



CONSUMERS' PERCEPTION OF MUNICIPAL WATER QUALITY IN BARNAWA COMMUNITY OF KADUNA STATE, NIGERIA

^{1,2}Umar, H.O., ²Okareh, O.T., ^{3*}Adeleye, A.O., ⁴Orifah, M.O., ³Amoo, A. O., ³Sabiu, N., ³Gana, S.A

¹Department of Human Anatomy, Faculty of Basic Medical Science, College of Medicine, Federal University Dutse, Nigeria. ²Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Faculty of Public Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. ³Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, Federal University Dutse, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Faculty of Agriculture, Federal University Dutse, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author's e-mail: <u>adeniyi.adeleye@fud.edu.ng</u>

ABSTRACT

The study assessed consumers' perception regarding the quality of municipal tap water distributed by the Kaduna State Water Board (KSWB) to the residents of Barnawa community of Kaduna state. Simple judgemental sampling was employed to select four hundred and twenty seven (427) households that were interviewed through questionnaire. Data obtained were analysed using descriptive statistics, chi square, t-test and ANOVA. Majority of the respondents (60%) reportedly receive intermittent municipal water supply while a sizeable proportion of the respondents (40%) reportedly received a constant municipal water supply. Again, a little above half of the respondents (52.1%) had a negative perception of the water quality. Majority (64%) of the respondents were not satisfied with the colour of the water. The association between consumer's perception and use of tap water for drinking is statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 4.345$, p=0.04). Customers' perception of the water for cooking. It is therefore recommended that KSWB should implement a system that ensures constant water supply to Barnawa community as intermittent water supply increases the risk of the water getting contaminated in the distribution system before reaching the final consumers.

Keywords: Kaduna state water board, Water supply, Respondents, Contaminated water.

INTRODUCTION

It has been widely established in the literature that water is indispensable for life and socio-economic development of any society. It is employed for domestic activities, agricultural activities, generation of power, running industries and recreational activities. Water constitutes 60%-70% of the total body weight (United States Geological Survey, 2009; Guyton and Hall, 2011). According to Adeleye *et al.* (2018), the wellbeing of humans in their immediate environment is principally measured by the availability and proximity of potable water meant for their consumption. In spite of its importance in sustaining livelihood, it is the major cause of morbidity and mortality because of limitations in access and quality (Andrew, 1998).

The quality of potable water and the threat of waterborne diseases such as cholera and typhoid are critical public health issues in many developing countries (Asian Development Bank, 2002). About 2.3 billion people in the world, most of them in developing countries, suffer from disease linked to water (Lundqvist and Gleick, 1997; Population Information Programme, 2002). Each year, four to five million people die from diseases caused by unsafe drinking water (United Nations

Development Programme, 2002; Water Dome, 2002). According to Gleick (2002), if the situation is not improved upon, between 34 to 76 million people might die from waterrelated diseases by the year 2020. Population growth and rising living standards increase the pressure on the current water sources and systems. Most distribution systems are not designed to serve growing population; eventually they break down and become non-operational. In developing countries like Nigeria, many existing water distribution systems are operating intermittently and at a fraction of their capacity and the resulting water quality may be poor (World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund,2000).

Consumer perceptions and aesthetic criteria need to be considered when assessing drinking water supplies even though they may not adversely affect human health (World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund, 2000), but in order to improve any kind of service, it is important to understand the opinions and perceptions of the consumers before intervention. It is therefore on these premises that this study was conducted to assess the consumers' perception regarding the quality of drinking water distributed by Kaduna State Water Board (KSWB) to households in Barnawa community with a view to understanding how their perception would ultimately affect its usage for drinking and cooking.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Study Area

Barnawa community is located in Kaduna South Local Government Area of Kaduna State as shown in Figure 1. It is

located at longitude $10^{\circ} 29^{\circ} 22^{\circ}$ and latitude $07^{\circ} 25^{\circ} 26^{\circ}$ as observed from Astro Hill (College of Environmental Studies), and lies at a distance of about 6 kilometres from Kaduna city centre. It shares boundaries to the East with Narayi; to the West it is bordered by Kakuri and Unguwar Television to the south while at the North it is bordered by River Kaduna.



