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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of irrigation regimes on yield and water use efficiency of maize crop (Zea Mays L.; SAMMAZ 29) under different irrigation scheduling. Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used and the experiment consisted of three levels of irrigation water application depth of 100%, 75% and 50% replacement of Total Available Water Capacity (TAWC) and three irrigation intervals of 7, 10 and 13 days replicated three times. Irrigation water was applied into each of 0.75 m × 90 m furrow using siphon tube of 7.5 cm diameter and 200 cm length. The results showed that the highest average irrigation water use efficiency was at I₁D₁₀₀% with 0.71 kg/m² while the least was at I₃D₅₀% with 0.41 kg/m³. The highest average crop water use efficiency (CWUE) was at I₁D₁₀₀% with 0.79 kg/m³ while the least was at I₃D₇₅% with 0.56 kg/m³. The highest average maize yield was at I₁D₁₀₀% with 3580 kg/ha while the least was at I₃D₅₀% with 1200 kg/ha. The study established that irrigation after every 10 days interval with 75% replacement of TAWC using furrow irrigation of 90 m lengths produced the highest crop water use efficiency, thus saving about 48.3% of irrigation water (amounting to 329 mm) with reference to control (I₁D₁₀₀%) which causes a yield reduction of about 19% (amounting to 680 kg/ha). This efficient water usage saved cost and also helps to address the problem of high water table of the study area.
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INTRODUCTION

Proper irrigation water management plays a vital role in sustainability of agriculture. Continuous declining of water resources and increasing in food demand necessitate achieving greater efficiency in water use at both rainfed and irrigated agriculture (Smith and Kivumbi, 2002).

Irrigation scheduling is the decision of when and how much water to apply to a field in order to maximize profit (Tariq and Usman, 2009). Its purpose is to maximize irrigation efficiencies by applying the exact amount of water needed to replenish the soil moisture to the desired level, thus saves water and energy. It minimizes water-logging problems by reducing the drainage requirements and control root zone salinity problems through controlled leaching (Tariq and Usman, 2009).

Water use efficiency is a general factor in the field of agricultural researches, which provides information about the relation between grain yield and plant water consumption (Yahya et. al. 2011). Irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) is used to describe the relationship between crop yields and the total depth of water applied during the growing period, while crop water use efficiency is mostly used to describe irrigation effectiveness in terms of crop yield (Netafim, 2010). Improving in water use efficiency can be achieved through the development of new irrigation scheduling techniques such as deficit irrigation (Bekele and Tilahun, 2007). Extra early maize variety also known as SAMMAZ 29 is an open pollinated variety and was originally sourced from International Institute for Tropical Africa (IITA). The variety was formerly named 2000SynEE-W-STR and it was released in the year 2009. The morphological characteristics include; very early maturing, white grains, 170 cm tall, 57 days to mid- silking under un infested condition with striga hermonthica. The variety is adaptive to lowland tropic with 80– 85 days of maturity and 4.0t/ha yields potential (IAR, 2015). For maximum production a medium maturity grain crop requires between 500 and 800 mm of water depending on climate (FAO, 2013).

In a majority of irrigation schemes in Nigeria, water is not a limiting factor; rather the abundance of water is a problem which results in over irrigation because of abundance water (Sani et al., 2008). Research had shown that, on each irrigation farmers apply on average, twice the consumptive use of crops (Sani et al., 2008). This over irrigation application is dangerous/harmful to crops because it retards proper growth and subsequent yield (Sani et al., 2008). Many work conducted at Kadawa indicated that best yield of maize was obtained by adopting the conventional 7 day interval (Mani and Dadari, 2003), which contributed to the rise of ground water table due to frequent irrigation application. The increase in irrigation frequency may result in an unacceptable increase in depth of water applied, a corresponding decrease in water use efficiency and consequent drainage problems as a result of high water table (FAO, 2013). Detailed information is therefore needed in order to provide farmers with an efficient method of water management that will reduce the wastage of water by farmers,
thus helping farmers to control the quantity and timing of water delivery to align water application with the plant water use and most sensitive growing periods.

The objective of this research was to determine the effect of different irrigation intervals and irrigation depths on yield and water use efficiency of extra-early maize variety using furrow irrigation in Kano river irrigation project.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was conducted at the Irrigation Research Station of the Institute for Agricultural Research Kadawa in Kano River Irrigation Project, Garun- Mallam Local Government area of Kano State. The Kano River Irrigation Project is one of the largest irrigation projects in Nigeria which lies between latitude 11° 30’ to 12° 03’ N, longitude 08° 30’ to 09° 40’ E and 486 m above sea level within the Hadejia Jama’are River Basin, covering an area of about 75, 000 hectares. The average weather data of the study site are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Average Weather data for the study period in 2013/2014 dry season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>15th Month</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (km/day)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine hour (hr)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: meteorological station of Kadawa irrigation research station.

