



ROAD TRANSPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM: A PANACEA FOR ROAD TRANSPORT FACILITY MANAGEMENT IN KATSINA LOCAL GOVERNMENT, KATSINA STATE, NIGERIA

MUHAMMAD ZILKIFLU DABO AND SULAIMAN YUNUS

Department of Geography, Bayero University Kano

Authors email: syunus.geog@buk.edu.ng and zmdabo@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at examining the spatial pattern of RTF within Katsina Local Government with a view to developing a comprehensive Road Transport Facility Information System (RTFIS) to enable efficient management and planning for sustainable development. Analogue record (maps and attributes) of the existing RTF were obtained from the relevant departments, and were updated with the data obtained through field and GPS surveys, and Google Earth image (2019). QGIS 3.8 software was used for Nearest Neighbor Analysis, developing comprehensive database and series of spatial and attributes queries in order to demonstrate the capability of Geospatial technology in efficient management of RTF information. The result revealed a dispersed distribution pattern of Roundabouts within the study area with nearest neighbor ratio of 1.3 and z-score value of 2.9. It is therefore recommended that the departments involved in RTF management should embrace Geospatial technology as one of the contemporary methods for efficient managing, manipulating, retrieving and updating RTF records for sustainable urban development.

Keywords: Road Transport Facility, Nearest Neighbor Analysis, Hyperlink, Spatial Queries

INTRODUCTION

GIS-based road Transport Information System (TIS) is a product of integration of the concepts of Geographic Information System (GIS) and Transportation Information System (TIS). Winter et al. (2010) states that TIS includes the interdisciplinary fields of mobility science (data-driven modeling of human and animal dynamics using location data) and computational transportation science (the application of computer science to understand and manage transportation systems). It is therefore not hyperbole to state that there is a revolution in the human sciences, including transportation and urban sciences, fueled by a stunning advancement in capabilities to capture, store and process data, as well as communicate information and knowledge derived from these data (Miller and Shaw, 2015). Transportation is one of the fastest growing of many fields in which GIS is used (Rodrigue et al, 2006). Diverse areas of transportation, including high-way and rail-way infrastructure management, international shipping, airport management, fleet logistics, traffic management and intelligent transportation systems (ITS), transit bus and rail service planning, transportation modeling, supply chain modeling, and others, are applying GIS to their work (ESRI, 2003). The breadth of the field of integration of GIS and transportation system provides large opportunities for the development of new and innovative applications in transportation system of different transportation organizations (Curtin, 2003). Since GIS have a seamless relation with space and location, given that their main objective as a tool is to store, retrieve, and facilitate the analysis of spatial data (Goodchild and Janelle, 2004), they have become one of the most powerful tools to support transportation studies and applications. Capitalizing on this relationship both academics and practitioners have focused their attention on research/work that makes use of GIS in transportation applications.

Road transport in Nigeria (like in most countries of the world) is by far the most dominant mode of transportation, carrying well over 90% of passenger and freight traffic, and serving as a true backbone for Nigeria's economy (Transport Research Board, 2017). Because a well maintained road transport asset

is very important for the economic development of the nation, Road Management Information System is a crucial for successful and sustainable management of road transport facilities. Geospatial data are foundation for relevant and critical information for planning, engineering, asset management, and operations associated with every transportation mode at all levels of government and administration (Transport Research Board, 2017). Nigeria has the largest road network in West Africa and the second largest south of the Sahara with roughly 108,000 km of surfaced roads in 1990 (67,112 miles) (Miller and Shaw, 2015). Out of this total, 30,000 kilometers (18,642 miles) were paved, 25,000 kilometers (15,535 miles) were gravel, and 53,000 kilometers (32,935 miles) were unimproved earth. Much of the road system is in disrepair and barely useable. Massive traffic jams are very common in the large cities, there are also long delays in the movement of goods. Highway accidents and deaths are frequent, and have reached more than 30,000 and 8,000, respectively. However, they are poorly maintained and are often cited as a cause for the country's high rate of traffic fatalities. In 2004 Nigeria's Federal Roads Maintenance Agency (FERMA) began to patch the 32,000-kilometre federal roads network, and in 2005 FERMA initiated a more substantial rehabilitation. With respect to the quality of overall infrastructure, Nigeria was ranked number 125 out of 142 countries, with a value of 3.0 (1.3 below the mean value). Cameroon was ranked at 122 and Ghana at 90 (TIO, 2014). With regard to road quality, Nigeria was ranked at 120, with port infrastructure at 117, railroad quality at 104 and air transport quality at 104. There are almost 200,000 km of roads in Nigeria, 15 % of them paved (2012). There are over 3,500 km of railway and much of the network is single track (TIO, 2014).

