



### COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EARLY GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF NAKED NECK, NORMAL AND FRIZZLE-FEATHERED NIGERIAN NATIVE CHICKENS REARED UNDER INTENSIVE SYSTEM IN GUINEA SAVANNAH

## \*Audu, M. O., Momoh, O. M. and Ukwu, H. O.

Department of Animal breeding and Physiology, College of Animal Science, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi

\*Corresponding authors' email: audumercy544@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

This study investigated the early growth performance of naked neck, normal and frizzle-feathered Nigerian native chickens, under intensive system. 150 chicks, comprising 50 chicks from each genotype, were used in a 3 X 2 factorial arrangement in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD), with genotype and sex as factors of interest. Data were collected on hatch weight, weekly body weights, and total weight gain. The result showed no significant genotype effect (P>0.05) on hatch weight and body weight at week one. From week 3 to week 8, naked neck and frizzle-feathered chicks had comparable body weights, which differed significantly (P<0.01) from that of the normal feathered chicks. Naked neck genotype had significantly (P<0.05) higher total weight gain than frizzle and normal-feathered Nigerian native chickens, which had comparable total weight gain. Sex had highly significant (p<0.001) influence on hatch weight, body weight from week 1 to week 8, and total weight gain. Genotype X sex interaction had significant (p<0.05) effect on hatch weight, but no significant (p>0.05) effects on body weight of Nigerian indigenous chickens from week 1 to week 8. Naked neck and frizzle-feathered males had comparable total weight gain, which were higher than that of normal feathered males and all females irrespective of genotype. Naked neck females had significantly (P<0.01) higher total weight gain than normal and frizzle-feathered females, which had comparable total weight gain. It could be concluded that the naked neck genotype performed better than the frizzle and normal-feathered Nigerian native chickens under intensive system of management.

Keywords: Genotypes, Growth, Native chicken, Guinea savannah, Body weight

## INTRODUCTION

The Nigerian indigenous chickens, often referred to as the native or local chickens are hardy breeds widely reared in rural areas. They are well-adapted to the country's diverse ecological zones and thrive under extensive and semiintensive systems of management. These chickens play a vital role in rural livelihoods, as they provide meat and egg, as well as income to their owners while requiring minimal inputs. The Nigerian native chickens have been characterized into various genetic groups having verifiable genes that have direct and indirect effects on productive and reproductive traits (Fayeye et al., 2006). It has been reported that the Nigerian native chickens may have adapted in response to combined effects of locally prevailing environmental conditions, uncontrolled breeding, in addition to the forces of natural selection, mutation and random genetic drift (Ukwu et al., 2017). Their ease of rearing under extensive system of management, adaptability to harsh environmental conditions, resistance to diseases, better flavor of meat and eggs make them a good preference by the rural farmers (Ukwu et al., 2017). They exhibit diverse plumage colours. These chickens play major roles not only in rural economies but also contribute substantially to the gross national product (Momoh et al., 2007).

Among the major genes operating in the native chickens found in the Guinea Savannah region of Nigeria are the normal, frizzle-feathered and the naked neck. Consequently, some researchers have grouped the Nigerian native chickens based on major gene into naked neck, normal and frizzle feathered conditions and dwarfism (Ohagenyi, 2009; Daikwo *et al.*, 2015).

The guinea savannah is characterized with high temperature which can affect poultry production. Naked neck and frizzle-feathered chickens are known to have unique genetic traits that enhance heat tolerance (Ibe, 2007). Therefore, studying

these chickens alongside normal feathered chickens can provide insights into their adaptability to the local climates and help in identifying the genotypes that may perform better under heat stress.

Again, understanding the growth rates of each genotype can help identify the most productive and sustainable choice for local farmers. This could also provide more information that could be used to develop future breeding programmes aimed at improving resilience, adaptability and productivity in local poultry.

This study, therefore, was designed to evaluate the early growth performance of naked neck, normal and frizzlefeathered Nigerian native chickens reared under intensive system of management in the guinea savannah region of Nigeria.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted at the Poultry Unit of the Livestock Teaching and Research Farm, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi, Benue State. Makurdi is located between latitude 7° 44' N – 9°52'N and Longitude 8° 31' E – 8°41'E. It lies within the Guinea savannah region of the Nigeria vegetative belt located in the Benue valley. The area is warm with minimum temperature range of 29.8-35.6°C. Rain fall is between 508-1016 mm and relative humidity is between 47% -87% (TAC, 2015).