Soil Physical Properties

The physical properties of the soil at the experimental field were determined through soil sampling and were taken to the Soil Laboratory for analysis. An effective root depth of 0.75m (75cm) was considered for maize crop in this study as recommended by Andreas and Karen (2002) and Hussaini et al., (2008) at an incremental depths of 0 -20 cm, 20 -40 cm and 40 -75 cm.

Soil samples were taken from 3 selected points, the moisture content at both field capacity and wilting point condition were determined using pressure plate apparatus while the soil bulk densities were determined through oven-dry method. For the purpose of textural classification, the percentages of silt, clay and sand, were determined by hydrometer method using USDA soil texture classification where individual soil samples were taking at 0-20, 20-40, and 40-75 cm depth along the soil profile from the 3 selected points. The dominant texture class of soil was sandy loam for the entire experimental plots. Table 2 presents the soil physical properties of the soil the experimental site.

Table 2. Soil Physical Properties at the experimental site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (cm)</th>
<th>FC(%) @ 0.33bar</th>
<th>PWP (%) @ 15bar</th>
<th>BULK DENSITY (g/cm³)</th>
<th>CLAY (%)</th>
<th>SILT (%)</th>
<th>SAND (%)</th>
<th>TEXTURAL CLASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 -20</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>SANDY LOAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 -40</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>SANDY LOAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 -75</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>SANDY LOAM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental Design and Treatments Description

The experiment consisted of three (3) levels of irrigation interval (7, 10 and 13 days) and three (3) levels of irrigation depths (Replacements of 100%, 75% and 50% of Total Available Water Capacity, TAWC), which make a total of nine (9) treatments. The experimental treatments were replicated 3 times, making a total of 27 experimental plots considered, laid in RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK DESIGN, (RCBD).
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Table 3: Description of the experimental treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment labels</th>
<th>Treatment Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I;D_{100%}</td>
<td>7 day Irrigation Interval with 100% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I;D_{75%}</td>
<td>7 day Irrigation Interval with 75% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I;D_{50%}</td>
<td>7 day Irrigation Interval with 50% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{10D_{100%}}</td>
<td>10 day Irrigation Interval with 100% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{10D_{75%}}</td>
<td>10 day Irrigation Interval with 75% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{10D_{50%}}</td>
<td>10 day Irrigation Interval with 50% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{13D_{100%}}</td>
<td>13 day Irrigation Interval with 100% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{13D_{75%}}</td>
<td>13 day Irrigation Interval with 75% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{13D_{50%}}</td>
<td>13 day Irrigation Interval with 50% Replacement of Total Available Water Capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Irrigation Water Application

Siphon tube of 7.5cm diameter and 200cm long was used to convey water into the furrows. Discharges from the siphon tube were cut-off as soon as the required amount of water was applied. The discharge through the siphon tube into the furrow was computed using equation

Q = AV

Where A was the cross-sectional area of the siphon (m²) and V

was the velocity of flow (m/s)

The cross-sectional area was determined using equation

A= \pi \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2

Where d was the Diameter of the tube (m)

The velocity of flow was determined using equation

V = C_d \sqrt{2gh}

Where g was the Acceleration due to gravity (\frac{m^2}{s}), C_d was the Coefficient of discharge and h was the Hydraulic head. The

An extra-early maize variety (SAMMAZ 29) obtained from the Seed Production Unit of Institute for Agricultural Research Zaria was planted manually on the 15 February, 2014 at the rate of 2 seeds per hole at 0.2m seed spacing and with 0.75m row spacing. Two weeks after planting, the plants were thinned to one plant per stand thereby having an average plant population of 6 plants/ha (66,666 plants/ha) on each of the experimental treatments. Plants were irrigated uniformly until 3 Weeks After Planting (WAP) when the irrigation treatments were imposed on each plot. A weekly irrigation interval as recommended by Mani and Dadari (2003) and commonly used by the farmers for maize crop in the area was adopted based on 100% replacement of evapotranspiration losses before imposing experimental treatments, this enables the plant to become fully established. Furrow method of irrigation which is commonly used for row crops in the area
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sowing, respectively by placing in a hole and covered with soil to minimize lost and allow efficient use by the plants (Jaliya et al., 2008). The maize (SAMMAZ 29; an extra early variety) was harvested on the 15 May, 2014 after 85 days using hand when it cobs dried and the leaf sheaths have turned brown. It was then threshed and weighed.