Currently, comprehensive record about the number and other important attributes (such as length, width, type, category, date of construction, expiry date, and last date of maintenance) of road transport infrastructure in most Nigerian cities and Katsina town inclusive are seriously lacking. The few available ones are mostly in analogue form (paper based) and scattered between different offices within the transport

ministry and other related departments. This makes it difficult to manage, manipulate, retrieve and update the information. Additionally, information on uses/functions (if available) of transport facilities are unstructured and as a result makes it time-consuming for end-users to extract information about specific functions or uses of the facilities. Records (spatial and attributes) about other related transport infrastructures such as bridges, flyovers, culverts and underpasses is also necessary especially for planning and development purposes. Comprehensive database on road traffic signs, junctions and U-turns which are also very important safe transport system and for future and sustainable development are also lacking. Some of the related studies conducted includes Cortes et al., (2013); Herstein et al., (2011); ESRI. (2011); Id and Morshed, (2007); Anderson et al., (2010); Ryley et al., (2014); Loidl et al., (2016); Hasegawa, (2015); John, (2012); Strano et al., (2012); Indira et al., (2013).

In order to develop a functional and sustainable road transport management system, a comprehensive and digital GIS-based TIS is required to support decision-making process for sustainable urban development. This will enable the integration of spatial and attribute data of all available transport infrastructures. It will also provide for transport related data collection, integration, manipulation, analysis, spatial database design, and database queries in order to retrieve and update the information system whenever is necessary. This justifies the significance of this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Urban Katsina is the capital of Katsina State, it is located between latitude 12º 451N and 13º 151N and Longitude 7º 301 and 8^0 00E. The location is at the extreme part of northern Nigerian, some 30km from the Nigeria-Niger boarder. The city is administratively headed by an emir, with district and ward as sub units for administrative convenience. Urban Katsina comprises of two local government areas, Katsina and some part of Batagarawa Local Government areas (Zayyana 2010). The main ethnic groups are the Hausa's and Fulani's. the Fulani has primarily settled or semi-settled cattle herders, and sometimes with some limited crop production activities. The Hausa's are largely crop cultivators, but who also often keep some animals. A history of association and interaction between the two ethnic groups has led to merger of culture and tradition, mainly the unifying influence of Islam, the Hausa language and inter-marriages. In addition, other ethnic groups with lower but still significant important in Katsina towns social and economic development include Igbo, Yoruba, Nupe, Kanuri Tiv and others (Zayyana 2010).

Population and Settlements

Urban Katsina has a fairly large population, enjoys Sub-Sahara African rate of population increase with average birth and deaths trates of 4.2% and 1.6% respectively (Zango, 2010). As of 1952 census, the population figure was 52,672 and rose to 223,644 in 1991, by then it had already acquired the status of a state capital. The population figure after the 2006 census was recorded to be 327,376 (National Population Commission, 2006). The settlement pattern has characterized by two categories base on population density. The first category is the high to medium density settlement is which include the Cikin Birni (Old City) with their peripheral areas respectively. While the second category is the low-density settlement of Government Reservation Areas (GRA), Kofar Marusa, Low Cost and New Layout among other. The Cikin Birni which is the old city and the most densely populated area in the metropolis have a unique cultural setting that affects the people in the area. Most buildings are made up of mud and clay, closely packed together and surround with walls (Hassan, 2008)