#### **Experimental Animals and Management**

The parent stock used were three Nigerian indigenous chicken genotypes (frizzle feathered, normal feathered and naked neck). They comprised fifteen (15) mature hens and two (2) cocks from each genotype. The native chickens sourced locally from the environs of Benue State were used to generate 150 day old chicks (50 chicks from each genotype). The parent stock was housed and fed commercial layer mash for a period of eight (8) weeks to acclimatize, thereafter fertile eggs were collected and hatched. The hatched chicks were tagged for identification purposes, brooded and managed in a deep litter system and were fed commercial chick mash for a period of eight (8) weeks. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*, and other management practices observed.

### **Data Collection and Analysis**

Data were collected on weekly body weights of the birds using sensitive weighing balance (Digital Compact Scale (Model: A123, 0.1g to 6kg). Statistical analysis of data was done using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SPSS software version 22.0. Two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed and mean separation was done using the Duncan multiple range test. The ANOVA model is as shown below:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + S_i + G_{ij} + (GS)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$ 

Where:  $Y_{ijk}$  = Single observation (body weight)  $\mu$ = Overall mean

 $S_i = Fixed effect of the i<sup>th</sup>Sex (1, 2)$ 

 $G_{ij}$ = Fixed effect of the j<sup>th</sup> Genotype (1, 2, 3)

 $(GS)_{ij}$  = Interaction effect  $e_{ijk}$ = Error term.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main effect of genotype on hatch weight and weekly body weight of the Nigerian native chickens is presented in Table 1. The result showed no significant genotype effect (P>0.05) on hatch weight of the Nigerian native chicks. However, the naked neck genotype had numerically the highest hatch weight, while the normal feathered genotype had the lowest chick weight. There was also no significant genotype effect (P>0.05) on body weight at week one, although naked neck genotype had numerically the highest body weight while normal feathered genotype had the lowest body weight at week one. From week 3 to week 8, it was observed that naked neck and frizzle-feathered chicks had comparable weights, which differed significantly (P<0.01) from that of the normal feathered chicks. The result also showed that the naked neck genotype had significantly (P<0.05) higher total weight gain than frizzle and normal-feathered Nigerian native chickens, which had comparable total weight gain.

 Table 1: Main Effect of Genotype on Weekly Body Weight (g) of Nigerian Indigenous Chickens in Guinea Savannah

 Agro-ecological Zone

Normal feathered	Naked neck	Frizzle feathered	P-value
29.36±0.56	30.96±0.84	30.83±0.63	0.131
41.81±0.95	45.54±1.44	42.82±1.07	0.101
70.32±2.17 <sup>b</sup>	81.79±3.29 ª	78.10±2.45 a	0.006
107.85±3.27 <sup>b</sup>	127.95±4.95 °	121.66±3.69 °	0.001
149.32±4.37 <sup>b</sup>	179.35±6.62 a	170.60±4.93 °	0.000
206.29±5.52 <sup>b</sup>	244.50±8.37 <sup>a</sup>	225.68±6.23 °	0.001
265.46±6.83 <sup>b</sup>	309.90±10.34 ª	295.74±7.70 °	0.001
$337.97 \pm 8.84^{b}$	376.50±13.39ª	$369.44 \pm 9.97^{a}$	0.017
404.56±10.45 <sup>b</sup>	457.04±15.82 <sup>a</sup>	429.13±11.78 <sup>ab</sup>	0.020
375.20±13.36 <sup>b</sup>	426.08±14.21ª	$398.30{\pm}13.46^{b}$	0.031
	$\begin{array}{c} 29.36 \pm 0.56 \\ 41.81 \pm 0.95 \\ 70.32 \pm 2.17^{b} \\ 107.85 \pm 3.27^{b} \\ 149.32 \pm 4.37^{b} \\ 206.29 \pm 5.52^{b} \\ 265.46 \pm 6.83^{b} \\ 337.97 \pm 8.84^{b} \\ 404.56 \pm 10.45^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 29.36\pm0.56 & 30.96\pm0.84 \\ 41.81\pm0.95 & 45.54\pm1.44 \\ 70.32\pm2.17^{\rm b} & 81.79\pm3.29^{\rm a} \\ 107.85\pm3.27^{\rm b} & 127.95\pm4.95^{\rm a} \\ 149.32\pm4.37^{\rm b} & 179.35\pm6.62^{\rm a} \\ 206.29\pm5.52^{\rm b} & 244.50\pm8.37^{\rm a} \\ 265.46\pm6.83^{\rm b} & 309.90\pm10.34^{\rm a} \\ 337.97\pm8.84^{\rm b} & 376.50\pm13.39^{\rm a} \\ 404.56\pm10.45^{\rm b} & 457.04\pm15.82^{\rm a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

a,b = means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05; 0.01); TWG = total weight gain

