ab	115.00ab	23.40a	7.20ab	2.62ab	30.40ab	26.20a	8.40a	116.25b	140.00ab	2.94a					
G5	25.00ab	90.70ab	110.40ab	19.40ab	7.40ab	2.80a	29.40ab	22.60b	8.00a	112.50b	136.00ab	3.82a					
G6	26.00ab	94.30ab	112.00ab	22.00a	8.00a	2.66ab	36.20a	25.60ab	8.60a	138.00ab	156.00a	3.38a					
G7	23.40ab	84.50b	103.00b	22.40a	8.80a	2.18b	27.00b	24.20ab	7.80ab	99.70bc	123.00b	3.36a					
G8	26.40ab	95.80ab	112.00ab	23.00a	8.60a	2.04b	31.20ab	26.20a	8.40a	119.40b	137.00ab	3.54a					
G9	28.00a	101.70a	123.10a	25.40a	7.20ab	3.38a	35.80a	26.00a	8.40a	136.50ab	154.00a	3.94a					
G10	32.20a	117.40a	133.10a	23.20a	10.80a	2.78ab	38.20a	26.60a	8.40a	145.50a	162.00a	3.23a					
	TRT 3											TRT 4					
GENOTYPE			TR	ſ <b>3</b>					T	RT 4							
GENOTYPE	PHTF(cm)	NLPF	TRT NBP	T 3 PHTM(cm)	FPH(cm)	SG(cm)	PHTF(cm)	NLPF	TI NBP	RT 4 PHTM(cm)	FPH (cm)	SG(cm)					
GENOTYPE G1	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 46.00a	NLPF 28.80a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab	T <b>3</b> PHTM(cm) 170.08a	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a	<b>SG(cm)</b> 4.46	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 48.00a	<b>NLPF</b> 36.20a	TI           NBP           8.60a	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 46.00a 43.80a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a	TRI           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab	T 3 PHTM(cm) 170.08a 156.82ab	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 4.46 4.16	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 48.00a 50.40a	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab	TI           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a 175.90a	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a					
<b>GENOTYPE</b> G1 G2 G3	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           7.20ab	<b>PHTM(cm)</b> 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 4.46 4.16 4.22	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 48.00a 50.40a 45.60a	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab	Tl <b>NBP</b> 8.60a 7.60ab 7.40ab	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a 175.90a 159.10ab	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           7.20ab           6.80b	PHTM(cm) 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab 141.22b	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 4.46 4.16 4.22 3.80	<b>PHTF(cm)</b> 48.00a 50.40a 45.60a 43.80ab	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a	TI           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a 175.90a 159.10ab 152.80ab	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4 G5	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a 38.20ab	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a 22.60ab	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           6.80b           6.60b	<b>PHTM(cm)</b> 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab 141.22b 138.88b	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab 151.60ab	SG(cm)           4.46           4.16           4.22           3.80           4.40	PHTF(cm) 48.00a 50.40a 45.60a 43.80ab 43.60ab	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a 28.40b	Th           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab           6.60b	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a 175.90a 159.10ab 152.80ab 152.10ab	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab 163.80ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a 5.62a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a 38.20ab 44.00a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a 22.60ab 25.60a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           6.80b           6.60b           8.40a	<b>PHTM(cm)</b> 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab 141.22b 138.88b 163.84ab	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab 151.60ab 177.00a	SG(cm)           4.46           4.16           4.22           3.80           4.40           4.32	PHTF(cm) 48.00a 50.40a 45.60a 43.80ab 43.60ab 49.40a	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a 28.40b 32.80ab	Thermal           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab           6.60b           8.00a	RT 4 PHTM(cm) 176.60a 175.90a 159.10ab 152.80ab 152.10ab 172.40a	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab 163.80ab 185.00a	SG(cm) 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a 5.62a 5.18a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a 38.20ab 44.00a 36.00ab	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a 22.60ab 25.60a 23.40ab	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           6.80b           6.60b           8.40a           7.60ab	PHTM(cm)           170.08a           156.82ab           149.80ab           141.22b           138.88b           163.84ab           124.06bc	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab 151.60ab 177.00a 143.60b	SG(cm)           4.46           4.16           4.22           3.80           4.40           4.32           3.92	PHTF(cm) 48.00a 50.40a 45.60a 43.80ab 43.60ab 49.40a 39.40b	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a 28.40b 32.80ab 31.00ab	Thermal           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab           6.60b           8.00a           7.40ab	PHTM(cm)           176.60a           175.90a           159.10ab           152.80ab           152.10ab           172.40a           137.40	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab 163.80ab 185.00a 154.60b	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a 5.62a 5.18a 5.42a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a 38.20ab 44.00a 36.00ab 41.80a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a 22.60ab 25.60a 23.40ab 25.00a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           6.80b           6.60b           8.40a           7.60ab           8.60a	<b>PHTM(cm)</b> 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab 141.22b 138.88b 163.84ab 124.06bc 145.12ab	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab 151.60ab 177.00a 143.60b 156.60ab	SG(cm)           4.46           4.16           4.22           3.80           4.40           4.32           3.92           3.78	PHTF(cm)           48.00a           50.40a           45.60a           43.80ab           43.60ab           49.40a           39.40b           46.60a	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a 28.40b 32.80ab 31.00ab 32.60ab	TI           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab           6.60b           8.00a           7.40ab           8.00a           8.40a	PHTM(cm)           176.60a           175.90a           159.10ab           152.80ab           152.10ab           172.40a           137.40           162.60ab	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab 163.80ab 185.00a 154.60b 175.80a	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a 5.62a 5.18a 5.42a 5.30a					
GENOTYPE G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9	PHTF(cm) 46.00a 43.80a 41.60a 42.60a 38.20ab 44.00a 36.00ab 41.80a 45.60a	NLPF 28.80a 25.60a 26.20a 26.80a 22.60ab 25.60a 23.40ab 25.00a 26.40a	TR1           NBP           7.80ab           7.20ab           7.20ab           6.80b           6.60b           8.40a           7.60ab           8.60a           7.40ab	<b>PHTM(cm)</b> 170.08a 156.82ab 149.80ab 141.22b 138.88b 163.84ab 124.06bc 145.12ab 159.94ab	<b>FPH(cm)</b> 180.40a 166.60ab 160.70ab 154.60ab 151.60ab 177.00a 143.60b 156.60ab 173.60a	SG(cm)           4.46           4.16           4.22           3.80           4.40           4.32           3.92           3.78           4.76	PHTF(cm)           48.00a           50.40a           45.60a           43.80ab           43.60ab           49.40a           39.40b           46.60a           44.80ab	NLPF 36.20a 32.20ab 31.20ab 33.40a 28.40b 32.80ab 31.00ab 32.60ab 34.40a	TI           NBP           8.60a           7.60ab           7.40ab           7.00ab           6.60b           8.00a           7.40ab           8.40a           6.40b	PHTM(cm)           176.60a           175.90a           159.10ab           152.80ab           152.10ab           172.40a           137.40           162.60ab           156.30ab	<b>FPH (cm)</b> 188.60a 190.20a 171.20a 167.40ab 163.80ab 185.00a 154.60b 175.80a 169.00ab	<b>SG(cm)</b> 5.32a 5.06a 4.88ab 5.00a 5.62a 5.18a 5.42a 5.30a 5.82a					