Land Use

Land use in the study area is dominated by urban activities, such as residential, institutional, commercial and industrial land use, with small area mostly undeveloped for farming. Aside from major urban land use mentioned above, other land use such as livestock production and gathering are also carried out in the area. Residential area covers most part of the study area, different land uses such as commercial, institutional, and educational are all located within the residential areas. Sabuwar Unguwa extension is the major area functioning as industrial layout. Industries such as steel rolling, packaging, beverage processing etc are found in this area. Commercial activities happened to be growing very fast in the area. There are many smalls and major central market. The popular markets here are Katsina central market, Kofar Marusa markets and the old market (Tsohuwar Kasuwa). Also there are many department store shopping centers and supermarkets-where local, national and foreign commodities are sold. Institutional land uses can be found at various locations within urban Katsina. Tertiary institutions include Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Fedral College of Education, Hassan Usman Katsina Polyethnic and School of Nursing and Midwifery in addition to numerous nursery/primary and secondary school both governmental and privately owned



Figure 1: Katsina Local Government Area of Katsina State

Source: Dept of Geog, BUK) (2019)

MATERIALS AND SOFTWARE USED

The various materials and software used for data collection and analysis are summarized and presented in Table 1.

Hardware/Software	Usage
Global Positioning System (GPS)	Used for collecting the spatial attributes/coordinates (lat & long) of road transport facilities to enable spatial mapping using the GIS software
Camera	Remote Sensing sensor mounted on Techno K9 platform (8 mega pixel) was used for capturing the images of the facilities in order to enable using hyper link to link the spatial data with the images
HP Laptop Computer (windows 8, processor: intel Pentium and system)	
Microsoft Word and Excel 2013	Used for compiling attribute information of the transport facilities and preparing locational data for onward importing into the GIS environment.
QGIS 3.8	Used as for mapping, designing, analysis, querying, and creation of geodatabase for RTFMS.

Table1: Materials and Software used

Types and Sources of Data

Both spatial and attribute data about road transport infrastructures were collected using GPS, and also consulting records from the related ministries. Satellite images from Google earth and road transport map of Katsina, documents on culverts, bridges and flyovers of Katsina LGA were also obtained from the related ministries and departmnts. The overall data types and sources are summarized in Table 2.

Data required	Sources	Usage					
Road transport base map	Ministry of Works Katsina State	Serve as basemap which was georeferenced and digitize. Used to identify, characterize and delineate the roads transport facilities for geospatial database creation.					
Google Earth image	Google Applications, 2019	Used to update the analogue basemap from the ministry.					
Road information Documents (attribute data)	Ministry of Works Katsina State	Provided the attribute data of the existing facilities to be used for inventory creation and database development.					
GPS and Camera	Field survey	For collecting coordinates points of the infrastructures and pictures for hyperlinking in order to see the nature of some of the facilities.					

Table 2: Data types, sources and usage

Methods of Data Analysis and Presentation

Nearest Neighbor Analysis was conducted to determine the spatial distribution of road transport infrastructures within the study area. Three stages (conceptual, logical and physical design) were used in designing and building the Geodatabase. Spatial join and hyperlink were used to integrate the spatial and non-spatial data to create road and other facility layers so as to develop the GIS-based RTIMS. Hyperlink tool was used to integrate displays (pictures showing the nature or condition of an infrastructure) for proper management of the system. Series of spatial and attribute queries based on different criteria were used in demonstrating various methods of retrieving information from the database developed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This section presents and discussed the results from the analysis conducted under the following subheadings: Updated RTF types and characteristics, spatial distribution of roundabouts, RTF spatial database and RTF database queries.

Updated RTF types and characteristics

Figure 2 present the updated RTF map of Katsina Local Government and were characterized using various symbols layer properties in the QGIS software. The road were categories into three classes (the Primary, Secondary and Tertiary roads) and the roundabouts and bridges/culverts were represented as point feature using different symbols respectively. The figure provides synoptic view of all available RTF within the study area and their topological relationships.