The main effect of sex on weekly body weight of the Nigerian native chickens in the guinea savannah agro-ecological zone is represented in Table 2 below. The result showed that sex had highly significant (p<0.001) influence on hatch weight, and body weight from week 1 to week 8. It could be observed

that the male native chickens of Nigeria had higher body weight than their female counterpart. This trend continued from week 1 to week 8. The total weight gain of male Nigerian native chickens was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of their female counterparts.

 Table 2: Main Effect of Sex on Weekly Body Weight (g) of the Nigerian Indigenous Chickens in Guinea Savannah

 Agro-ecological Zone

Parameters	Females	Males	P-value
Hatch Weight	29.00±0.51	31.77±0.61	0.001
Week 1	$40.69 \pm 0.88$	46.09±1.04	0.000
Week 2	69.73±2.00	83.75±2.36	0.000
Week 3	$107.05 \pm 3.01$	131.25±3.56	0.000
Week 4	$148.35 \pm 4.03$	184.50±4.75	0.000
Week 5	199.87±5.09	251.11±6.00	0.000
Week 6	258.76±6.29	321.97±7.42	0.000
Week 7	320.52±8.14	402.09±9.61	0.000
Week 8	376.08±9.62	484.41±11.35	0.000
TWG	$347.08 \pm 8.76$	452.64±10.45	0.000

\*TWG = total weight gain

The effect of genotype X sex interaction on hatch weight and weekly body weight of the Nigerian indigenous chickens in Guinea Savannah agro-ecological zone is presented in Table 3. Genotype X sex interaction had significant (p<0.05) effect on hatch weight of the Nigerian indigenous chickens. However, there were no significant (p>0.05) effects of genotype X sex interaction on body weight of Nigerian indigenous chickens from week 1 to week 8. The naked neck and frizzle-feathered males had comparable total weight gain, which were higher than that of normal feathered males as well as those of all females irrespective of genotype. Again, naked neck females had significantly (P<0.01) higher total weight gain than normal and frizzle-feathered females, which had comparable total weight gain.

Parameters	Normal Feathered		Naked Neck		Frizzle Feathered		P-
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	value
Hatch Weight	27.48±0.75°	31.24±0.82 <sup>ab</sup>	30.67±1.37 <sup>ab</sup>	33.40±0.86ª	28.26±0.91 <sup>bc</sup>	31.26±0.99 <sup>ab</sup>	0.024
Week 1	38.53±1.28	45.09±1.41	44.74±1.69	46.83±1.48	38.82±1.56	46.33±2.34	0.196
Week 2	$64.03 \pm 2.92$	76.61±3.22	$76.09 \pm 3.85$	$87.50 \pm 5.33$	69.07±3.56	87.13±3.73	0.627
Week 3	$97.95 \pm 4.40$	$117.76 \pm 4.84$	$116.39 \pm 5.80$	$139.50 \pm 8.03$	$106.82 \pm 5.35$	$136.50 \pm 5.08$	0.604
Week 4	$136.53 \pm 5.88$	$162.12 \pm 6.48$	$158.78{\pm}7.76$	199.92±10.74	149.74±7.16	191.48±6.79	0.404
Week 5	$188.73 \pm 7.43$	$223.85 \pm 8.18$	$218.91 {\pm} 9.80$	$270.08 \pm 13.56$	191.96±9.04	$259.40 \pm 8.58$	0.155
Week 6	$241.43 \pm 9.18$	$289.49{\pm}10.10$	$279.04{\pm}12.10$	$340.75{\pm}16.76$	255.82±11.17	335.67±10.60	0.306
Week 7	302.83±11.89	373.12±13.09	$345.00{\pm}15.68$	425.13±13.73	313.74±14.47	408.00±21.70	0.215
Week 8	$356.85{\pm}14.05$	452.27±15.47	$408.83{\pm}18.53$	$505.25 \pm 25.65$	362.56±17.10	495.70±16.22	0.445
TWG	$329.37{\pm}12.36^d$	421.03±14.21 <sup>b</sup>	378.16±13.54°	471.85±17.32ª	$334.30{\pm}14.21^{d}$	464.44±15.34ª	0.031