Table 1: Mean Values of Agronomic Characters in Tomato Genotypes

G1=NGB00695; G2= NGB00696; G3= NGB00708; G4= NGB00711; G5= NGB00713; G6=NGB00714; G7 =NGB00715; G8 =NGB00724; G10 =NGB00725; PHTF = Plant height at flowering; NLPF= Number of leaves per plant at flowering; NBP=Number of branches per plant; PHTM = Plant height at maturity; FPH = Final plant height at harvesting; SG = Stem girth; TRT 1 = NO FERTILIZER; TRT 2 = MORINGA LEAF EXTRACT; TRT 3 = POULTRY MANURE; TRT 4 = NPK FERTILIZER

CENOTVDES			TRT 1			TRT2					
GENUTYPES	NCP	NFP(g)	INFWT(g)	YD/P(g)	YD/Ha (ton)	NCP	NFP	INFWT(g)	YD/P(g)	YD/Ha (ton)	
G1	5.60a	32.80a	4.09ab	135.61ab	5.02ab	7.80a	42.40a	4.43b	187.00ab	6.94b	
G2	5.40a	30.80a	4.14ab	126.44b	4.68b	7.60a	40.00a	4.44b	178.00b	6.59b	
G3	4.60ab	25.60ab	5.06ab	129.53b	4.80b	5.60b	34.00ab	5.15ab	175.00b	6.48b	
G4	4.80ab	31.80a	6.54a	208.53a	7.72a	5.40b	43.60a	6.40a	278.00a	10.29a	
G5	6.20a	29.20ab	5.18ab	151.25ab	5.60ab	6.80ab	36.60ab	5.35ab	196.00ab	7.26ab	
G6	5.60a	34.00a	6.59a	224.17a	8.30a	6.80ab	44.60a	6.90a	307.00a	11.39a	
G7	5.00a	24.20b	4.39ab	107.35	3.98bc	5.40b	31.20b	4.71b	148.00bc	5.47bc	
G8	5.20a	25.60ab	5.70ab	147.10	5.45ab	5.80b	31.80b	5.74ab	182.00ab	6.75b	
G9	6.00a	35.20a	7.35a	259.50a	9.61a	6.20ab	42.00a	6.94a	292.00a	10.80a	
G10	5.40a	27.20ab	6.20a	169.05ab	6.26ab	6.20ab	32.00b	6.25a	201.00ab	7.43ab	
			TRT 3			TRT 4					
GENOTYPES	NCP	NFP	INFWT(g)	YD/P(g)	YD/Ha (ton)	NCP	NFP	INFWT(g)	YD/P(g)	YD/Ha(ton)	
G1	9.80a										
G2	J.00u	52.20a	4.23bc	219.98b	8.15ab	8.80ab	59.20a	4.69b	278.10ab	10.30ab	
02	6.60ab	52.20a 48.40ab	4.23bc 4.10bc	219.98b 195.23b	8.15ab 7.23b	8.80ab 9.00a	59.20a 50.80ab	4.69b 4.30b	278.10ab 217.90ab	10.30ab 8.07b	
G3	6.60ab 6.20ab	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b	
G3 G4	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a	
G3 G4 G5	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b 6.60ab	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a 43.80ab	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab 5.58b	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a 245.34ab	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a 9.09ab	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a 8.20ab	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a 49.20ab	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a 6.07ab	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a 299.50ab	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a 11.09ab	
G3 G4 G5 G6	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b 6.60ab 7.00ab	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a 43.80ab 56.40a	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab 5.58b 7.15a	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a 245.34ab 403.32a	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a 9.09ab 14.94a	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a 8.20ab 10.40a	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a 49.20ab 64.80a	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a 6.07ab 7.09a	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a 299.50ab 461.08a	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a 11.09ab 17.08a	
G3 G4 G5 G6 G7	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b 6.60ab 7.00ab 5.20b	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a 43.80ab 56.40a 40.80b	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab 5.58b 7.15a 4.39bc	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a 245.34ab 403.32a 180.36	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a 9.09ab 14.94a 6.68bc	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a 8.20ab 10.40a 7.60b	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a 49.20ab 64.80a 48.00ab	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a 6.07ab 7.09a 4.58b	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a 299.50ab 461.08a 219.92ab	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a 11.09ab 17.08a 8.15b	
G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b 6.60ab 7.00ab 5.20b 5.40b	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a 43.80ab 56.40a 40.80b 41.20b	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab 5.58b 7.15a 4.39bc 5.97b	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a 245.34ab 403.32a 180.36 245.88ab	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a 9.09ab 14.94a 6.68bc 9.11ab	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a 8.20ab 10.40a 7.60b 8.00ab	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a 49.20ab 64.80a 48.00ab 49.60ab	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a 6.07ab 7.09a 4.58b 6.34ab	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a 299.50ab 461.08a 219.92ab 313.60a	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a 11.09ab 17.08a 8.15b 11.62ab	
G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9	6.60ab 6.20ab 5.40b 6.60ab 7.00ab 5.20b 5.40b 7.20ab	52.20a 48.40ab 42.60b 55.20a 43.80ab 56.40a 40.80b 41.20b 59.60a	4.23bc 4.10bc 5.27b 6.87ab 5.58b 7.15a 4.39bc 5.97b 7.23a	219.98b 195.23b 224.74ab 379.31a 245.34ab 403.32a 180.36 245.88ab 430.42a	8.15ab 7.23b 8.32ab 14.05a 9.09ab 14.94a 6.68bc 9.11ab 15.94a	8.80ab 9.00a 7.20b 9.60a 8.20ab 10.40a 7.60b 8.00ab 9.60a	59.20a 50.80ab 46.40b 60.40a 49.20ab 64.80a 48.00ab 49.60ab 62.80a	4.69b 4.30b 5.60ab 6.94a 6.07ab 7.09a 4.58b 6.34ab 7.31a	278.10ab 217.90ab 259.60ab 420.08a 299.50ab 461.08a 219.92ab 313.60a 459.02a	10.30ab 8.07b 9.61b 15.56a 11.09ab 17.08a 8.15b 11.62ab 17.00a	