Spatial Pattern of Roundabouts within Katsina LGA.

The distribution pattern of roundabouts in the study area was determined by Average Nearest Neighbor in QGIS 3.8 software interface. Figure 3 presented the average nearest neighbor summary for roundabouts in the study area. The result shows a dispersed distribution pattern of roundabouts in the area with nearest neighbor ratio of 1.340667 with critical value (z-score) of 2.914580 at 0.003562 level of significance (p-value). The dispersed pattern of roundabouts indicates low level of complexity in the transport system, while a clustered pattern signifies a complex transport system.



Figure 3: Distribution Pattern of Roundabout within Katsina LGA (Sour

(Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

FJS

RTF Spatial Database in Katsina LGA

The data collected in the form of spatial, non-spatial and photographs were used to develop a comprehensive geodatabase of transport facilities in the study area. The interphase from the software showing the attributes of various RTI is presented in figures 4-8.

ROAD TRANSPORT INFORMATION...

Muhammad and Sulaiman

FJS

Name	Length (M)	Length(Kin)	Carriage	Lane	Surface	Width (M)	Speed Limt
ahaya Madawaki Road	1569.53	1.57	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100im/h
Yahaya Madawaki Road	1203.93	1.20	Dual	4	Pawed	19.60	100km/h
Yahaya Madawaki Road	1097.36	1.10	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Tafawa Balewa Road	4039.50	4.04	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Steel Roling Road	3071.79	3.07	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Sarkin Aburahman Way	1649.31	1.65	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Ring Road	2132.29	2.13	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Ring Road	2008.53	2.01	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Ring Road	3114.89	3.11	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Ring Road	3828.83	3.83	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	103km/h
Ring Road	7527.03	7.53	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	103km/h
Murtala Muhammed Way	1697.40	1.70	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Murtala Muhammed Way	1269.74	1.27	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Murtala Muhammed Way	2910.02	2.91	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Murtala Muhammed Way	16656.28	15.66	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Mari Road	12558.61	12.55	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Karta Road	9162.36	9.16	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Ibrahim Shehu Shena Way	1015.23	1.02	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	103km/h
Hassan Usman Roed	2018.22	2.02	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Dutsinna Road	7300.71	7.30	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	303km/h
Ciaura Road	4663.53	4.66	Dual	4	Paved	19.60	100km/h
Daura Road	4231.14	4.23	0 d		Paved	10.50	100km.h

Name	Length (M)	Length(Km)	Surface	Width (M)	Lane	SpeedLmt
Sabon Layi Road	1094.58	1.09	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
Ring Road	1952.55	1.95	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
Oluwasegun Obasanjo Road	626.05	0.63	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
Nagogo Road	2499.10	2.50	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
M.Dikko Road	1775.10	1.78	Paved	10.30	2	60Kin/h
M.Dikko Road	2104.83	2.10	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
M.Dikko Road	886.00	0.89	Paved	10.30	2	60Km/h
Kaita Road	3165.65	3.17	Paved	10.30	2	60Kin/h
Kabir Usman Road	1546.24	1.55	Paved	10.30	4	60Kin/h
0 IBB Way	1325.20	1.33	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
1 IBB Way	2362.26	2.36	Paved	10.30	4	60Kn/h
2 IBB Way	7778.53	7.78	Paved	10.30	4	60Kin/h
3 IBB Way	800.41	0.80	Paved	10.30	2	60Km/h
188 Way	1038.10	1.04	Paved	10.30	4	60Km/h
188 Way	2312.48	2.31	Paved	10.30	2	60Km/h
6 Akali-Ibrahim Maikaita Road	5604.24	5.60	Paved	10.30	2	60Km/h
7 Abdullahi Sarki Muktar Road	2062.71	2.05	Paved	10.30	2	60Km/h
	678 53	0.00	Paver	10.30	4	60Km/h

Figure 4: Attributes of the Primary Roads

(Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

Figure 5: Attributes of the Secondary Roads

(Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

ROAD TRANSPORT INFORMATION...