Table 3: Effect of Genotype X Sex Interaction on Weekly Body Weight (g) of Nigerian Indigenous Chickens in Guinea Savannah Agro-ecological Zone

a,b,c,d Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05); TWG = total weight gain

### Discussion

# Effect of Genotypes on Weekly Body Weight

The present result showed a significant difference in body weight between the naked neck, frizzle and normal feathered chickens as there was rapid increase in body weight of all genotypes at the chick phase. This significant influence of genotype on body weight in this study suggests that genetic differences exist among the genotypes for growth traits. In line with the values of body weight in this study, El-Dlebshany et al. (2009) reported that high body weight chickens had the highest growth rates. The highest body weight recorded by the naked neck genotype in this study as compared to other genotypes affirmed the findings of Islam and Nishibori (2009) who documented that the naked neck chicken had a higher body weight compared to the normal feathered counterpart. This result is also in consonance with the report of Rajkumar et al. (2011) who reported higher growth performance in naked neck chicken compared to the other genotypes of the native chickens of India which they studied. This may be due to the fact that the naked neck chickens are larger in size and have heavier muscles as well as longer body parts than the other genotypes. Similar observation was made by Abdulraheem et al. (2020) on the three strains of local chickens. However, the higher body weight obtained in naked neck chicken over the normal feathered chicken in this study was contrary to the observation of Adekoya et al. (2013) and Oleforuh-Okoleh et al. (2017) who reported that normal feathered chicken recorded higher body weight than the naked neck counterpart. Superior body weight and growth traits observed in naked neck over the frizzle and normal feathered chicken in this study also disagreed with the reports of some earlier studies (Norris et al., 2007; De Almeida and Zuber, 2010; Magothe et al., 2010) who documented that naked neck chickens possess inferior body weight compared to the normal feathered counterpart. The disparity between this study and theirs may be due to management system and different environments (Magala et al., 2012).

However, the non-significant effect of genotype at hatch and week one in this study may be attributed to inexplicable genetic and non-genetic effects. The progressive increase in the weekly body weight with age in all the genotypes, which is expected, is in line with the results of Lamido *et al.* (2023) who observed progressive increase in body weights of indigenous normal feathered chicken at different ages. Similarly, Olereforuh-Okoleh *et al.* (2017) worked on a population of normal feathered and naked neck genotypes and observed a more rapid weight gain between 4, 8 and 12 weeks of age, indicating body weight increase with age. Ige (2013) recognized that the age of an animal influences its growth pattern. Iraqi *et al.* (2002) concluded that genetic selection at early ages may give rapid improvement in growth of local strains.

#### Effect of Sex on Body Weight

The results of the effect of sex on body weight in the present study are in agreement with the reports of Kow *et al.* (2015) who observed that the body weight of male Ross and Cobb broiler chicken breeds were superior to their female counterparts during the growth periods in South Africa. Sexual differences in growth traits of local chickens were also observed by Aliyu (2012), Faith *et al.* (2018), Abdulraheem *et al.* (2020) and Lamido *et al.* (2023). According to Zerehdaran *et al.* (2004), the differences between males and females in a trait cannot be attributed to a single factor; factors such as greater competition for feed, aggressive behavior of males, social dominance, difference in nutritional requirements, and impact of hormones for growth and fatness all play a part.

## Interactive Effect of Genotype by Sex

The present study which showed a non-significant disparity in the effect of the interaction between genotype and sex on weekly body weight except at hatch weight aligns with the findings of Del Castilho *et al.* (2013) who reported nonsignificant genotype X sex interaction on body weight gains, feed conversion ratio, and livability in free range broiler chickens in Brazil. The result is also in tandem with the results of Peters *et al.* (2005), Adebambo *et al.* (2009) and Adedeji *et al.* (2008) who worked on different genotypes of Nigerian local chickens. Within genotype, the heavier body weight of male chickens than female chickens in this study might be due to males being better in feed competition, socially dominant over the females, as well as having higher nutrient requirements different from females in hormone for growth (Zerehdaran *et al.* 2004).