Source: Adapted from political map of Kaduna South LGA

According to National Population Commission (1991), its coverage extends to a land area of about 10,350 hectares with an estimated population of 32,684.

Sampling technique

Households were selected using judgemental sampling method. Data from KSWB documenting the names and home addresses of all domestic pipe-borne water consumers in the study area was obtained to select a total of 427 households using a table of random numbers.

Instrument for data collection

Well-structured questionnaire was developed for data collection. The questionnaire consisted of four sections as follows: socio-demographic characteristics of respondents, identification of water supply and use by respondents, perception assessment of respondents on the water quality supplied to their households (perception scores of ≤ 4 and >4 were categorised as negative and positive respectively (Aluko *et al.*, 2016) and identification of water borne diseases in respondents region and their practices in relation to household water storage and treatment. The questionnaire included both close and open ended questions.

Validity and reliability of the Instrument

The reliability of the questionnaire was ascertained using Cronbach's Alpha statistics. This was done by administering the questionnaire to 10% of the sample size of the respondents in a different location (Kakuri) to check whether the wordings and responding categories of the questionnaire were appropriate for the survey population, and to expunge any ambiguous questions the site chosen for pre-test. Subsequently, the reliability coefficient was calculated using SPSS software. A reliability coefficient of 0.72 was obtained, higher than the average

correlation coefficient of 0.5 indicating that the instrument was reliable.

Data collection

Three research assistants were trained with a view to collecting data from the randomly selected respondents. Prior to administration of the questionnaires, respondents were provided with information about the study and only respondents who gave their consent verbally were interviewed. The heads of households or any household member of thirteen (13) years of age and above were used as the respondents in each household. Each question was explained to the respondents and their answers were recorded on the questionnaire.

Data management and analysis

Data were entered into the computer using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 15 (Chicago IL 60606-6412) software which was used for data cleaning and analysis. Frequencies of all variables were applied to check for unexpected codes, missing values, or missing data. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, *chi square, t-test* and ANOVA. P-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The results obtained were presented in charts and tables.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

A total of 427 respondents participated in the study. The population included in the study were composed of males (33.8 %) and females (66.2 %). The mean age of respondents was 34.4 ± 13.6 years.

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Sex | | |
| Male | 137 | 33.8 |
| Female | 268 | 66.2 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Religion | | |
| Christianity | 283 | 69.9 |
| Islam | 120 | 29.6 |
| Traditional | 120 | 0.2 |
| Others | 1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Highest level of Education | 405 | 100 |
| No formal education | 2 | 0.5 |
| Islamic education | 2 4 | 1.0 |
| Primary education | 6 | 1.5 |
| Secondary education | 134 | 33.0 |
| Tertiary education | 259 | 64 0 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Ethnic group | | |
| Yoruba | 92 | 22.7 |
| Hausa | 84 | 20.7 |
| Igbo | 89 | 22.0 |
| Others | 140 | 34.6 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Occupation | | |
| Self-Employed | 110 | 27.2 |
| Civil | 84 | 20.7 |
| Employed in private sector | 58 | 14.3 |
| Student | 122 | 30.1 |
| Unemployed | 31 | 7.7 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Household size | | |
| 1-3 | 78 | 19.3 |
| 4-6 | 223 | 55.1 |
| 7-9 | 78 | 19.3 |
| >9 | 26 | 6.4 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Water supply and use

Water source

The results obtained in this study showed that respondents adopted the use of sachet water (38.5%), tap water (26.7%), bottled water (21.7%), borehole water (12.6%) and well water (0.5%) for drinking purpose (Figure 2). These results are in line with a survey study conducted by Taiwan Water Supply Corp regarding consumers' satisfaction with drinking water which showed that 60% of local residents still avoided drinking tap water even though a lot of money was invested to improve traditional treatment processes (Lou *et al.*, 2007). Also, results obtained from the respondents about their main source of water used for cooking revealed that majority (73.3%) use tap water while 21.2% use borehole water (Figure 3).



Fig. 2: Sources of water used by respondents for drinking purpose



Fig. 3: Sources of water used by respondents for cooking purpose

Municipal water supply

Majority of the respondents (60%) reportedly received intermittent municipal water supply while a sizeable proportion of the respondents (40%) reportedly receive continuous municipal water supply (Figure 4).