Muhammad and Sulaiman

FJS

Name	Lane	Width (M)	Speed Lmt	Length (M)	Length(Km)		x	Ŧ	Nane	Date of Co	Name of Co	Remark	Picture
Yarkutungu Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1076.19	1.08	1	7,638530859008120	13.025720750566700	Shinkafi Rounabout	2012-2014	Mother Cat	Uncompleted	C: Users Hp:User Deskt
Yammawa Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	3733.79	3.73	2	7,638287701977290	13.009518389010401	Government House Roundabout	2012-2014	Mother Cat	Uncomplited	Mis/Round_About/Gov
WTC Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1600.41	1.60	3	7.640994840935250	12.989697040713301	Airport Roundabout	2012-2014	Barini Prano	Uncompleted	MGs/Round_About/Arp
Waziri Zayyana Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1445.54	1.45	4	7.641651373841220	12.970645689589899	General Hospital Roundabout	2017-2018	China Gvil	Completeed	Mis/Round_About/Gen
Unguwar Alkali Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	659.83	0.66	5	7.552315082512970	12.975464856209799	General Hospital Roundabout	2017-2018	China Gvil	Completed	MisyRound_About/Gen
Tsohowar Kasuwa Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	609.64	0.61	6	7.557165500000000	13.014763600000000	Army Barradx Roundabout	2012-2014	Mother Cat	Uncompleted	IMGs/Round_About/Arm
State Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	1294.59	1.29	7	7.629817733066890	13.001538796720900	GRA Roundabout 2	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	MGs/Round_About/GRA
State Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	716.88	0.72	8	7.628358791053620	12.996063701554700	GRA Roundabout 1	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	Mis/Round_About/GRA
State Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	940.16	0.94	9	7.626348693168660	12.980509758646200	WTC Roundabout	2004-2006	Sorini Prano	Uncompleted	IMEs/Round_About/WTV
Shararrar Pile Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1153.30	1.15	10	7.626946454132430	12.963444189707900	Steel Raling	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	Maskound_About/Ste
Sarki Dikko Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1275.78	1.28	11	7.621757078443560	12.972682129483200	Layout Roundabout	2004-2006	Barini Prano	Uncompleted	MGs/Round_About/Lay
Sabuwar Unguwa Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	1853.16	1.85	12	7,610541461716530	12.977366954392799	Kofar Kaura Roundabout	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	Miskard_AboutKat
Sabowar Kasuwa Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	849.34	0.85	13	7.617187753110320	12.985695081718500	Mai Pendi Roundabout	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	MGs/Round_About/Mai
Medical Centre Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	1570.78	1.57	14	7.598790899614870	12.971966842632700	Babowar Tasha Roundabout	2017-2018	China Gvil	Uncompleted	
Lawrence Onoja Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1552.24	1.55	15	7.597469746539290	12.975812775886901	Kofar Kweya Roundabout	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	MGsjRound_About/Kata
Korau Street	2	1.50	60Km/h	1024.06	1.02	16	7.587342257397160	12.981558386372400	Kofar Yandaka Roundabout	1990-1996	Julius Berger	Completed	Miskand_About/Kate
Kankia Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	454.40	0. <mark>4</mark> 5	IJ	7.587595545941130	12.996254174539500	Kofar Guga Roundabout	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Completed	MGs/Round_About/Kafa
Kafur Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	1382.14	1.38	18	7.601065937286580	12.984833900669100	Sabon Layi Roundabout 1	1990-1996	Julus Berger	Uncompleted	MGs/Round_About/Sab
Jibia Road	2	1.50	60Km/h	579.81	0.58	19	7.603371369613800	12.988255828898300	Sabon Layi Roundabout 2	2012-2014	Mother Cat	Uncompleted	MGs/Round_About/Sab
Guga Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	958.27	0.96	20	7.599713883038870	12.991842394680500	Masallacin Sarki Roundabout	1990-1996). úlus Berger	Completed	Mis/Round_About/Mas
Dutsinma Street	1	1.50	60Km/h	626.03	0.63	21	7.605655019126230	12.993682282663899	Wapa Roundabout	1990-1996	Sarini Prano	Completed	MGs/Round_About/MAR
Daki Tara Road	1	1.50	60Km/h	767.18	0.77		7.600652063805730	12.999222209697800	General Hospital Roundabout	2017-2018	China Cilvi	Condeted	MGs/Round About/Gen