The significant genotype X sex interaction effects on body weight at hatch suggest that body weight is controlled jointly by genotype and sex. Significant genotype X sex interactions have been reported by a few authors. Shim *et al.* (2012) and Udeh *et al.* (2015) reported significant sex  $\times$  strain interaction effects on live body weight, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, and linear body measurements in broiler chickens.

## CONCLUSION

The naked neck genotype performed better than the frizzle and normal-feathered Nigerian native chickens under intensive system of management. The male Nigerian native chickens had better body weight than their female counterpart from week one to week eight. The interaction of genotype and sex had no significant effect on body weight of Nigerian native chickens during early growth period.

#### REFERENCES

Abdulraheem, A. O., Raji, A. O., Alade, N.K., Mohammed, I. D., Aliyu, J., Mohammed, G., Saleh, B., Adamu, J. and Mohammad, A. (2020). Phenotypic Relationships between Body Measurements of Indigenous Chickens in Borno State. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science Technology*, 3(4): 99 – 106.

Adebambo, A.O., Mwacharo, J.M. and Hannote, O. (2009). Characterization of Nigerian Indigenous Chicken Ecotypes using Microsatellite Markers. *Proceedings of the 3rd Nigeria International Poultry Summit, Feb.* 22-26, *SI, Ola.*, pp 84-91.

Adedeji, T. A., Ojedapo, L. O., Ige, A. O., Ameen, S. A., Akinwumi, A. O. and Amao, S. R. (2008). Genetic Evaluation of Growth Performance of Pure and Crossbred Chicken Progenies in a Derived Savannah Environment. *In: Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, September 15-19, Ahmadu Bello* University, Zaria, Kaduna State. Pp. 8-12.

Adekoya, K. O., Obuh, B. O., Adefenwa, M. A. and Ogunkanmi, L. A. (2013). Morphological Characterization of Five Nigerian Indigenous Chicken Types. *Journal of Scientific Research and Development*, 14: 55 – 66.

Aliyu, J. (2012). Productivity Assessment of Four Strains of Indigenous Chicken in a Semi-Arid Region of North-Eastern Nigeria. *Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Department of Animal Science, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria. Pp* 54-69.

Daikwo, S. I., Dike, U. A. and Dim, N. I. (2015). Discriminant Analysis of Morphometric Differences in the Normal Feathered and Frizzle Feathered Chickens of North Central Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension*, 14(3): pp. 12–15.

De Almeida, A. M. and Zuber, U. (2010). The effect of naked neck genotype (Nana), feeding and outdoor rearing of on growth and carcass characteristics of free range broilers in hot climate. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 42: 99 – 107.

Del Castilho, C. C., Santos, T.T., Rodrigues, C. A. F. and Torres Filho, R. A. (2013). Effects of Sex and Genotype on Performance and Yield Characteristics of Free Range Broiler Chickens. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 65(5):1483–1490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352013000500029</u>

El-Dlebshany, A. E., El-Tahawy, W.S. and Amin, E.M. (2009). Inheritance of Some Blood Plasma Constituents and its Relationship with Body Weight in Chickens. *Egypt Poultry Science*, 29: 465-480.

Faith, E. A., Yakubu, A., Agade, Y. A., Abimiku, H. K. and Mohammed, J. (2018). Sexual Dimorphisms on Body Weight, Morphometric and Haematological Parameters of Indigenous Chicken Reared in Lafia. *Direct Research Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal science*, 3(4):36-41.

Fayeye, T.R., Ayorinde R.L., Ojo, V. and Adesina, O.M. (2006). Frequency and Influence of Some Major Genes on

Body Weight and Body Size Parameters of Nigerian Local Chickens. *Livestock Research for Rural Development.*, 18(3).

Ibe, S. N. (2007). Small but Mighty: Prophecies and Realities in Animal Breeding. *4<sup>th</sup> Inaugural Lecture*. Pg. 27.

Ige, A.O. (2013). Relationship between Body Weight and Growth Traits of Crossbred Fulani Ecotype Chicken in Derived Savannah Zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural and Apicultural Research IJAAAR 9* (1&2): 157-166.

Iraqi, M. M, Hanafi, M. S., Khalil, M. H., Ellabban, A. F. M. and Ell-Sisy, M. (2002). Genetic Evaluation of Growth Traits in a Crossbreeding Experiment involving Two Local Strains of Chickens using Multi-Trait Animal Model. *Livestock Research for Rural Development, 14: Article #47.* <u>http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd14/5/iraq145tmp.htm</u>

Islam, M. A. and Nishibori, M. (2009). Indigenous Naked Neck Chicken: A Valuable Genetic Resource for Bangladesh. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 65(01): 125-138. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933909000105</u>

Kow, B., Thendo, S.T., Avhafunani, J.N. and Kgabo, T.M. (2015). Effects of Genotype and Sex on the Growth performance and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 850: 1-7.