 Table 2: Mean Values of Yield Related Characters in Tomato Genotypes

G1=NGB00695; G2= NGB00696; G3= NGB00708; G4= NGB00711; G5= NGB00713; G6=NGB00714; G7 = NGB00715; G8 = NGB00721.

G9 =NGB00724; G10 =NGB00725; NCP = Number of clusters per plant; NFP = Number of fruits per plant; INFWT = Individual fruit weight.

YD/P = Total yield per plant; YD/HA = Yield per hectare.

TRT 1 = NO FERTILIZER; TRT 2 = MORINGA LEAF EXTRACT; TRT 3 = POULTRY MANURE; TRT 4 = NPK FERTILIZER

# Discussion

NPK Fertilizer consistently produces the highest values across almost all parameters, suggesting it is the most effective fertilizer for promoting growth in these genotypes. This is evident from the increases in plant height, number of leaves, and stem girth. Poultry Manure also significantly improves plant growth compared to no fertilizer, but its effect is generally lower than NPK. It still enhances plant height and leaf number but with slightly lower final heights and stem girth compared to NPK. Moringa Leaf Extract provides moderate growth improvements. It does not enhance growth as much as poultry manure or NPK, but it still shows better performance than the no-fertilizer control. Whereas the Control, resulted in plants with the lowest values in all parameters, indicating that fertilizer is crucial for optimal growth in these tomato genotypes. The significant effect of NPK fertilizer on agronomic growth parameters recorded in this study is synonymous to the findings of Amanullah et al., (2010). They reported that NPK fertilizers significantly enhance plant growth by improving nutrient availability, leading to higher plant height, leaf number, and fruit yield in tomatoes. They demonstrated that a balanced supply of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium is essential for vegetative growth and fruit development in tomato plants. The effect of poultry manure on plant height and the other growth parameters is like the findings of Ayoola and Adeniyan (2006) and Ojeniyi et al., (2012). They reported that organic fertilizers, including poultry manure, significantly increased the growth of tomato plants and fruit yield compared to unfertilized control plants. While NPK showed higher efficiency in nutrient release, organic manure like poultry manure was found to improve soil structure and longer-term soil fertility. The effect of moringa leaf extract on plant height recorded in this study corroborates the findings of Foidl et al., (2001). They reported that Moringa leaf extract contains growth-promoting substances, including cytokinin, which enhances plant growth by improving nutrient uptake and photosynthesis efficiency. Although its effects are generally less potent than chemical fertilizers, Moringa leaf extract can improve plant height and yield under certain conditions. The effect of moringa leaf extract as a growth enhancer observed in this study is also like the findings of Rady et al., (2015). They showed that Moringa leaf extract improved growth and fruit yield in tomatoes supporting its role as a natural growth enhancer. The reduced growth rate observed in this study without fertilizer application is like the findings of Mahmood et al., (2017) and Chen et al., (2014). They found that tomato plants grown without any fertilizer showed stunted growth, fewer leaves, slower growth, lower productivity and reduced yields compared to fertilized treatments underscoring the importance of proper nutrient management. The significant effect of NPK recorded in this study with respect to yield and its related characters is like the findings of Khan et al., (2017) and Anjum et al., (2014). They reported that the application of NPK resulted in a significant increase in tomato yield, with maximum fruit weight and yield per and overall productivity of tomatoes. The effect of the application of poultry manure recorded in this study is synonymous to the findings of Olaniyi et al., (2010) and Adebayo et al., (2012). They observed that the application of poultry manure significantly improved the number of fruits per plant and total yield in tomato plants. The effect of Moringa leaf extract recorded in this study is like the findings of Nouman et al., (2012). They found that Moringa leaf extract acts as a growth enhancer, increasing yield, plant height, and the number of fruits per plant in tomatoes. The effect of moringa leaf extract recorded in this study