Figure 6: Attributes of the Tertiary Roads

(Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

Figure 7: Attributes of some Roundabouts (Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS) Vol. 4 No. 2, June, 2020, pp 466 - 477

ROAD TRANSPORT INFORMATION...

Muhammad and Sulaiman

	Name	X	Y	Width (M)	Name of Co	Date of Co	Material	Road Type	Remark	Picture
Kofar	Sauri	7.6153295	13.0089932	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Culvert/Kof
Kofar	Durbi	7.616799	12.9960144	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1995	Contrete	State Road	Good	
Kerau	u Yar Gada	7.60926023891421	12.9944661963899	10.30	Barini Prono		Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulvert/Yar.
Yahay	ya Madaki 3	7.6200943	12.9700299	19.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulwert/Ya
Yahay	ya Madaki 4	7.612426	12.9758658	19.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	DMGsjKulvert/Ya.
Kofar	Kaura	7.6077446	12.9757758	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	DMGs/Oulvert/Kof
Yanm	awa	7.59910652384554	13.0037839534338	10.30	Mother Cat	2012-2014	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulvert/Ya
Lamb	un Sarki	7.6159367	12.9837854	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulvert/La.
Lanb	un Sarki 2	7.6144481	12.9866055	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Culvert/La.
Yahay	ya Madaki 2	7.63717375429682	13.0296588773802	19.30	Mother Cat	2012-2014	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulvert/Ya.
Yahay	ya Madaki 1	7.631472	13.0390864	19.30	Mother Cat	2012-2014	Concrete	State Road	Good	IMGs/Oulvert/Ya.
Shinka	afi	7.65431548190957	13.0336088700174	10.30	Julius Berger	1990-1996	Concrete	State Road	Fair	IMGs/Culvert/Shi.

Figure 8: Attributes of some culverts

(Source: Author's Analysis 2019)

Figures 4,5 and 6 shows the information contained in the database about the three road categories within the study area. This includes the caption/name of the roads, length (m/Km), number of lanes, surface type, the width and permissable speed limits. This forms the basis for queries based various criteria and also provides for future upating of the database. Figures 7 and 8 presents the locational coordinates of roundabouts and culverts/bridges, their captions, date of construction, contractors, status (completed or not) and the pictures displaying their present status.

3.4 Identification of RTI using Identify Tool

The identify tool in the QGIS software enables retrieving information about each infrastructure directly from the display of the screen, thereby presenting addresses and all other attributes of each infrastructure including the addresses and the pictures. Figures 9-13 presents the use of identify/select tool to retrieve information about various infrastructures within the study area, and hyperlink to join the attributes of a facility with its picture.



FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS) Vol. 4 No. 2, June, 2020, pp 466 - 477

2

Figure 12: Major Round-about Identification in Katsina Local Government

X

And as inco Frank

Figure 11: Tertiary Road Identification in Katsina Local Government

TRUE Geel



Figure 13: Major Culvert/Bridge Identification in Katsina Local Government

RTIS Queries

Both simple and complex queries can be conducted to retrieve information from the RTIS depending on the nature of information required. Figure 14 shows a simple SQL syntax for retrieving information about the primary roads that are above 5km long. Figure 15 on the other hand shows the result of the query instruction presented in Figure 14. Many more complex queries can be performed in attempting to retrieve various information for the database created.