Lamido, M., Alade, N. K., Aliyu, J., Mukaddas, J., Shettima, S. M. and Ibrahim, M. M. (2023). Effects of Sex, Season and Year on Growth Performance of Indigenous Normal Feathered Chickens in a Semi-Arid Environment of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science and Technology*, 6 (2):103 – 116.

Magala H., Kugonza D. R., Kwizera H. and Kyarisiima C. C. (2012). Influence of Management System on Growth and Carcass Characteristics of Ugandan Local Chickens. *J. Anim. Sci. Adv.* 2(6):558-567

Magothe, T. M., Muhuyi, W. B. and Kahi, A. K. (2010). Influence of Major Genes for Crested-Head, Frizzle Feather and Naked Neck on Body Weights and Growth Patterns of Indigenous Chickens Reared Intensively in Kenya. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 42: 173 – 183.

Momoh, O.M., Ehobu, N.G. and Nwosu, C.C. (2007). Egg Production of Two Local Chicken Ecotypes under Improved Management. *In Proc. of 32nd Annual. Conference of Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod. Calabar*, Pp: 149-151.

Norris, D., Ngambi, J. W., Benyi, K., Makgahlela, M. L., Shimelis, H. A. and Nesamvuni, E. A. (2007). Analysis of Growth Curves of Indigenous Male Venda and Naked Neck Chickens. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 37: 21 – 26.

Ohagenyi, I.J. (2009). Some Biometric and Allometric Growth Traits of Purebred Heavy Ecotype of the Nigerian Local Chicken. *M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.* 

Oleferuh-Okoleh, V. U., Kurutsi, R. F. and Ideozu, H. M. (2017). Phenotypic Evaluation of Growth Traits in Two Nigerian Local Chicken Genotypes. *Animal Research International*, 14(1):2611-2618.

Peters, S.O., Ikeobi, C.O.N., Ozoje, M.O. and Adebambo, O.A. (2005). Modeling growth in seven chicken genotypes. *Niger. J. Anim. Prod.*, 32: 28–38.

Rajkumar, U., Reddy, M. R., Ramarao, S. V., Radhika, K. and Shanmugam, M. (2011). Evaluation of Growth, Carcass, Immune Response and Stress Parameters in Naked Neck Chicken and their Normal Siblings under Tropical Winter and Summer Temperatures. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 24: 509 – 516.

Shim, M. Y., Tahir, M., Karnuah, A. B., Miller, M., Primgle, T.D., Aggrey, S. E. and Pesti, G.M. (2012). Strain and Sex Effects on Growth Performance and Carcass Trait of Contemporary Commercial Broiler Crosses, USA. *Poultry Sciences*, 91: 2942 -2948.

TAC (Tactical Air Command) (2015). Makurdi Weather Elements Records. Makurdi Meteorological Station. Nigerian Air Force, Tactical Air Command, Makurdi, Nigeria. Udeh, I., Ezebor, P. N. and Akporahuarho, P. O. (2015). Growth Performance and Carcass Yield of Three Commercial Strains of Broiler Chickens Raised in a Tropical Environment. *Journal of Biology Agriculture Healthcare*, 5:62–67.

Ukwu, H. O., Ezechukwu, D. O., Odache, F., Okopi, V. O., Egbere, A. M. and Sunday, V. O. (2017). Preliminary Assessment of Within-Ecotype Genetic Diversity at the Haemoglobin Locus in the Tiv Local Chickens in Makurdi, Nigeria. *International Journal of Livestock Research, Vol.* 8 (4): 22 – 29.

Ukwu, H. O., Tarhemba, F. and Nosike, R. J. (2017). Comparative Study of Indigenous Chickens in South East and North Central Nigeria: Body Weight and Linear Body Measurements. *Journal of Animal Production Resource*, 29(1): 01 - 08.

Zerehdaran, S., Vereijken, A. L. J., van Arendonk, J. A. M. and van der Waaij, E. H. (2004). Effect of Age and Housing System on Genetic Parameters for Broiler Carcass Traits. *Poultry Science* 84: 833-838.



©2025 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.