corroborates the findings of Aluko *et al.*, (2021). They observed that the application of Moringa leaf extract increased tomato plant height and fruit yield compared to untreated plants.

# CONCLUSION

It has been shown in this study that application of NPK 15:5:15 fertilizer greatly increased the growth performance and yield of the tomato genotypes, and this was followed by the application of Poultry manure and Moringa leaf extract. NGB00724, NGB00711 and NGB00695 were found to be outstanding in terms of number of fruits and total yield per plant irrespective of the type of fertilizer applied.

# REFERENCES

Abebe, T. G., Tamtam, M. R., Abebe, A. A., Abtemariam, K. A., Shigut, T. G., Dejen, Y. A. and Haile, E. G. (2022). Growing use and impacts of chemical fertilizers and assessing alternative organic fertilizer sources in Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, 2022(1), 4738416. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4738416

Adebayo, A. G., Akintoye, H. A., and Akinpelu, O. (2012). Effects of poultry manure on growth, yield, and fruit quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in the dry season. *International Journal of Agricultural Research and Reviews*, 2(2), 76-79.

URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366957004/

Aina, O. E., Amoo, S. O., Mugivhisa, L. L. and Olowoyo, J. O. (2019). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on the bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of tomato. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 17(2). URL: https://aloki.hu/pdf/1702\_27732785.

Aluko, O. B. and Olaoye, O. (2021). Effects of *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract and poultry manure on growth and yield of tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 33(1), 76-83. URL: https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/3 0312

Amanullah, M. M., Alagesan, A., Vaiyapuri, K., Somasundaram, E., Thirukkumaran, C. M. and Pazhanivelan, S. (2010). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and yield of hybrid tomato. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, 16(1), 85-91. https://doi.org/10.1080/19315260903135065

Anjum, M. A., Naveed, F., Javid, F. and Iqbal, M. (2014). Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers in tomato production. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 51(3), 555-562. URL: <u>http://www.pakjas.com.pk/papers/2282</u>

Ayoola, O. T. and Adeniyan, O. N. (2006). Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the yield and quality of crops grown on Nigerian soil. *Journal of Agronomy*, 5(1), 10-15.URL: <u>https://scialert.net/fulltext/doija.2006.10.15</u>

Bhunia, S., Bhowmik, A., Mallick, R. and Mukherjee, J. (2021). Agronomic efficiency of animal-derived organic fertilizers and their effects on biology and fertility of soil: A review. *Agronomy*, 11(5), 823. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050823

Chen, J., Zhang, H., Yan, Y. and Hou, X. (2014). The effects of different organic fertilizers on soil physical and chemical

properties and yield of tomatoes. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* and Soil Science, 177(4), 614-621. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201300602

Foidl, N., Makkar, H. P. S. and Becker, K. (2001). The potential of *Moringa oleifera* for agricultural and industrial uses. In *The Miracle Tree: The Multiple Attributes of Moringa* (pp. 45-76).URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237409463

Gad, N., Sekara, A. and Abdelhamid, M. T. (2019). The potential role of cobalt and/or organic fertilizers in improving the growth, yield, and nutritional composition of *Moringa oleifera*. *Agronomy*, 9(12), 862. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9120862

Khan, H., Khan, N. and Ahmed, F. (2017). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth, yield, and quality of tomato. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 55(1), 21-26. URL:

http://jar.punjab.gov.pk/upload/2017/Vol55/issue1/3/

Mahmood, A., Athar, H. U. R. and Ashraf, M. (2017). Influence of exogenous application of bio-fertilizers on growth, physiological attributes, and yield of drought-stressed tomato plants. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 49(5), 1699-1705.