Agronomic practice

An extra-early maize variety (SAMMAZ 29) obtained from the Seed Production Unit of Institute for Agricultural Research Zaria was planted manually on the 15 February, 2014 at the rate of 2 seeds per hole at 0.2m seed spacing and with 0.75m row spacing. Two weeks after planting, the plants were thinned to one plant per stand thereby having an average plant population of 6 plants/ha (66,666 plants/ha) on each of the experimental treatments. Plants were irrigated uniformly until 3 Weeks After Planting (WAP) when the irrigation treatments were imposed on each plot. A weekly irrigation interval as recommended by Mani and Dadari (2003) and commonly used by the farmers for maize crop in the area was adopted based on 100% replacement of evapotranspiration losses before imposing experimental treatments, this enables the plant to become fully established. Furrow method of irrigation which is commonly used for row crops in the area was used to apply water to the plants. On each of the experimental plot, nine (9) no. access tubes were installed for moisture measurement along the furrow length, three each at upper, mid and lower end of the furrow. An effective root depth of 0.75m (75cm) was considered for maize crop in this study as recommended by Andreas and Karen (2002) and Hussaini et al.,(2008) at an incremental depths of 0 -20 cm, 20 -40 cm and 40 -75 cm. So, the soil moisture measurements were taken at depths of 0-20, 20-40 and 40-75cm through the soil profile. Pre-emergence herbicides were used to control weeds. Atrazine was applied at rate of 0.25kg/ha on third day after planting using knapsacks sprayer, followed by hand weeding on seven and nine week after planting on the experimental treatments (Ramesh and Nadanassababady, 2005). Compound fertilizer NPK 15:15:15 and urea (46% N) were applied at three and six weeks after sowing, respectively by placing in a hole and covered with soil to minimize lost and allow efficient use by the plants (Jaliya et al., 2008). The maize (SAMMAZ 29; an extra early variety) was harvested on the 15 May, 2014 after 85 days using hand when it cobs dried and the leaf sheaths have turned brown. It was then threshed and weighed.
coefficient of discharge from the siphon was determined experimentally using volumetric method of determining discharge with a known volume of container.

\[ C_d = \frac{4Q}{\pi d^2 \sqrt{g h}} \]  

(4)

**Irrigation Time**

The irrigation duration for each of the treatment was determined using the relation as recommended by Michael, (1978) as expressed in equation (5).

\[ t = \frac{W \times d}{360Q} \]  

(5)

Where \( t \) was the Irrigation duration (elapsed time) in hours, \( Q \) was the Stream size (m³/s), \( W \) was the Furrow spacing (m), \( L \) was the Furrow lengths (m) and \( d \) was the depths of water (m).

**Soil moisture measurement**

The soil moisture contents of the experimental plots were monitored throughout the growing season using Soil moisture meter (PMS-714) at three different points along the furrow length, representing the upper end, middle and the lower end of the furrow. At each point, soil moistures were taken through an effective root zone depth of 75cm, at incremental depths of 0-20cm, 20-40cm, 40-75 cm, before and after irrigation, as suggested by Merriam and Keller (1978).

**Estimation of Crop Yield**

The plant was hand harvested when a visual inspection indicated that 95% of the plant reached maturity, then it cobs dried and the leaf sheaths have turned brown. The yield of maize per experimental plot was determined first by threshing the maize separately as well as weighing it. It was then converted into kilogram per hectare using equation (8). The weight of the harvested maize was obtained by weighing the threshed maize (dry matter yield at 15% moisture content) on a weighing balance, while the area of the plot was determined by multiplying the length and width of the plot.

\[ \text{Crop yield} = \frac{10,000 \times \text{weight of harvested maize}}{\text{crop area}} \]  

(8)

**Computation of Water Use Efficiency**

Two (2) distinct terms are used in expressing water use efficiency (Michael, 2009). The Crop Water Use Efficiency (CWUE) was computed using the equation

\[ \text{CWUE} = \frac{Y}{ET_c} \]  

(9)

Where \( Y \) was the Crop yield (kg/ha) and \( ET_c \) was the Total amount of water used in evapotranspiration (mm).

The Irrigation Water Use Efficiency (IWUE) was computed using the equation

\[ \text{IWUE} = \frac{Y}{Q_f} \]  

(10)

Where \( Q_f \) was the Total amount of water used in the field (mm) and \( Y \) was the Yield (kg/ha).