Fig. 4: Trend of municipal water supply to Barnawa community

These results are in line with the submission of Gbadebo and Akinhanmi (2010) but contrary to the report of Odjegba et al. (2015).

Alternative water sources

Majority of the respondents (84.4 %) reported that they use alternative water sources as against 15.6% who use only tap water (Figure 5). Out of the majority of the respondents who use alternative water sources, 68.1% reported that they trust the water quality as against 16.3 % that indicated their distrust for the water quality. These results are not unusual as many authors; Alabi and Osagbemi (2005), Aderibigbe *et al.* (2008), Adeleye *et al.* (2018) have reported similar trends in their respective study areas.



Fig. 5: Respondents' response to the use of alternative water sources

Consumers' perception on water quality

Eight (8) variables were used to assess consumer's perception; municipal water acceptability, perceived health risk, perceived health risk rating, general satisfaction, taste satisfaction, odour satisfaction, colour satisfaction and hardness satisfaction. As done

by Aluko *et al.* (2016), perception scores of ≤ 4 and >4 were categorised as negative and positive respectively. The results obtained showed that majority of the respondents (52.1 %) had a negative perception of the water quality while 47.9 % had a positive perception of the water quality (Table 2).

| Table 2: Consumers' perception of the | water quality | |
|---|---------------|----------------|
| Variable | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
| Acceptable water quality | | |
| Yes | 129 | 31.9 |
| No | 211 | 52.1 |
| Don't know | 65 | 16 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Water poses health risk | | |
| Yes | 211 | 52.1 |
| No | 122 | 30.1 |
| Don't know | 72 | 17.8 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Perceived health risk | | |
| High | 43 | 10.6 |
| Medium | 106 | 26.2 |
| Low | 59 | 14.6 |
| Don't know | 3 | 0.7 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| General satisfaction with water quality | | |
| Yes | 111 | 27.4 |
| No | 294 | 72.6 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Satisfaction with water colour | | |
| Yes | 146 | 36 |
| No | 259 | 64 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Satisfaction with water taste | | |
| Yes | 272 | 67.2 |
| No | 133 | 32.8 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Satisfaction with water odour | | |
| Yes | 306 | 75.6 |
| No | 99 | 24.4 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Satisfaction with water hardness | | |
| Yes | 377 | 93.1 |
| No | 28 | 6.9 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |
| Other problems | | |
| Yes | 3 | 0.7 |
| No | 402 | 99.3 |
| Total | 405 | 100 |

During this study, 72.6 % reported that they were not satisfied with the water quality while 27.4 % reported that they were satisfied. Concerning satisfaction with the odour, 75.6 % reported that they were satisfied while 24.4 % reported that they were not. Majority (64 %) of the respondents were not satisfied with the colour of the water while 36 % reported that they were satisfied with the colour of the water, 67.2 % reported that they

were satisfied with the taste of the water while 32.8 % reported that they were not satisfied with the taste of the tap water (Table 2). These results are in agreement with Odjegba *et al.* (2015) in a similar study. Interestingly, in a related study on three suburb areas of Beirut (BurjHammoud, Tahweeta and Bir Hassan), the complaints of consumers also focused on colour (25.5 %) and then (19 %) on taste (El Rez, 2004).

Influence of consumer's perception on the use of tap water for drinking

In order to understand the influence of consumer's perception on the use of tap water for drinking, the association between the independent (predictor) variable, consumer perception and the binary dependent (outcome) variable, use of tap water for

drinking, was studied. The association between consumer's perception and use of tap water for drinking is statistically significant (P<0.05) (Table 3). The cross tabulation revealed that among those respondents who had a negative perception of the water quality,77.7% did not use the tap water for drinking compared to 22.3% who used the tap water for drinking (χ^2 = 4.345, p = 0.04).

| Tał | ole | 3: | Association | between o | consumers' | nercen | tion and | use of | tan | water fo | or d | lrinking | , |
|-----|-----|-----|-------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|-----|----------|------|----------------|---|
| 1 | 10 | ••• | issociation | been cen v | consumers | percep | non anu | use or | ւսթ | matci it | JI 4 | ii iiiikiiii c | |

| Consumers' perception | | Use of tap water for drinking | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | Yes | No | Total |
| | N (%) | N (%) | |
| Positive | 61(31.4) | 133(68.6) | 194 |
| Negative | 47(22.3) | 164(77.7) | 211 |
| Total | 108 | 297 | 405 |
| $\gamma^2 = 4.345$ df = 1 | p value = 0.04 | | |

A logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain the effects of Municipal water acceptability, perceived health risk, consumer satisfaction with the odour, colour, taste and hardness of the water on the use of the water for drinking. From the results depicted in Table 4, it can be seen that consumers who perceived the municipal water as acceptable were 1.94 times more likely to use it for drinking (p=0.02) compared to those who did not. Also consumers who were satisfied with the odour of the water were 2.83 times more likely to use the water for drinking (p= 0.01) compared to those who were not satisfied with the odour.

Influence of consumer's perception on the use of tap water for cooking

In order to understand the influence of consumer's perception on the use of tap water for cooking, the association between the independent (predictor) variable, consumer perception and the binary dependent (outcome) variable, use of tap water for cooking, was studied. The cross

| Variable | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | p- Value |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| Municipal water acceptability | 1.94 | 1.11 - 3.39 | 0.02 |
| Perceived health risk | 1.12 | 0.67 - 1.87 | 0.68 |
| Satisfaction with odour | 2.83 | 1.36 - 5.90 | 0.01 |
| Satisfaction with colour | 0.75 | 0.44 - 1.28 | 0.30 |
| Satisfaction with taste | 1.05 | 0.58 - 1.89 | 0.87 |
| Satisfaction with hardness | 0.75 | 0.29 - 1.93 | 0.56 |
| | | | |

tabulation revealed that among those respondents who had a negative perception of the water quality, 72 % used the tap water for cooking compared to 28 % who did not use the tap water for cooking (p = 0.54). Again, it can be seen from the results presented in Table 5 that the association between consumer's perception and use of tap water for cooking which is not statistically significant.

| Consumers' perception | | Use of tap water for drinking | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | Yes | No | Total |
| | N (%) | N (%) | |
| Positive | 145 (74.7) | 49 (25.3) | 194 |
| Negative | 152 (72.0) | 59 (28.0) | 211 |
| Total | 297 | 108 | 405 |
| $\chi^2 = 0.378$ df = 1 | p value = 0.54 | | |

Table 5: Association between consumers' perception and use of tap water for cooking

A logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain the effects of Municipal water acceptability, perceived health risk, consumer satisfaction with the odour, colour, taste and hardness of the water on the use of the water for cooking. From the result as shown in Table 6, none of the predictor variables added significantly to the model/outcome.

| Variable | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | p Value |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Municipal water acceptability | 1.65 | 0.92 – 2.97 | 0.10 |
| Perceived health risk | 0.63 | 0.37 – 1.06 | 0.08 |
| Satisfaction with odour | 1.36 | 0.75 - 2.47 | 0.31 |
| Satisfaction with colour | 1.04 | 0.61 – 1.79 | 0.88 |
| Satisfaction with taste | 0.89 | 0.51 - 1.54 | 0.67 |
| Satisfaction with hardness | 2.10 | 0.94 - 4.72 | 0.72 |

Table 6: Logistic regression analysis on the influence of some variables on the use of tap water for cooking

Generally, regarding the influence of the consumers' perception on the usage of water for drinking and cooking the study revealed that a negative perception of the water quality affected the use of the water for drinking. However, this did not affect their use of the water for cooking. This result is in line with a similar study conducted by Umar (2012), where it was determined that the usage of water for drinking was influenced by the perception of the water quality and this affected consumers' choice of drinking the water and also usage of the water for cooking among the respondents was not influenced by their perception about the aesthetic appearance which the author attributed to their awareness that water borne pathogens can be removed through active boiling of such water.

Also, a study conducted in Portugal to analyze tap water risk and quality perception showed that although the use of tap water is influenced by variables such as flavour, familiarity, memorability and risk, it is more influenced by the presence of feasible alternatives such as bottled water. Therefore, when people perceive their tap water as being poor in quality or have a high risk, they tend to use alternative sources of water if they have the option (Doria *et al.*, 2009). The high use of sachet water in this study is synonymous with its vast availability in Nigeria.