Figure 14: SQL syntax for retrieving Primary Roads above 5km length

Figure 15: Showing Primary Roads that are more than 5 Km in Length

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concluded that the role of GIS technology in RTFIM cannot be over emphasized as it is one of the sophisticated technology that enables road traffic infrastructure data capture, storage, retrieval updating, manipulation and simulation for efficient monitoring and optimum management. It is therefore recommended that the departments responsible for monitoring and managing RTI in Katsina Local government should embrace this technology for sustainable transport development within the study area.

REFERENCES

Anderson, R. E., Brunette, W., Johnson, E., Lustig, C., Poon, A., Putnam, C., ... Borriello, G. (2010). Experiences with a transportation information system that uses only GPS and SMS. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. https://doi.org/10.1145/2369220.2369223

Cortes, J. A. Z., Serna, M. D. A., & Gomez, R. A. (2013). Information systems applied to transport improvement. *DYNA* (*Colombia*), 80(180), 77–86.

Curtin, K., Noronham, V., Goodchild, M. and Grise, S., (2003). "ArcGIS Transportation GIS Model", ESRI.

ESRI (2003). Understanding GIS – The Arc-Info Method. A Workbook on GIS by Environmental System Research Institute Redlands CA, USA.

ESRI. (2011). GIS for Transportation Infrastructure Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2011.03.007

Goodchild, M. F. (2004). GIS and transportation: status and challenges. GeoInformatica 4(2): PP 127–139. P. 336.

Hasegawa, T. (2015). Chapter 5-Intelligent Transport System. *Traffic and Safety Science - Interdiciplinary Wisdom of IATSS*, 212. Retrieved from http://www.iatss.or.jp/en/publication/commemorativepublication/

Herstein, L. M., Filion, Y. R., & Hall, K. R. (2011). Discussions and Closures Discussion of "Evaluating Environmental Impact in Water Distribution System Design" Closure to "Evaluating Environmental Impact in Water Distribution System Design" by. 15(3), 52–53. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0342(2009)15

Id, S. A., & Morshed, D. (2007). Transport Information System (TIS) Transport Information System (TIS). (May), 1–44.

Indira, A.P., College, G., Ganorkar, R. a, Rode, P. I., & Bhambulkar, A. V. (2013). Application Of Gis In Transportation Engineering. *International Journal of Engineering*, *3*(2), 540–542.

John A. V. (2012). Best Practices in Geographic Information Systems-Based Transportation Asset Management. *Argumentation*, (January), 71. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903120601023461

Loidl, M., Wallentin, G., Cyganski, R., Graser, A., Scholz, J., & Haslauer, E. (2016). GIS and transport modelingstrengthening the spatial perspective. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 5(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi5060084

Miller H. J. and Shaw S. (2015). Geographic Information System fro Transportation in the 21st Century. Geography Compass, Volume 9, Issue 4, pg 180-189, https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12204.

Rodrigue, J. P., Comtois, C, and B. and Slack, B. (2006). The Geography of Transport Systems, Routledge, New York. P. 362.

Ryley, T. J., Stanley, P. A., Enoch, M. P., Zanni, A. M., & Quddus, M. A. (2014). Investigating the contribution of Demand Responsive Transport to a sustainable local public transport system. *Research in Transportation Economics*, *48*, 364–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2014.09.064

trano, E., Nicosia, V., Latora, V., Porta, S., & Barthélemy, M. (2012). Elementary processes governing the evolution of road networks. *Scientific Reports*, 2, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00296

Transport Research Board (2017). Guidelines for the designing and operation of road management systems. Overseas Road Note 15. Department for Information Development 94 Victoria Street. London, SWIE 5JL

T I O (2014). Transport Infrastructure Organization in Nigeria. Nexus Strategic Partnerships Limited, © 2014.

Winter, S., Sester, M., Wolfson, O. and Geers, G. (2010). Towards a computational transportation science. *SIGMOD Record* 39, pp. 27-32.

Zayyana, Y. I. (2010). Some Aspects of Urban Farming in Urban Katsina, Katsina State. An unpublished Msc. Thesis submitted to the department of geography, Bayero University, Kano.



©2020 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license viewed via <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited appropriately.