All data collected were subjected to statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA). Treatment means and significant differences were calculated using least significant difference method (LSD).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**RESULT**

**Effect of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on maize yield**

Table 4 shows the effect of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on maize yield, which was highly significant at P<0.01 levels. Increase in irrigation depth from 50% to 100% significantly increased the maize yield. However, increase in irrigation intervals from 7 days to 13 days significantly decreased the maize yield.
Table- 4. Effect of irrigation depth and irrigation interval on maize yield, crop water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency at Kadawa in 2013/2014 dry season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Maize Yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Crop water use efficiency (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Irrigation water use efficiency (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation depths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D100%</td>
<td>2.837a</td>
<td>0.697a</td>
<td>0.570a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D75%</td>
<td>2.463b</td>
<td>0.697a</td>
<td>0.593a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D50%</td>
<td>2.030c</td>
<td>0.657a</td>
<td>0.540b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>6.753</td>
<td>8.305</td>
<td>6.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation interval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7- days</td>
<td>3.32a</td>
<td>0.737a</td>
<td>0.577b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10- days</td>
<td>2.513b</td>
<td>0.727a</td>
<td>0.663a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13- days</td>
<td>1.497c</td>
<td>0.587b</td>
<td>0.463c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>6.753</td>
<td>8.305</td>
<td>6.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DxI</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A non significant Interaction between irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on maize yield was observed (Table 5). When the irrigation interval was fixed, irrigation intervals at 7 day and 10 day revealed that increase in irrigation depth from 50% to 75% irrigation depths significantly increased the maize yield while irrigation at 13 day had no any significant effect on the maize yield. Further increase to 100% irrigation depths had no significant effect on the maize yield at 7 day irrigation interval while it revealed a significant increased on the yield at 10 day and 13 day irrigation intervals. But when irrigation depths was fixed, all the irrigation depths revealed that increase in irrigation interval from 7 days to 13 days significantly reduced the maize yield. The highest maize yield was at I7D100% with 3.58 t/ha while the least was at I13D50% with 1.2 t/ha.
Table 5. Interaction of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on maize yield, crop water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency at Kadawa in 2013/2014 dry season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Maize yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Irrigation interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7- Days</td>
<td>10- Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation depths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{100%}</td>
<td>3.580a</td>
<td>3.080b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{75%}</td>
<td>3.450a</td>
<td>2.500c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{50%}</td>
<td>2.930b</td>
<td>1.960d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>6.753</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crop water use efficiency (kg/m$^3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Crop water use efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{100%}</td>
<td>0.740ab 0.74ab 0.610c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{75%}</td>
<td>0.740ab 0.790a 0.560c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{50%}</td>
<td>0.730ab 0.650bc 0.590c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>8.305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Irrigation water use efficiency (kg/m$^3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Irrigation water use efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{100%}</td>
<td>0.530de 0.660ab 0.520ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{75%}</td>
<td>0.610bc 0.710a 0.460fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{50%}</td>
<td>0.590cd 0.620bc 0.410g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>6.279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on crop water use efficiency

The effect of irrigation depths and irrigation interval on Crop Water Use Efficiency (CWUE) was presented in Table 4. Increase in irrigation depths from 50% to 100% had no any significant effect on the CWUE. Increase in irrigation from 7 days to 10 days had no any significant effect on the CWUE while further increase to 13 days irrigation interval recorded a significant reduction in CWUE.

A non significant interaction between irrigation depth and irrigation interval on CWUE was observed (Table 5). When irrigation interval was fixed, irrigation interval at 7 days revealed that increase in irrigation depths from 50% to 100% had no any significant effect on CWUE, while at 10 days irrigation interval, increase in irrigation depths from 50% to 75% revealed a significant increase in CWUE, but further increase to 100% irrigation depth had no any significant effect on CWUE.

When irrigation depth was fixed, all the irrigation depths revealed that increase in irrigation interval from 7 day to 10 day had no significant effect on CWUE. Further increase to 13 days irrigation interval showed a significant reduction in CWUE at 75% and 100% irrigation depths while 50% irrigation depths had no any significant effect on the CWUE. The CWUE was at I_{7}D_{75\%} with 0.790kg/m$^3$ while the least was at I_{13}D_{75\%} with 0.560kg/m$^3$.