Manna, M. C., Rahman, M. M., Naidu, R., Bari, A. F., Singh, A. B., Thakur, J. K. and Subbarao, A. (2021). Organic farming: A prospect for food, environment and livelihood security in Indian agriculture. *Advances in Agronomy*, 170, 101-153. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2021.06.003</u>

Nouman, W., Basra, S.M.A., Siddiqui, M.T. and Yasmeen, A. (2012). Moringa oleifera leaf extract: an innovative priming tool for rangeland grasses. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 36(6), 732-739. <u>https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1111-20</u>

Ojeniyi, S. O., Adejobi, K. B. and Akanni, D. A. (2012). Poultry manure effects on soil properties, nutrient status, growth, and yield of maize. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 14(1), 62-68.

Olaniyi, J.O., Akanbi, W.B. and Olaniran, O.A. (2010). Effect of organic, inorganic, and organomineral fertilizer on the growth, fruit yield, quality, and shelf life of tomato. *Agricultural Science and Technology Journal*, 6(3), 91-99.

Pahalvi, H. N., Rafiya, L., Rashid, S., Nisar, B. and Kamili, A. N. (2021). Chemical fertilizers and their impact on soil health. In *Microbiota and Biofertilizers, Vol 2: Ecofriendly* 

tools for reclamation of degraded soil environs, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61010-3\_1

Rady, M. M., Varma, C. B. and Howladar, S. M. (2015). Moringa leaf extract improves growth, physio-biochemical attributes, antioxidant defense system and yield of droughtstressed wheat plants. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 77(2), 111-122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-015-0040-5

Salehi, B., Sharifi-Rad, R., Sharopov, F., Namiesnik, J., Roointan, A., Kamle, M. and Sharifi-Rad, J. (2019). Beneficial effects and potential risks of tomato consumption for human health: An overview. *Nutrition*, 62, 201-208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2019.01.012

Singh, T. B., Ali, A., Prasad, M., Yadav, A., Shrivastav, P., Goyal, D. and Dantu, P. K. (2020).Role of organic fertilizers in improving soil fertility. In *Contaminants in agriculture:* sources, impacts and management, 61-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41552-4\_4

Singh, V. K., Malhi, G. S., Kaur, M., Singh, G. and Jatav, H. S. (2022). Use of organic soil amendments for improving soil ecosystem health and crop productivity. *Ecosystem Services*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2022.101452

Srivastava, R. K. (2020). Influence of sustainable agricultural practices on healthy food cultivation. In *Environmental Biotechnology Vol. 2*, 95-124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38152-2\_5</u>

Stoleru, V., Inculet, S. C., Mihalache, G., Cojocaru, A., Teliban, G. C. and Caruso, G. (2020). Yield and nutritional response of greenhouse grown tomato cultivars to sustainable fertilization and irrigation management. *Plants*, 9(8), 1053. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081053

Suman, K. K., Patel, Y. K., Abdullah, H., Vithalkar, A. and Kaiwartya, K. (2023). Value Addition in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.).

Waheed, K., Nawaz, H., Hanif, M. A. and Rehman, R. (2020). Tomato: In *Medicinal Plants of South Asia* (pp. 631-644). Elsevier. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102659-5.00046-2</u>

Yahia, E. M., García-Solís, P. and Celis, M. E. M. (2019). Contribution of fruits and vegetables to human nutrition and health. In *Postharvest Physiology and Biochemistry of Fruits and Vegetables* (pp. 19-45). Woodhead Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813278-4.00002-6



©2024 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.