Water borne diseases

As depicted in Table 7, majority (73.8 %) of the respondents in this current study reported that there was no incidence of water borne disease during the past one year in their family. Only 22.2 % of the respondents reported an incidence of water borne disease in the past one year and respondents (4 %) did not know if there was an incidence of water borne disease.

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--|-----------|----------------|
| Incidence of water borne disease within the last | | |
| one year | 90 | |
| Yes | 299 | 22.2 |
| No | 16 | 73.8 |
| Don't know | 405 | 4 |
| Total | | 100 |
| Type of water borne disease | | |
| Typhoid | 52 | 12.8 |
| Cholera | 32 | 7.9 |
| Diarrhoea | 6 | 1.5 |
| Total | 90 | 22.2 |
| | | |

| Table 7: Incidence and type of water borne disease among responden | id type of water borne disease among respondents |
|--|--|
|--|--|

The syndrome known as 'God forbid' described by Olusanya (2009) must have led to the results recorded in this current study. However, as shown in Table 7, water borne diseases suffered by those that reported an incidence indicate typhoid (12.8 %), cholera (7.9 %) and diarrhoea (1.5 %). These results are similar with the reports of Noi (2008), Okonko, *et al.* (2008), O'Reilly *et al.* (2008), Vivas *et al.* (2010), Odjegba *et al.* (2015) and Adeleye *et al.* (2018).

CONCLUSION

During the conduct of this study, at least half of the consumers of municipal tap water had a negative perception of the water quality and majority of the consumers were not satisfied with the colour of the water when compared to other organoleptic characteristics. The water supply in the area was mainly intermittent and majority of consumers used alternative sources of water like hand dug well, borehole, sachet and bottled water. Customers' perception of the water quality affected their choice of the water for drinking but this did not affect their usage of the water for cooking.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Owing to the results obtained in this current study, KSWB should implement a system that ensures continuous water supply in Barnawa community as majority of the consumers complained of intermittent water supply which has been documented to increase the risk of the water getting contaminated in the distribution system before reaching the consumers. The water board should as a matter of necessity should carry out public awareness campaigns regarding household treatment of water which will ultimately help consumers to reduce their expenses on alternative sources of water. Similar studies like this current one should be conducted in the remaining areas of Kaduna state and equally replicated in other states in Nigeria so as to evaluate on a wider scale the quality of water supplied to consumers and their respective perception of such supply.

REFERENCES

Adeleye, A. O., Orifah, M. O., Amoo, A. O., Ijanu, E. M., Shuaibu, S. J. and Hassan, A. (2018). Artisans' Knowledge and Perception on Hand-Dug Well Waterborne Related Diseases in Dutse Mechanic Village North-West, Nigeria. *Journal of* *Applied Science and Environmental Management*, **22** (10): 1603–1607.

Aderibigbe, S. A., Awoyemi, A. O. and Osagbemi, A. O. (2008).
Availability, Adequacy and Quality of Water Supply in Ilorin Metropolis, Nigeria. *European Journal of Scientific Research*.
23 (4): 528 – 536.

Alabi – Aganaba, D. and Osagbemi, G. K. (2005). Sources and Utilization of Water in two selected Communities in Ilorin East Local Government Area of Kwara State. *African Journal of Clinical Experimental Microbiology*, **1** (7): 11-16.

Aluko, O. O., Adebayo, A. E., Adebisi, T. F., Ewegbemi, M. K., Abidoye, A. T. and Popoola, B. F. (2016). Knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of occupational hazards and safety practices in Nigerian healthcare workers. *BMC Res Notes*, 2016; 9: 71.

Andrew, K. (1998). Safe water for Africa. Department for International Development (DFID) features. Save the children fund (SCF) UK. Burkina Faso. *African Health*, 9-14

Asian Development Bank (2002). Hand Book on Environmental Statistics. Development Indicators and Policy Research Division, Economics and Research Department. Available at <u>https://www.adb.org/publications/handbook-environment-</u>statistics. Retrieved on November 29, 2018.

Doria, M. D., Pidgeon, N. and Hunter, P. (2009). Perceptions of drinking water quality and risk and its effect on behaviour: A cross-national study. *Science of the Total Environment*,407: 5455–5464. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.06.031

El Rez, M. (2004). Assessment of Minimum Household Water Requirements and Incidence of Waterborne Diseases Among Children Less than Five Years of Age. Thesis (Masters). American University of Beirut. Beirut.