Effect of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on irrigation water use efficiency

Table 4 shows the effect of irrigation depths and irrigation intervals on Irrigation Water Use Efficiency (IWUE) which was significant at P<0.01 levels. Increase in irrigation depths from 50% to 75% resulted in significant increased in IWUE while further increase in irrigation depth to 100% shows no any significant affect on IWUE. Also, increase in irrigation interval from 7 day to 10 day significantly increased the IWUE while further increase in irrigation interval to 13 day significantly reduced the IWUE.

A significant interaction between irrigation depth and irrigation interval on IWUE was observed (Table 5). When irrigation interval was fixed, irrigation intervals at 10 days revealed that increase in irrigation depths from 50% to 75% significantly increased the IWUE while it had no any significant effect on IWUE at 7 and 13 days. Further increase to 100% irrigation revealed that a significant reduction in IWUE at 7 day while 10 day and 13 day irrigation interval had no any significant effect on the IWUE. But when irrigation depth was fixed, irrigation depths at 75% and 100% revealed that increase in irrigation interval from 7 day to 10 day significantly increased while irrigation depth at 50% had no any significant effect on the IWUE. Further increase to 13 day irrigation interval resulted to a significant reduction in IWUE at all the irrigation depth. The IWUE was at I_{10}D_{75\%} with 0.71kg/m$^3$ while the least was at I_{13}D_{50\%} with 0.41kg/m$^3$.
EFFECT OF IRRIGATION...

DISCUSSION
The highest maize yield obtained was at I_1=D_{100}\% while the least yield obtained was at I_3=D_{35}\%. The highest yield was due to the adoption of full irrigation, which may be attributed to the fact that higher irrigation depths would provide the crops with adequate moisture in the surface layer in which most of the maize roots exists, thus resulting in better crop nourishment and consequently higher yield. This finding was in agreement with the conclusions of (Yazar et al., 1999); Kara and Biber (2008); Farré and Faci (2009)), they reported that Maize grain yield increased significantly by irrigation water amount and irrigation frequency while the least yield was due to the moisture stress the plants were subjected which reduced dry matter accumulation of vegetative components of maize. Similar evidence was reported by Yang et al., (1994), Ahmed and El Hag (1999), and Ahmed (2002). They stated that, increasing the irrigation intervals resulted to a decrease in yield. The yields obtained in this study agreed with the one reported by other researchers, who had worked on deficit irrigation on maize: Sani et al., (2008) in Samaru (Northern Guinea Savanna) recorded Maize yield between 2.072-3.348t/ha and 2.17-3.01t/ha in 2009/10 and 2010/11 seasons respectively; Iyanda et al., (2014) recorded maize yield of about 2.3t/ha, 2.8t/ha and 0.5t/ha in Samaru, Ibadan and Maiduguri respectively while FAO, 2012 recorded maize of 1.7t/ha. Institute for Agricultural Research, Samaru reported a potential yield of 4.0t/ha for the same crop (SAMMAZ 29) which is higher than the one obtained in this study (3.58t/ha) which may be attributed to the difference in the climatic conditions and in the growing period duration.

The crop water use efficiency was recorded to range from 0.56 -0.79kg/m\^3, with the least value found in treatment I_1=D_{75}\% and the highest value obtained in treatment I_1=100\%. This validated FAO (1995), that irrigation regime that provide soil moisture for maximum crop growth and yield per unit area would be unlikely to produce maximum output per unit of water (WUE). The results obtained in this study fall within the ranges stated by Sani et al., (2008) and FAO (2013) as 0.6- 0.8kg/m\^3.

The irrigation water use efficiency was recorded to range from 0.41 -0.71kg/m\^3, with the least value found in treatment I_1=D_{75}\% and the highest value obtained in treatment I_1=D_{100}\%. These result agreed with Igbadun (2012), which recorded IWUE at Samaru (Northern Guinea Savanna) to vary from 0.42 to 0.55 kg/m\^3 in 2009/10 season and 0.45 to 0.61 kg/m\^3 in 2010/11 seasons respectively while Kucuo et al., (2013) reported IWUE to vary from 0.50-1.59kg/m\^3 in 2007 and 0.41-1.82kg/m\^3 in 2008 seasons respectively.

CONCLUSIONS
Adoption of deficit irrigation resulted to greater water use efficiency. Maximum CWUE and IWUE were obtained when the crops were stressed at I_3=D_{75}\%, thus saving about 48.3% of irrigation water (amounted to 329mm) with reference to control (I-D_{100}\%) which causes a yield reduction of about 19% (amounted to 680kg/ha). It was concluded from the study that optimum yield of maize can be obtained when crop is irrigated after every 10 days with 75% replacement of total available water content (I-D_{75}\%).
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