Gbadebo, A. M. and Akinhanmi, T. F. (2010). Gender Issues in Management and Use of Groundwater Resources: A case of Abeokuta Metropolis. *Journal of Applied Sciences in Environmental Sanitation*, 5 (2): 191-199.

Gleick, P. H. (2002). Dirty Water: Estimated Deaths from Water-Related Diseases 2000- 2020. California: Pacific Institute for studies in Development, Environment and Security. Available at http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.423. 2331. Retrieved on November 20, 2018.

Lou, J. C., Lee, W. L. and Han, J. Y. (2007). Influence of alkalinity, hardness and dissolved solids on drinking water taste: A case study of consumer satisfaction. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 82: 1–12

Lundqvist, J. and Gleick, P. H. (1997). Comprehensive Assessment of the Fresh Water resources of the World. Sustaining our Waters into the 21st Century. Available at <u>https://www.ircwash.org/sites/default/files/210-97CO-</u> 14037.pdf. Retrieved on November 27, 2018.

National Population Commission (1991). Analytical report of the 1991 Census gotten from the office of National Population Commission Kaduna.

Noi, H. (2008). For a Clean, Hygienic and Healthy Environment for All Vietnamese Children. A Summary of National Baseline Survey on Environmental Sanitation and Hygiene Situation in Vietnam. Available at www.un.org.vn/en/publications/doc_details/44-summarynational-survey-on-sanitation-and-hygiene-in-viet-

nam.html?tmpl=component. Retrieved on September 12, 2018.

O'Reilly, C. E., Freeman, M. C., Ravani, M., Migere, J., Mwaki, A., Ayalo, M., Ombeki, S., Hoekstra, R. M. and Quick, R. (2008). The impact of a School-based Safe Water and Hygiene Programme on Knowledge and Practices of Students and their Parents: Nyanza Province, Western Kenya. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 136: 80–91.

Odjegba E. E., Idowu, O. A., Ikenweiwe, N. B., Martins, O. and Sadeeq, A. Y. (2015). Public Perception of Potable Water Supply in Abeokuta South West, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci.Environ. Manage*. 19 (1): 5-9.

Okonko, I. O., Adejoye, O. D., Ogunnusi, T. A., Fajobi, E. A., Shittu, O. B. (2008). Microbiological and Physicochemical Analysis of Different Water Samples used for Domestic Purposes in Abeokuta and Ojota, Lagos State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7 (5): 617 – 621.

Oluwasanya, G. O. (2009). Better Safe than Sorry: Towards Appropriate Water Safety Plans for Urban Self Supply Systems in developing countries. PhD. Thesis, Cranfield University, UK, 459 pp.

Population Information Programme (2002). Population Reports: Meeting the Uban Challenge. Center for communication programmes, the Johns Hopkins University School of Public health, Baltimore USA. Series M, Number 16. Available at https://www.k4health.org/sites/default/files/M%2016.pdf. Retrieved on November 27, 2018.

Tya, T. S. K., Umaru, A. B. and Barmamu, B. R. (2012). Bacteriological Analysis of Hand-Dug Well Water in Demsa Local Government Area, Nigeria. *International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science*, 1 (4): 28-31.

Umar, M. (2012). Water quality deterioration in piped water and its effect on usage and customers" perception: case study of Adum- Kumasi, Ghana MSc. Thesis Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi-Ghana.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (2002). Statement by UNDP Administrator Mr. Mark Malloch Brown. Retrieved from: http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/wpp2000 volu mes3.htm.Retrieved on November 27, 2018.

United States Geological Survey (2009). Available at<u>http://ga.water.usgs.gov/edu/propertyyou.html</u>.Retrieved on November 27, 2018.

Vivas, A., Aboset, N., Kumie, A., Berhane, Y. and Williams, M. A. (2010). Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) of Hygiene among school children in Angolela, Ethiopia. *J. Prev. Med. Hyg.*51: 73–79.

WaterDome (2002). Johannesburg summit. Available at<u>http://www.waterdome.net/</u>. Retrieved on November 27, 2018.

World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund (2000). Global Water Supply and Sanitation Assessment 2000 Report. Available at http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/monitoring/jmp20 00.pdf. Retrieved on November 28, 2018.